

## MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH SHARED LIMIT VALUES OF POSITIVE LINEAR MEASURE

ANDREAS SAUER

*Fachhochschule-Dortmund, Sonnenstrasse 96, 44047 Dortmund,  
Germany* (andreas.sauer@fh-dortmund.de)

(Received 18 May 2006)

*Abstract* We prove that if two transcendental meromorphic functions share all limit values from a set of positive linear measure on a rectifiable Jordan arc, then they share all limit values.

*Keywords:* Casorati–Weierstrass theorem; shared limit values; limit uniqueness set; linear measure

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 30D30; 30D35; 30D40

### 1. Introduction and results

If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is a transcendental meromorphic function then, by the Casorati–Weierstrass theorem for every  $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , there exist sequences  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $f(z_n) \rightarrow a$ . In [7] we introduced the notion of *shared limit values* for meromorphic functions  $f$  and  $g$  in the plane:  $f$  and  $g$  share the limit value  $a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  if, for all sequences,  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$  the expression

$$f(z_n) \rightarrow a \iff g(z_n) \rightarrow a$$

holds. Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  share all limit values contained in a set  $L \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . We consider the following question: which assumptions on  $L$  imply that  $f$  and  $g$  share *all* limit values in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ? A set  $L$  with this property will be called a *limit uniqueness set*. In [7, Theorem 8.4] we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every open set is a limit uniqueness set.*

In this paper we will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Every set of positive linear measure on a rectifiable Jordan arc is a limit uniqueness set.*

For the definition and properties of linear measure we refer the reader to [4, Chapter 6] and to [1]. We note here merely that, for rectifiable Jordan arcs, length and linear measure are the same.

It is easy to show that every continuum has positive linear measure and it follows from the basic results in geometric measure theory that every continuum of finite linear

measure can be decomposed as the union of countably many rectifiable Jordan arcs and a set of linear measure zero (see [1, Theorem 3.14]). At least one of these rectifiable Jordan arcs satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, which gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Every continuum of finite linear measure is a limit uniqueness set.*

In the language of geometric measure theory, a regular 1-set is a set of finite positive linear measure with a regular behaviour concerning certain density properties (see [1, Chapter 2]). Each regular 1-set is contained in the union of countably many rectifiable Jordan arcs and a set of linear measure zero [1, Theorem 3.25]. Thus, the next result follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Every regular 1-set is a limit uniqueness set.*

If the assumption of rectifiability is dropped, we require the whole arc to consist of shared limit values. Simple modifications of the proof of Theorem 1.2 show the following (see the remarks at the end of the paper).

**Theorem 1.5.** *Every Jordan arc is a limit uniqueness set.*

## 2. Preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 1.2

First we state a simple technical lemma, which is proved by a standard compactness argument. As usual we denote by  $\mathbb{D}_r$  the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| < r$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f, g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  be meromorphic functions that share the limit values from a compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f - g \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $f^{-1}(M)$ , i.e. for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $|f - g| < \varepsilon$  on  $f^{-1}(M) \setminus \mathbb{D}_r$ .*

If  $\varphi$  is bounded and analytic in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e. if  $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then by Fatou's theorem [9, Theorem IV.8] the radial boundary function  $\varphi^*$  exists almost everywhere (a.e.) on the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  of the unit disc and is integrable. Furthermore, by the Riesz uniqueness theorem [9, Theorem IV.9],  $\varphi^* = 0$  at most on a set of measure zero unless  $\varphi \equiv 0$ . The following is a Möbius invariant statement of these facts. Here  $G^c$  denotes the complement of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  be a domain such that  $G^c$  contains an inner point and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow G$  be meromorphic. Then the radial boundary function  $\varphi^*$  exists a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ , is measurable and  $\varphi^* = c \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  at most on a set of measure zero for any constant  $c \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  unless  $\varphi \equiv c$ .*

By  $\omega$  we denote harmonic measure. For its definition and properties we refer the reader to [2, 4, 5, 9]. It is well known that analytic maps increase harmonic measure [5, Theorem 4.3.8]. The statements of this principle of harmonic measure usually require that the analytic map is continuous on the measured part of the boundary. In the unit disc radial limits are sufficient for an estimate that fits for our purposes. As usual we call a domain such that each boundary point (including  $\infty$ ) is a regular point for the Dirichlet problem (see, for example, [9]) a regular domain.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a regular domain such that  $G^c$  contains an inner point and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow G$  be holomorphic. Let  $A \subset \partial G$  be a Borel set and let  $B := (\varphi^*)^{-1}(A)$ . Then  $\omega(z, B, \mathbb{D}) \leq \omega(\varphi(z), A, G)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be a compact set in  $\partial G \setminus A$  and let  $V$  be open on  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $\mathbb{T} \setminus B \subset V$  and consider the bounded harmonic function  $\Omega(z) := \omega(\varphi(z), F, G) - \omega(z, V, \mathbb{D})$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in V$  radially we have  $\omega(z, V, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow 1$ . Also for almost every  $e^{i\theta} \in V^c \subset B$  it follows that the radial limit  $\varphi^*(e^{i\theta}) \in A$  exists and therefore  $\omega(\varphi(z), F, G) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  radially. We conclude that  $\Omega^* \leq 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . It is now follows from the Poisson formula and Fatou’s theorem [9, Chapter IV] that  $\Omega(z) \leq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and hence  $\omega(\varphi(z), F, G) \leq \omega(z, V, \mathbb{D})$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . From the measure-theoretic properties of harmonic measure we obtain  $\omega(\varphi(z), \partial G \setminus A, G) \leq \omega(z, \mathbb{T} \setminus B, \mathbb{D})$ . Taking complements, the claim follows.  $\square$

The next statement is a variant of a convergence theorem for bounded analytic functions. We refer the reader to [3]. Here  $\mu$  denotes normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the sup-norm on  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . In contrast to more usual theorems, e.g. Vitali’s theorem on the convergence of normal sequences, it is assumed that the sequence of functions converges on the boundary.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq K$  for all  $n$ . Suppose for every  $n$  there exists  $B_n \subset \mathbb{T}$  measurable with  $\mu(B_n) > c > 0$ , where  $c$  is independent of  $n$ , and such that  $S_n := \sup_{z \in B_n} |\varphi_n^*(z)|$  converges to 0. Then  $\varphi_n$  converges to 0 in  $\mathbb{D}$  locally uniformly.*

**Proof.** Exactly as in the first inequality on p. 86 of [3], we obtain from Jensen’s formula for  $|z| \leq r$ :

$$\log |\varphi_n(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} \log K + c \frac{1-r}{1+r} \log S_n.$$

It follows that  $\log |\varphi_n(z)| \rightarrow -\infty$  uniformly in  $|z| \leq r$ .  $\square$

If  $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  with  $|\varphi^*| = 1$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $\varphi$  is called an inner function. It is well known that for inner functions  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  the radial boundary function  $\varphi^* : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is measure preserving. A surprisingly short proof of this can be found in [6]. In fact, the proof given there yields a more general statement (see [6, Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.4]).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an inner function and let  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  be measurable. Then*

$$\mu((\varphi^*)^{-1}(A)) = \omega(\varphi(0), A, \mathbb{D}).$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $\Gamma$  be the rectifiable Jordan arc and denote by  $M$  the set of positive linear measure  $\Lambda(M)$  on  $\Gamma$  that consists of shared limit values of  $f$  and  $g$ . From the basic properties of linear measure [4, Proposition 6.3] it follows that by decreasing  $M$  slightly we can assume that  $M$  is closed and still has positive linear measure  $c := \Lambda(M)$ . From the

density properties of linear measure [1, Corollary 2.5] it follows that we can choose two points  $a_1, a_2$  in  $M$ , distinct from the endpoints, and small discs  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(a_i)$  around the  $a_i$  such that  $0 < \lambda(M \cap \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(a_i)) < \frac{1}{3}c$ . Now  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(a_i)$  consists of at most countably many components  $\Gamma_k^i$  and for  $\varepsilon$  small enough each of these is a cross-cut of  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(a_i)$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  choose one of these cross-cuts, say  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , that contain a part of  $M$  of positive linear measure. Then  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(a_i) \setminus \Gamma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are four Jordan domains  $G_1, \dots, G_4$  with rectifiable boundary, each boundary containing a part of  $M$  of positive linear measure. By decreasing  $\varepsilon$  if needed, we can assume that the linear measure of the part of  $M$  which lies on the boundaries of the  $G_i$ , which is less than  $\frac{2}{3}c + 4\pi\varepsilon$ , is strictly less than  $c$ . Hence, there exists a point  $b \in M$  outside the closures of the  $G_i$ . Now we apply a Möbius transformation to  $f, g$  and the considered sets such that  $b$  is mapped to  $\infty$ , so that  $\infty$  is a shared limit value.

It is easy to see that the unbounded components of at least one of two preimages  $P_i := f^{-1}(G_i)$  all have an unbounded boundary component. The same holds, of course, for preimages of  $g$ , so that we find at least one in three of the  $G_i$  such that each unbounded component of  $f^{-1}(G_i)$  and  $g^{-1}(G_i)$  has an unbounded boundary component. We will denote this  $P_i$  and the related image domain  $G_i$  with boundary  $J_i$  simply by  $P, G$  and  $J$ . We may now summarize this first step of the proof as follows. We can assume without loss of generality that the set of shared limit values  $M$  has positive linear measure, is compact and lies on a rectifiable Jordan curve  $J$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with inner domain  $G$ . Furthermore, the unbounded components of the preimages of  $G$  with respect to  $f$  and  $g$  all have an unbounded boundary component and  $\infty$  is a shared limit value of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Let  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$  be a sequence with  $f(z_n) \rightarrow a \in G$ . We will prove  $g(z_n) \rightarrow a$ , so that Theorem 1.1 shows the assertion.

For large  $n$  it follows that  $z_n \in P$  and we denote by  $P_n$  the component of  $P$  that contains  $z_n$ . Furthermore, let  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow P_n$  be a universal cover map, such that  $\varphi_n(0) = z_n$ . We can apply Lemma 2.2, and hence the boundary function  $\varphi_n^*$  exists a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\varphi_n^* = \infty$  at most on a set of measure zero. The basic properties of covering maps show that radial limits of  $\varphi_n$  lie in the boundary of  $P_n$ , so that  $\varphi_n^*$  maps a set of full measure to the finite boundary of  $P_n$ .

Next let  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a conformal map with  $\psi(a) = 0$ . By the Carathéodory theorem [4, Theorem 2.6],  $\psi$  has a homeomorphic boundary function  $\psi^* : J \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  and since  $J$  is rectifiable it follows from the Riesz–Privalov theorem [4, Theorem 6.8] that  $A := \psi^*(M)$  is a set of positive measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Now consider the map  $F_n := \psi \circ f \circ \varphi_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . From the properties of  $\psi, f$  and  $\varphi_n$  we conclude that the boundary function  $F_n^*$  exists a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$  with values in  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e.  $F_n$  is an inner function. By Lemma 2.5, the sets  $B_n := (F_n^*)^{-1}(A) \subset \mathbb{T}$  satisfy  $\mu(B_n) = \omega(\psi \circ f(z_n), A, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \omega(0, A, \mathbb{D}) = \mu(A) > 0$ .

The next step is to prove that the boundary functions  $\Phi_n^*$  of the sequence  $\Phi_n := (f - g) \circ \varphi_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  converge to 0 uniformly on  $\tilde{B}_n := B_n \setminus E_n$  with  $\mu(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ . For this we consider two cases.

In the first case we assume that in each component of  $P$  there lie at most finitely many  $z_n$ . This implies that the domains  $P_n$  tend to  $\infty$  and therefore that  $f - g$  is

uniformly bounded on  $P_n$  for large  $n$ . Assume to the contrary that there is a sequence  $w_n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $P_n$  such that  $(f - g)(w_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $f$  is bounded on  $P$ , it follows that  $g(w_n) \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\infty$  is a shared limit value. Lemma 2.2 shows that  $\Phi_n^*$  is defined a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$  for large  $n$ . Furthermore, Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\Phi_n^*$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $\tilde{B}_n := B_n \setminus E_n$ , where, according to Lemma 2.2, the  $E_n$  can be chosen such that  $\mu(E_n) = 0$ .

In the second case we can assume that all  $z_n$  lie in one unbounded component  $P'$  of  $P$ . Since  $\infty$  is a shared limit value, it follows from the arguments of the first case that  $g$  has at most finitely many poles in  $P'$ . If  $g$  has poles in  $P'$ , we consider  $\tilde{g} := R \cdot g$  instead of  $g$  with a rational function  $R$  with  $R(\infty) = 1$  and such that  $\tilde{g}$  has no poles in  $P'$ . Note that this does not affect the asymptotic behaviour of  $g$ . Thus, we can assume that  $g$  is bounded on  $P'$ , so that  $\Phi_n$  is holomorphic and  $\Phi_n^*$  exists a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\partial P'$  contains an unbounded component,  $\infty$  is a regular point for the Dirichlet problem in  $P'$  [9, Theorem I.11]. (All finite points of  $\partial P'$  are also contained in continua of  $\partial P'$  so that  $P'$  is a regular domain on the sphere.) Hence, for any bounded Borel subset  $C$  of  $\partial P'$  we have  $\omega(z_n, C, P') \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that, for large  $n$ , bounded parts of  $M' := \partial P' \cap f^{-1}(M)$  can be neglected in the following sense: there exists a sequence  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\omega(z_n, \mathbb{D}_{r_n} \cap M', P') \rightarrow 0$ . We set  $E_n := (\varphi_n^*)^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_{r_n} \cap M')$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3, we get  $\mu(E_n) = \omega(0, E_n, \mathbb{D}) \leq \omega(\varphi_n(0), \mathbb{D}_{r_n} \cap M', P') = \omega(z_n, \mathbb{D}_{r_n} \cap M', P') \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Phi_n^*$  converges to 0 uniformly on  $\tilde{B}_n := B_n \setminus E_n$  by Lemma 2.1.

For large  $n$  we have  $\mu(\tilde{B}_n) > \frac{1}{2}\mu(A)$  and it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\Phi_n$  converges to 0 locally uniformly in  $\mathbb{D}$ . In particular,  $\Phi_n(0) = (f - g)(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $f(z_n) \rightarrow a \in G$  implies  $g(z_n) \rightarrow a$ . In the first part of the proof we have arranged the situation such that it is symmetric with respect to  $f$  and  $g$ . Hence, the same argument shows that, for every  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g(z_n) \rightarrow a \in G$  implies  $f(z_n) \rightarrow a$ . Theorem 1.1 thus proves Theorem 1.2.

#### 4. Remarks

Inspection of the above proof shows that it is important for our method that  $M$  is mapped by  $\psi$  to a set of positive measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . In Theorem 1.2 this is ensured by the Riesz–Privalov theorem. If the assumption of rectifiability is dropped, there seems to be no substitute for this argument: there exist quasi-discs  $Q$  such that the boundary homeomorphism of any conformal map  $\psi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  maps the boundary of  $Q$  minus a set of linear measure zero to a set of measure zero on  $\mathbb{T}$  (combine Proposition 4.12, Proposition 6.22 and Corollary 6.26 from [4]). But of course the boundary homeomorphism of a Jordan domain maps open arcs to open sets on  $\mathbb{T}$ , which are of positive measure. This justifies Theorem 1.5. (The construction of a suitable domain  $G$  is even simpler if the whole arc consists of shared limit values.)

Note that a continuum of infinite linear measure need not contain a continuum of finite linear measure. For example, the von Koch curve [1, § 8.3] is a Jordan arc of infinite linear measure that contains no subarc of finite length. (It is easy to check that subcontinua of Jordan arcs are subarcs.) This means that our method, in general, does not cover continua of infinite linear measure, so that we cannot delete the assumption of finite

linear measure in Corollary 1.3. (Of course, the von Koch curve is a limit uniqueness set by Theorem 1.5.)

The classes of sets which are considered in our results are well-known examples of sets that are not removable for bounded analytic functions (see, for example, [8] and the references therein). Therefore, it is natural to require a connection between removability and limit uniqueness sets. We believe that there is no such connection. Any subset of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with at least five elements should be a limit uniqueness set.

## References

1. K. J. FALCONER, *The geometry of fractal sets*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Volume 85 (Cambridge University Press, 1985).
2. R. NEVANLINNA, *Analytic functions* (Springer, 1970).
3. A. OSTROWSKI, Über die Bedeutung der Jensenschen Formel für einige Fragen der komplexen Funktionentheorie, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **1** (1923), 80–87.
4. C. POMMERENKE, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Volume 299 (Springer, 1992).
5. T. RANSFORD, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Volume 28 (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
6. W. RUDIN, *New constructions of functions holomorphic in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Volume 63 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986).
7. A. SAUER, Meromorphic functions with shared limit values, *Annales Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **27** (2002), 183–204.
8. X. TOLSA, Painlevé's problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity, *Acta Math.* **190** (2003), 105–149.
9. M. TSUJI, *Potential theory in modern function theory* (Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959; reprinted, 1975, Chelsea, New York).