

**BOREL DIRECTIONS AND ITERATED ORBITS  
OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS**

JIANYONG QIAO

For transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, we prove that there exist iterated orbits which tend to the Borel directions. This gives a relation between the value distribution theory and the iteration theory of meromorphic functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is a transcendental meromorphic function. If for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $f$  takes every complex value  $a$  infinitely many times on the region:  $|\arg z - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$ , with at most two exceptional values  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , then the ray  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is said to be a Julia direction of  $f(z)$ . Furthermore, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = a)}{\log r} \geq \omega > 0,$$

with at most two exceptional values of  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = a)$  is the number of roots of  $f(z) = a$  on the region:  $|z| < r$  and  $|\arg z - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$ , then the ray  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is said to be a Borel direction of order at least  $\omega$ . These are fundamental concepts in value distribution theory [5].

In this note, we deal with the problem: Can we choose an iterated orbit such that it approximates to the Borel directions? Define

$$I(f) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid f^n(z) \neq \infty \text{ for all } n \text{ and } f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\},$$

where  $f^n$  is the  $n$ -th iterate of  $f$ , that is,  $f^0(z) = z$  and  $f^n(z) = f \circ f^{n-1}(z)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .  $f^n(z)$  is defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  except for a countable set which consists of the poles of  $f, f^2, \dots, f^{n-1}$ . Obviously, the forward orbit  $O^+(a) = \{f^n(a) \mid n \geq 0\}$  is an infinite set if  $a \in I(f)$ . We want to find a point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a \in I(f)$  and each limiting direction of  $O^+(a)$  (that is, a limit of  $\{\arg z \mid z \in O^+(a)\}$ ) is a Borel direction of  $f$ . By  $J(f)$  denote the Julia set of  $f$  which is the closure of the set of the repelling periodic points; its complement  $F(f)$  is the Fatou set (see [2]). In this note we shall prove

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function, then  $I(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ .*

---

Received 16th February, 1999

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/00 \$A2.00+0.00.

REMARK. Eremenko [3] has proved this result for transcendental entire functions.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, the lower order  $\mu > 0$ . Then there exists a point  $a \in I(f) \cap J(f)$  such that each limiting direction of  $O^+(a)$  is a Borel direction of order at least  $\mu$ .*

REMARK. It is well known that there exist transcendental meromorphic functions of lower order zero which don't have a Julia direction [5].

Since the backward orbit  $O^-(a) = \{z \mid f^n(z) = a \text{ for some } n\}$  is dense on  $J(f)$  for every point  $a \in J(f)$  with at most one exceptional point [2], we easily have

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, the lower order  $\mu > 0$ . Then there is a dense subset  $I_B$  of  $J(f)$  such that, for  $a \in I_B$ ,  $O^+(a)$  tends to infinity and each limiting direction of  $O^+(a)$  is a Borel direction of order at least  $\mu$ .*

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following lemma:

**LEMMA 1.** [1] *Suppose, in a domain  $D$ , the analytic functions  $f$  of the family  $G$  omit the values  $0, 1$ , and  $H$  is a compact subset of  $D$  on which the functions all satisfy  $|f(z)| \geq 1$ . Then there exist constants  $k, t$ , dependent only on  $H$  and  $D$ , such that for any  $z, z' \in H$  and any  $f \in G$  we have  $|f(z')| < k|f(z)|^t$ .*

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1: We distinguish the following two cases:

A.  $f(z)$  has infinitely many poles. Let  $a_0$  be a pole of  $f(z)$ , then there exists a constant  $R > 1$  such that  $f(V(a_0)) \supset \{z \mid |z| > R\}$ , where  $V(\eta) = \{z \mid |z - \eta| < 1\}$ . Choose a pole  $a_1 \in \{z \mid |z| > R + 2\}$ , then  $f(V(a_0)) \supset \overline{V(a_1)}$ . Since  $a_1$  is also a pole, there exists a constant  $l_1 \geq 2$  such that  $f(V(a_1)) \supset \{z \mid |z| > R^{l_1}\}$ . By repeating this construction, we obtain a sequence of disks  $V(a_j)$  ( $a_j$  is a pole) such that  $V(a_j) \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$f(V(a_j)) \supset \overline{V(a_{j+1})} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is obvious that there exists a sequence of domains  $B_j \subset V(a_0)$  such that  $\overline{B_{j+1}} \subset B_j$  and  $f^j(B_j) = V(a_j)$ . For a point  $a \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_j}$ , we have  $a \in I(f)$ . Since  $a_j$  is a pole, then  $V(a_j) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ , and thus  $B_j \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $j$  [2]. So we have  $a \in I(f) \cap J(f)$ .

B.  $f(z)$  has only finitely many poles. By Mittag-Leffler's theorem,

$$(1) \quad f(z) = g(z) + \sum_{j=1}^m P_j \left( \frac{1}{z - a_j} \right),$$

where  $g(z)$  is a transcendental entire function,  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) are  $m$  ( $< \infty$ ) distinct poles of  $f(z)$ , and  $P_j$  is a polynomial with  $P_j(0) = 0$ . For a transcendental entire function  $g(z)$ , Eremenko [3] proved: there exist a sequence of positive numbers  $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ , a constant  $b > 1$  and a sequence of domains  $\sigma_j \subset \{z \mid r_j/b < |z| < br_j\}$  such that

$$(2) \quad g(\sigma_j) \supset \left\{ z \mid \frac{1}{b_1}r_{j+1} < |z| < b_1r_{j+1} \right\} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $b_1 > b$  is a constant. For a constant  $b_2 \in (b, b_1)$ , by (1) and (2) we deduce that there exists  $j_0 > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad f(\sigma_j) \supset \left\{ z \mid \frac{1}{b_2}r_{j+1} < |z| < b_2r_{j+1} \right\} \supset \sigma_{j+1}$$

when  $j \geq j_0$ . So there exists a sequence of domains  $B_p \subset \sigma_{j_0}$  such that

$$(4) \quad f^p(B_p) = \sigma_{j_0+p}, \quad \overline{B_{p+1}} \subset B_p, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that  $\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_p} \subset I(f)$ , thus  $I(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\left(\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_p}\right) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $I(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Below we suppose  $\left(\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_p}\right) \cap J(f) = \emptyset$ , then there exists  $p_0 \geq 1$  such that  $B_p \subset F(f)$  when  $p \geq p_0$ . By (3) and (4) we have

$$(5) \quad \left\{ z \mid \frac{1}{b_2}r_j < |z| < b_2r_j \right\} \subset F(f)$$

when  $j \geq p_0 + j_0 + 1$ .

Now, we prove that  $F(f)$  has only bounded components: Assume  $D$  is an unbounded component of  $F(f)$ . By (3) and (5) we know that  $f(D) \subset D, f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $z \in D$  and  $\overline{\sigma_j} \subset D$  when  $j \geq p_0 + j_0 + 1$ . Put

$$H = \overline{\sigma_{p_0+j_0+1} \cup f(\sigma_{p_0+j_0+1})},$$

then  $H \subset D$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $0, 1 \in J(f)$  and  $|f^n(z)| \geq 1$  on  $H$  for all  $n$ . By Lemma 1, for any  $z' \in \sigma_{p_0+j_0+1}$  we have

$$(6) \quad |f^{n+1}(z')| < k|f^n(z')|^t, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $k$  and  $t$  are two constants. Put  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\sigma_{p_0+j_0+1})$ , then for any  $z \in \Omega$ , there exist a point  $z' \in \sigma_{p_0+j_0+1}$  and a natural number  $n$  such that  $f^n(z') = z$ . By (6) we get

$$|f(z)| < k|z|^t, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Noting  $\Omega \supset \{z \mid r_j/b < |z| < br_j\}$  for sufficiently large  $j$ , we have

$$M(r_j, g) = M(r_j, f) + o(1) = O(r_j^t) \quad (r_j \rightarrow \infty).$$

This contradicts the transcendence of  $g(z)$ . Therefore  $F(f)$  has only bounded components.

Denote the component of  $F(f)$  containing  $B_{p_0}$  by  $D_0$ . Since  $B_{p_0} \cap I(f) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $z \in D_0$ . It follows from (5) and the boundedness of  $D_0$  that  $f^n(\partial D_0) \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus  $\partial D_0 \subset I(f) \cap J(f)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Denote the Nevanlinna characteristic function of  $f(z)$  by  $T(r, f)$  [5]. Since  $f$  is of positive lower order and finite order, there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$  such that  $T(2r, f) < T^\alpha(r, f)$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . Therefore, Theorem 2 is the corollary of the following result:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of lower order  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ . If*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(2r, f)}{\log T(r, f)} < \infty,$$

*then there exists a point  $a \in I(f) \cap J(f)$  such that each limiting direction of  $O^+(a)$  is a Borel direction of order at least  $\mu$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 3, we need the following lemmas:

**LEMMA 2.** [5] *Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function. If  $R$  is sufficiently large to satisfy*

$$T(R, f) \geq \max \left\{ 240, \frac{240 \log(2R)}{\log k}, 12T(r, f), \frac{12T(kr, f)}{\log k} \log \frac{2R}{r} \right\},$$

*then there exists a point  $z_j$  lying in  $r < |z| < 2R$  such that in the domain*

$$\Gamma: \quad |z - z_j| < \frac{4\pi}{q} |z_j|,$$

*$f$  takes every complex value at least*

$$n = c^* \frac{T(R, f)}{q^2 \left(\log \frac{r}{R}\right)^2}$$

times except for those complex values which can be contained in two spherical disks each with radius  $e^{-n}$ , where  $k > 1$  is a constant,  $q$  is a sufficiently large integer, and  $c^* > 0$  is an absolute constant. The disk  $\Gamma$  is called a filling disk of  $f(z)$ .

**LEMMA 3.** [4] Let  $T(r)$  be a positive, increasing and continuous function, and  $T(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ). If

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} \leq \nu < +\infty,$$

then for any two numbers  $\tau_1 > 1$ ,  $\tau_2 > 1$ , the lower logarithmic density of the set  $\{r \mid T(\tau_1 r) \leq \tau_2 T(r)\}$  is not less than  $1 - (\nu \log \tau_1)/(\log \tau_2)$ .

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $T(r)$  be a positive, increasing and continuous function, and  $T(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ). If

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} \geq \omega > 0,$$

where  $\tau_1 > 1$ ,  $\tau_2 > 1$  are two constants satisfying  $\tau_2 < \tau_1^\omega$ , then for any constant  $m > 1/(1 - (\log \tau_2)/(\omega \log \tau_1))$ , there exists a constant  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\{t \mid \tau_2 T(t) \leq T(\tau_1 t)\} \cap [r, T^{-1}(T^m(r))] \neq \emptyset$$

when  $r > R_0$ .

**THE PROOF OF LEMMA 4:** Put  $s = T(r)$ ,  $T_0(s) = T^{-1}(s)$ . Then  $T_0(s)$  is a positive, increasing and continuous function, and  $T_0(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $s \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Obviously,

$$\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_0(s)}{\log s} \leq \frac{1}{\omega} < +\infty.$$

By Lemma 3,

$$\text{lower-logdens}\{s \mid T_0(\tau_2 s) \leq \tau_1 T_0(s)\} \geq 1 - \frac{\log \tau_2}{\omega \log \tau_1}.$$

Therefore, there exists  $s_0 \in [s, s^m]$  such that  $T_0(\tau_2 s_0) \leq \tau_1 T_0(s_0)$  for sufficiently large  $s$ . Put  $r_0 = T^{-1}(s_0)$ , then  $r_0 = T_0(s_0)$ ,  $T(r_0) = s_0$ . Thus  $\tau_2 T(r_0) \leq T(\tau_1 r_0)$ . Since  $r_0 \geq r$ ,  $T(r_0) = s_0 \leq s^m = T^m(r)$ , we deduce  $r_0 \in [r, T^{-1}(T^m(r))]$ . The proof of Lemma 4 is complete. □

**THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3:** Choose two constants  $k > 1$  and  $\tau_1 > 1$  such that

$$\frac{12}{\log k} \log(2k\tau_1) < \tau_1^\mu.$$

Put

$$\tau_2 = \frac{12}{\log k} \log(2k\tau_1) \text{ and } \alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(2r, f)}{\log T(r, f)}.$$

Choose a natural number  $m$  such that

$$(7) \quad m > \max \left( \frac{1}{1 - (\log \tau_2)/(\mu \log \tau_1)}, 2\alpha \right).$$

For convenience, we put  $T(r, f) = T(r)$ . It is obvious that there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad M_0 > \max\{R_0, e^{8\pi}\},$$

$$(9) \quad T(r) > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{K} (\log r)^{2m^{4p+1}+2}, \frac{240 \log(2r)}{\log k} \right\},$$

$$(10) \quad T(2r, f) < T^{2\alpha}(r, f),$$

$$(11) \quad c \cdot c^* \frac{\tau_1^{\mu/2} r^{\mu/4}}{(\log(k\tau_1) \log r)^2} > 1$$

when  $r \geq M_0$ , where  $R_0 > 0$  is the constant stated in Lemma 4,  $c^* > 0$  is the constant stated in Lemma 2, and

$$(12) \quad c = \frac{1}{1 + 9\tau_1^2}, \quad K = \frac{c^{\mu/2} (\mu/2)^{m^{2p+1}+1}}{(m^{4p+1} + 1)!} \frac{(c^*)^{m^{2p+1}+1}}{(\log(k\tau_1))^{2m^{4p+1}+2}}, \quad p = \left\lceil \frac{\log(6k\tau_1)}{\log 2} \right\rceil + 2,$$

(where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the integral part). Put  $r^* = \max\{M_0, M_0^{4/\mu}\}$ . From (11) we deduce that

$$(13) \quad c \cdot c^* \frac{(\tau_1 r)^{\mu/2}}{(\log(k\tau_1) \log r)^2} > r^{\mu/4} \geq M_0$$

for  $r \geq r^*$ .

By Lemma 4, there exists  $r_0 \in [r^*, T^{-1}(T^m(r^*))]$  such that

$$\tau_2 T(r_0) \leq T(\tau_1 r_0).$$

Put  $r_1 = r_0/k$ ,  $R_1 = \tau_1 r_0$ , then

$$(14) \quad \frac{12}{\log k} \log \frac{2R_1}{r_1} T(kr_1) \leq T(R_1),$$

and

$$(15) \quad 12T(r_1) \leq \frac{12}{\log k} \log \frac{2R_1}{r_1} T(kr_1) \leq T(R_1).$$

By (8), (9), (14), (15) and Lemma 2, there exists  $z_0$  lying in  $r_1 < |z| < 2R_1$  such that in the disk

$$\Gamma_0 : |z - z_0| < \frac{4\pi}{\log r^*} |z_0|$$

$f$  takes every complex value  $a$  at least

$$n_0 = c^* \frac{T(R_1)}{(\log r^*)^2 (\log(k\tau_1))^2}$$

times except for those complex values which can be contained in two spherical disks  $\gamma'_0$  and  $\gamma''_0$  with radius  $e^{-n_0}$ , that is,  $\Gamma_0$  is a filling disk of  $f(z)$ . Obviously,

$$(16) \quad n_0 \geq \frac{c^*}{(\log k\tau_1)^2} \frac{T(1/2|z_0|)}{(\log(k|z_0|))^2} \geq (|z_0|)^{\mu-\varepsilon(|z_0|)},$$

where  $\varepsilon(r) > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . It can be easily verified from (8) that

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \left\{ z \mid \frac{1}{2k} r^* < |z| < 3\tau_1 T^{-1}(T^m(r^*)) \right\}.$$

Put  $t_j = T^{-1}(T^m(r^*))$ . It is obvious that  $t_0 = r^*$ ,  $\{t_j\}$  is an increasing sequence and  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ . So the sequence of annuli  $A_j = \{z \mid t_j/2k < |z| < 3\tau_1 t_{j+1}\}$  tends to infinity as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Gamma_0 \subset A_0$ . By  $T(t_{j+1}) = T^m(t_j)$ , (7) and (10) we get

$$T(t_{j+2}) = T^m(t_{j+1}) > T^{2\alpha}(t_{j+1}) > T(2t_{j+1}).$$

It follows that  $t_{j+2} > 2t_{j+1}$ , so  $t_{j+p} > 2^{p-1}t_{j+1}$ , and thus  $t_{j+p} > 6k\tau_1 t_{j+1}$ . Therefore,

$$A_j \cap A_{j+p} = \emptyset \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Next we prove that there is at least one in five annuli  $A_p, A_{2p}, A_{3p}, A_{4p}, A_{5p}$  which does not meet  $\gamma'_0 \cup \gamma''_0$ . Assume  $\gamma'_0$  (or  $\gamma''_0$ ) meet both  $A_{jp}$  and  $A_{(j+2)p}$  ( $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ). Then we have

$$e^{-n_0} \geq \frac{3\tau_1 t_{(j+1)p+1} - \frac{1}{2k} t_{(j+1)p}}{\sqrt{1 + 9\tau_1^2 t_{(j+1)p+1}^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4k^2} t_{(j+1)p}^2}} \geq \frac{c}{t_{(j+1)p+1}},$$

where  $c > 0$  is the constant in (12). This means

$$(17) \quad T^{m(j+1)p+1}(r^*) \geq T(ce^{n_0}).$$

On the other hand, by (10) and (13) we have

$$ce^{n_0} > cn_0 > c \cdot c^* \frac{(\tau_1 r^*)^{\mu/2}}{(\log(k\tau_1) \log r^*)^2} \geq M_0,$$

and hence

(18)

$$T(ce^{n_0}) > c^{\mu/2} e^{(\mu/2)n_0} > c^{\mu/2} \frac{(\mu/2)^{m(j+1)p+1+1}}{(m(j+1)p+1+1)!} n_0^{m(j+1)p+1+1} > K \frac{T^{m(j+1)p+1+1}(r^*)}{(\log r^*)^{2m^4p+2}},$$

where  $K > 0$  is the constant in (12). By (17) and (18) we have

$$T(r^*) < \frac{1}{K} (\log r^*)^{2m^4p+2}.$$

This contradicts (9). Therefore,  $\gamma'_0$  (or  $\gamma''_0$ ) can not meet both  $A_{jp}$  and  $A_{(j+2)p}$  ( $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ). It follows immediately that there exists at least one in five annuli  $A_p, A_{2p}, A_{3p}, A_{4p}, A_{5p}$  which does not meet  $\gamma'_0$  or  $\gamma''_0$ . Denote this annulus by  $A_0^1$ . So  $f(\Gamma_0) \supset A_0^1$ .

By the same discussion, we can deduce that there exists a filling disk  $\Gamma_1 \subset A_0^1$  and an annulus  $A_0^2 \in \{A_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $f(\Gamma_1) \supset A_0^2$ . Repeating this construction, we obtain a sequence of filling disks  $\Gamma_j$  such that

$$(19) \quad f(\Gamma_j) \supset \overline{\Gamma_{j+1}}, \Gamma_j \rightarrow \infty (j \rightarrow \infty).$$

Denote the centre of  $\Gamma_j$  by  $z_j$ . From (16) we know that each limiting point of  $\{\arg z_j \mid j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a Borel direction of order at least  $\mu$  (see [5]). It follows (19) that there is a sequence of domains  $B_j \subset A_0$  such that  $f^{j-1}(B_j) = \Gamma_j$  and  $\Gamma_0 \supset B_j \supset \overline{B_{j+1}}$ .

Now, we prove  $(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_j}) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ : Otherwise, there exists a natural number  $j_0$  such that  $B_j \subset F(f)$  when  $j \geq j_0$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  is a filling disk, we have  $f^j(B_j) = f(\Gamma_j) \supset \overline{C} \setminus (\gamma'_j \cup \gamma''_j)$  (where  $\gamma'_j$  and  $\gamma''_j$  are two spherical disks each with radius  $e^{-n_j}$  and  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ), so  $J(f) \subset \gamma'_j \cup \gamma''_j$  when  $j \geq j_0$ . This implies  $J(f)$  contains at most two points. This is a contradiction [2].

For a point  $a \in (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_j}) \cap J(f)$ , we have  $a \in I(f)$  and each limiting direction of  $O^+(a)$  is a Borel direction of order at least  $\mu$ . The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

[1] I.N. Baker, 'Infinite limits in the iteration of entire functions', *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **8** (1988), 503-507.



- [2] W. Bergweiler, 'Iteration of meromorphic functions', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1993), 151–188.
- [3] A.E. Eremenko, 'On the iteration of entire functions', in *Dynamical System and Ergodic Theory*, Banach Center Publications **23** (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1989), pp. 339–345.
- [4] W.K. Hayman, 'Angular value distribution of power series with gaps', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1972), 590–624.
- [5] L. Yang, *Value distribution theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York; Science Press, Beijing, 1993).

Center for Mathematics  
China University of Mining  
and Technology (Beijing)  
Xueyuan Road, Ding 11  
Beijing 100083  
Peoples Republic of China  
e-mail: qjy@mail.cumtb.edu.cn

Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences  
Chinese Academy of Sciences  
Beijing 100080  
Peoples Republic of China