

# Obstructions to $\mathcal{Z}$ -Stability for Unital Simple $C^*$ -Algebras

Guihua Gong, Xinhui Jiang and Hongbing Su

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the unital simple nuclear infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra which has the same Elliott invariant as  $\mathbb{C}$ , introduced in [9]. A  $C^*$ -algebra is called  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable if  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . In this note we give some necessary conditions for a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra to be  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable.

## 0 Introduction and Summary of Results

The purpose of this short note is to initiate the study a class of  $C^*$ -algebras which, we hope, will turn out to be both rich and well-behaved.

In [9], a unital simple nuclear infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  is introduced. In many ways, it resembles the algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. In particular,  $\mathcal{Z}$  has a unique tracial state, is projectionless, and is KK-equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is also shown that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  for a large class of simple  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ . Note also that  $\mathcal{Z}$  was proposed as a  $C^*$ -analogue of the hyperfinite factor  $\mathcal{R}$  of type  $II_1$ .

We call a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$   $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, if  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . An interesting question is to characterize  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability. See [11] and [5] for solutions to the corresponding question in the theory of von Neumann algebras (that is, the characterization of separable factors  $\mathcal{M}$  for which  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ ).

In this note, we show some obstructions to  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability for unital simple  $C^*$ -algebras.

To compare  $A$  with  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ , it is natural to compare the known invariants. Let  $\iota: A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  be the canonical embedding. It is quite easy to see (cf. Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12 of [9]) that  $\iota$  induces isomorphisms between the Elliott invariants [8] of  $A$  and  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ , except possibly the pre-ordered structures on the  $K_0$  groups. In particular, the induced map  $\iota_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$  is a group isomorphism, but it might fail to be an isomorphism of pre-ordered groups. In Section 2, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then:*

- (a)  $K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$  is weakly unperforated;
- (b)  $\iota_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$  is an isomorphism of pre-ordered groups if and only if  $K_0(A)$  is weakly unperforated.

Note that there are examples of simple unital approximately homogeneous (hence separable and nuclear)  $C^*$ -algebras whose  $K_0$  groups are not weakly unperforated (cf. [14]).

---

Received by the editors December 4, 1998.

Research of the first author partially supported by NSF Grant DMS9401515.

AMS subject classification: 46L05.

Keywords: simple  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability, weak (un)perforation in  $K_0$  group, property  $\Gamma$ , finiteness.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2000.

Therefore, Theorem 1 provides a useful necessary condition for unital simple algebras to be  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. What is perhaps more remarkable is that this condition might be sufficient for unital separable infinite-dimensional nuclear simple  $C^*$ -algebras. This is a very interesting test case of Elliott’s classification program [8].

On the other hand, there are other obstructions for  $C^*$ -algebras that are not nuclear. Let  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  denote the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra of the free group on two generators. It is well-known that  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra whose  $K_0$  group is weakly unperforated. In Section 3, we show that  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is not  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. For this purpose, we propose an analogue of property  $\Gamma$  [12] for  $C^*$ -algebras, and show that:

**Theorem 2** *Every unital  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra enjoys property  $\Gamma$ .*

It follows from a classical result in [12] that  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  does not have property  $\Gamma$ .

Inspired by a paper of Rordam [13], in Section 3, we prove a dichotomy on finiteness for unital simple  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras:

**Theorem 3** *Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is either stably finite or purely infinite.*

It is not clear, however, whether this represents a genuine obstruction: All known examples of unital simple  $C^*$ -algebras are either stably finite or purely infinite.

Much of this work was done during the first author’s visit at the Fields Institute in January 1996. We wish to thank George Elliott for the invitation and hospitality extended to the first author, and for supporting the second and third authors. We also wish to thank George Elliott and Huaxin Lin for helpful discussions.

## 1 Weak (Un)Perforation on $K_0$

In this section we prove Theorem 1. To establish notations, we first recall some basic facts from [9].

**Notations 1.1** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $m$  and  $n$  two positive integers.

1°. Let  $\mathbf{M}_n$  denote the algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices, with unit  $\mathbf{1}_n$  and zero element  $\mathbf{0}_n$ .

2°. We shall not distinguish between  $\mathbf{M}_n(A)$  and  $A \otimes \mathbf{M}_n$ . In particular, for any  $a \in A$ , we have the following identification:

$$a \otimes \mathbf{1}_n = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_n(A).$$

3°. For  $x \in \mathbf{M}_m(A)$  and  $y \in \mathbf{M}_n(A)$ , we write:

$$x \oplus y = \text{diag}(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{m+n}(A).$$

4°. Let  $Z_{m,n}(A)$  denote the unital  $C^*$ -algebra of all continuous functions  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$  with

$$f(0) = x \otimes \mathbf{1}_n, \quad \text{for some } x \in \mathbf{M}_m(A),$$

and

$$f(1) = y \otimes \mathbf{1}_m, \quad \text{for some } y \in \mathbf{M}_n(A).$$

When  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , we shall denote  $Z_{m,n}(A)$  simply by  $Z_{m,n}$ . This is (isomorphic to) the dimension drop algebra denoted by  $\mathbf{I}[m, mn, n]$  in [9]. Again, we shall not distinguish between  $Z_{m,n}(A)$  and  $A \otimes Z_{m,n}$ .

If  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, then  $Z_{m,n}$  is called a prime dimension drop algebra.

The algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  constructed in [9] is the only simple  $C^*$ -algebra with a unique tracial state which is an inductive limit of prime dimension drop algebras (with unital connecting maps). In fact, it contains any prime dimension drop algebra as a unital subalgebra (cf. proof of Proposition 2.7 in [9]). Note also that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, since each prime dimension drop algebra is nuclear. Therefore, there is no ambiguity about  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ , or  $A \otimes Z_{m,n}$  for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $\iota: A \rightarrow A \otimes Z_{m,n}$  the unital embedding given by  $\iota(a) = a \otimes \mathbf{1}$  for  $a \in A$ . The following lemma should be well-known:

**Lemma 1.2**  $\iota_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes Z_{m,n})$  is a group isomorphism.

**Proof** We shall construct its inverse. Let  $v_0: Z_{m,n}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_m(A)$  be the evaluation map at 0:

$$v_0(f) = x, \quad \text{if } f(0) = x \otimes \mathbf{1}_q.$$

(Cf. Section 1.1.4.) Similarly, let  $v_1: Z_{m,n}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_n(A)$  be the evaluation map at 1.

Furthermore, since  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, there exist integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$(1) \quad \alpha \cdot m + \beta \cdot n = 1.$$

Then it is straightforward to check that  $\alpha \cdot (v_0)_* + \beta \cdot (v_1)_*$  is the inverse to  $\iota_*$ . ■

Abusing notations, we also denote by  $\iota$  the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . From the construction of  $\mathcal{Z}$  and Lemma 1.2, it follows that:

**Corollary 1.3** (cf. Lemma 2.9 in [9])  $\iota_*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$  is a group isomorphism.

Of course, this also follows from the Kunneth Theorem.

It is natural to ask whether  $\iota_*$  is actually an isomorphism of *pre-ordered groups*. The following result answers this question when  $A$  is simple.

**Theorem 1.4** Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\iota: A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  the canonical embedding. Suppose that  $g \in K_0(A)$ . Then  $\iota_*(g) > 0$  if and only if  $n \cdot g > 0$  for some integer  $n > 0$ .

**Proof** If  $A$  is not stably finite, then  $K_0^+(A) = K_0(A)$  (cf. [7]). In this case, the conclusion follows immediately from Corollary 1.3.

For the rest of this proof, we assume that  $A$  is stably finite. In this case,  $K_0(A)$  is an ordered group (cf. Section 6.3.3 in [1]). In particular, if  $0 < g_0 \in K_0(A)$  and  $0 < g_1 \in K_0(A)$ , then  $g_0 + g_1 > 0$ .

Suppose that  $\iota_*(g) > 0$ . By the construction of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , there exists a prime dimension drop algebra  $Z_{m,n}$  such that  $(\iota_{m,n})_*(g) \geq 0$ , where  $\iota_{m,n}: A \rightarrow A \otimes Z_{m,n}$  is the canonical embedding. Using the notations in the proof of Lemma 1.2, we have:

$$m \cdot g = (v_0)_*[(\iota_{m,n})_*(g)] \geq 0,$$

and

$$n \cdot g = (v_1)_*[(\iota_{m,n})_*(g)] \geq 0.$$

We claim that either  $m \cdot g \neq 0$  or  $n \cdot g \neq 0$ . Indeed, if they were both zero, then by (1),  $g = 0$ , which would contradict with the hypothesis that  $\iota_*(g) > 0$ . Therefore, either  $m \cdot g > 0$ , or  $n \cdot g > 0$ . This proves the “only if” part of the theorem.

We now turn to the “if” part. Suppose that  $n \cdot g > 0$  for some  $n > 0$ . Since  $K_0(A)$  is a simple ordered group, there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $n \cdot g > 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Let  $m$  and  $n$  be a pair of relatively prime integers larger than  $n_0$ . Then there are projections  $e \in \mathbf{M}_{jm}(A)$  and  $f \in \mathbf{M}_{jn}(A)$ , where  $j > 0$  is an integer, such that:

$$m \cdot g = [e], \quad \text{and} \quad n \cdot g = [f].$$

Consider these two projections  $e$  and  $f$ . We have  $n \cdot [e] = mn \cdot g = m \cdot [f]$ . By Theorem 3.1.4 of [2],  $e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{kn}$  and  $f \otimes \mathbf{1}_{km}$  are equivalent for all sufficiently large integers  $k$ . Choose one such integer  $k$  such that  $km$  and  $n$  remain relatively prime. Increasing  $j$  if necessary, we assume that  $e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{kn}$  and  $f \otimes \mathbf{1}_{km}$  are homotopic in  $\mathbf{M}_{jkmn}(A)$ . That is, there exists a continuous path  $E_t$  of projections in  $\mathbf{M}_{jkmn}(A)$  such that:

$$E_0 = e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{kn}, \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 = f \otimes \mathbf{1}_{km}.$$

In other words,  $E$  is a (nonzero) projection in  $\mathbf{M}_j(A) \otimes Z_{km,n}$ . It is easy to verify (using Lemma 1.2) that  $(\iota_{km,n})_*(g) = [E]$ , where  $\iota_{km,n}: A \rightarrow A \otimes Z_{km,n}$  is the canonical embedding.

As we pointed out before,  $\mathcal{Z}$  contains any prime dimension drop algebra as a unital subalgebra. Let  $\phi: Z_{km,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  be a unital embedding, and  $\varphi = \text{id}_A \otimes \phi$ . Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \\ \downarrow \iota_{km,n} & & \downarrow \iota \\ A \otimes Z_{km,n} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \otimes \mathcal{Z}. \end{array}$$

In particular,  $\iota_*(g) = \varphi_*([E]) \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\iota_*(g) > 0$  (since  $g \neq 0$ , and  $\iota_*$  is injective). This proves the “if” part. ■

Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 1** Recall that a pre-ordered group  $G$  is weakly unperforated, if for any  $g \in G, g > 0$  whenever there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cdot g > 0$ .

Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra.

Let  $g \in K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$ . Suppose that  $n \cdot g > 0$  for some integer  $n > 0$ . Then by Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, there is an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $m \cdot \iota_*^{-1}(n \cdot g) > 0$ . That is,  $mn \cdot \iota_*^{-1}(g) > 0$ . Again, by Theorem 1.4, we have  $g = \iota_*(\Phi_*^{-1}(g)) > 0$ . This establishes Theorem 1(a).

Theorem 1(b) is now easy to see: The “if” part follows from Theorem 1.4 while the “only if” part follows Theorem 1(a). ■

Theorem 1 gives a necessary condition for a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra to be  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. By [14], this condition is not vacuous even for separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. On the other hand, it could be also sufficient for such algebras. More precisely, if  $A$  is a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $K_0(A)$  is weakly unperforated, then by Theorem 1 (and Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12 of [9]),  $\iota: A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  induces an isomorphism of the Elliott invariants [8]. This raises the following question:

**Question 1.5** Let  $A$  be a unital simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, separable and infinite dimensional. If  $K_0(A)$  is weakly unperforated, is  $A$   $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable?

## 2 Property $\Gamma$

In this section, we propose a  $C^*$ -algebra analogue of property  $\Gamma$  [12], and prove that  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability implies property  $\Gamma$ . As a consequence, the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is not  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, even though its  $K_0$  group is weakly unperforated.

Recall, from [12], that a type  $II_1$  factor  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to have property  $\Gamma$ , if for any given finite set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfying the following:

$$\tau(u) = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \|ua - au\|_\tau < \epsilon, \quad \forall a \in F,$$

where  $\tau$  is the unique tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\|a\|_\tau^2 = \tau(a^*a)$ .

Inspired by this, we introduce the following:

**Definition 2.1** Let  $A$  be a unital (separable)  $C^*$ -algebra.  $A$  will be said to have property  $\Gamma$ , if for any finite set  $F \subseteq A$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a unitary  $u \in A$  such that:

$$\tau(u) = 0 \quad \text{for all traces } \tau \text{ on } A,$$

and

$$\|ua - au\| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } a \in F.$$

In particular, if  $A$  does not have any tracial state, then it has property  $\Gamma$ . But the interest of this section lies in unital simple  $C^*$ -algebras with a unique tracial state.

We recall a basic property of  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

**Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 4 of [9])**  $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}$ . More generally,  $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \cdots \cong \mathcal{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{Z}^{\otimes \infty}$  is the limit of the sequence  $(\mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}, \iota_n)$ , where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{Z} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}}_{n \text{ times}},$$

and  $\iota_n: \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes(n+1)}$  is again the canonical embedding:

$$\iota_n(a) = a \otimes 1, \quad a \in \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}.$$

**Proposition 2.3**  $\mathcal{Z}$  has property  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 2.2, it suffices to find a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\tau(u) = 0$ , where  $\tau$  is the unique tracial state on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  contains a unital copy of  $Z_{2,3}$ , it is enough to find a unitary  $u \in Z_{2,3}$  such that  $t(u) = 0$  for all tracial state  $t$  on  $Z_{2,3}$ .

For this purpose, we construct a continuous path  $u$  of unitaries in  $\mathbf{M}_6$  with

$$u_0 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1), \quad \text{and}$$

$$u_1 = \text{diag}(1, z^2, z^4, 1, z^2, z^4), \quad \text{where } z = e^{2\pi i/6}.$$

This can be done as follows: From  $u_0$ , we keep the first and forth diagonal entries fixed, rotate the second and fifth diagonal entries clockwise by  $2\pi/6$  in a synchronized way so that the sum of these two entries remains 0, and rotate the remaining two diagonal entries clockwise by  $2\pi/3$  in a similar way. After this operation, we get

$$u_{1/2} = \text{diag}(1, z^2, z^4, -1, z^5, z).$$

Note that  $-1 + z^5 + z = 0$ . To connect  $u_{1/2}$  to  $u_1$ , we rotate the last three diagonal entries of  $u_{1/2}$  by  $\pi$  in a similar way.

By construction,  $u \in Z_{2,3}$ ,  $u$  is unitary, and  $\text{tr}(u_x) = 0$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , where  $\text{tr}$  is the (normalized) trace on  $\mathbf{M}_6$ . It follows (cf. Lemma 2.5 of [9]) that  $t(u) = 0$  for all tracial state  $t$  on  $Z_{2,3}$ . This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 2 follows immediately from Proposition 2.3, since any tracial state on  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ , if exists, must be of the form  $t \otimes \tau$  for some tracial state  $t$  on  $A$ , where  $\tau$  is the unique tracial state on  $\mathcal{Z}$  (cf. Lemma 2.11 of [9]).

We now turn to the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . It is well-known that  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is simple, and  $K_0(C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is weakly unperforated. On the other hand,  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  does not have property  $\Gamma$ , since the corresponding group von Neumann algebra does not have property  $\Gamma$  [12]. Therefore,  $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is not  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable.

### 3 A Dichotomy on Finiteness

The goal of this section is to establish Theorem 3, which follows immediately from the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is not stably finite, then  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  is purely infinite.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be divided into several lemmas. We start with the following well-known facts:

**Lemma 3.2**

- (1) Let  $A \neq 0$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is infinite, then there is an embedding  $\psi: \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow A$ .
- (2) Any two nonunital nonzero endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are homotopic.

**Proof** Part (1) follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [7] (see also Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 of [7]). Part (2) is a very special case of Lemma 2.9 of [10] (though it might have been known earlier). ■

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is not stably finite, then  $A \otimes \mathbb{Z}$  contains an infinite projection.

**Proof** It suffices to show that  $A \otimes Z_{m,n}$  is infinite for some prime dimension drop algebra  $Z_{m,n}$ . The basic strategy is to take  $m, n$  large enough so that there are nonunital embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $M_m(A)$  and  $M_n(A)$ , respectively. In fact, such embeddings can be chosen so that they are homotopic as embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  in  $M_{mn}(A)$ . Such a homotopy provides an embedding of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $A \otimes Z_{m,n}$ , making the latter infinite.

Since  $A$  is not stably finite, we can choose an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{M}_m(A)$  is infinite, we then choose an integer  $n > 3m$  so that  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. We shall show that  $A \otimes Z_{m,n}$  is infinite.

By Lemma 3.2 (1), there exists an embedding  $\psi: \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_m(A)$ .

We define two embeddings  $\psi_0, \psi_1$  of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $\mathbf{M}_m(A)$  and  $\mathbf{M}_n(A)$ , respectively, as follows. Choose a nonunital nonzero endomorphism  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Define  $\psi_0 = \psi \circ \lambda$ , and  $\psi_1 = \psi \oplus \mathbf{0}_{n-m}$  (cf. Section 1.1).

Let  $\iota_0: \mathbf{M}_m(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$  be the canonical embedding given by

$$\iota_0(x) = x \otimes 1_n, \quad x \in M_m(A).$$

Define  $\iota_1: \mathbf{M}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$  accordingly. Let  $\Psi_0 = \iota_0 \circ \psi_0$  and  $\Psi_1 = \iota_1 \circ \psi_1$ . We now show that  $\Psi_0$  is homotopic to  $\Psi_1$  (as embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  in  $\mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$ ).

Let  $B = \mathbf{M}_n(\psi(\mathcal{O}_2)) \subseteq \mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$ . It is well-known that  $M_k(\mathcal{O}_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_2$  for any positive integer  $k$ . Therefore,  $B \cong \mathcal{O}_2$ . It follows from the definition that  $\Psi_0(\mathcal{O}_2) \subseteq B$ .

The image of  $\Psi_1$  is not in  $B$ , but this can be fixed easily. For any integer  $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $k_j$  be the smallest integer such that  $j \cdot n \leq k_j \cdot m$ . Let  $u_{j,t}$  be a continuous path in  $SU_n$  such that  $u_{j,0} = I_n$  and

$$u_{j,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_m & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{(k_j \cdot m - j \cdot n)} & 0 & 0 \\ -1_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{[(j+1) \cdot n - (k_j+2) \cdot m]} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $u_t = u_{1,t} \cdot u_{2,t} \cdots u_{m,t}$ . It is easy to see that  $u_t^* \Psi_1 u_t$  is a homotopy of non-unital embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $\mathbf{M}_{mn}(A)$ . In fact,  $u_1^* \cdot \Psi_1 \cdot u_1$  is a non-unital embedding of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into

$B$ , hence is homotopic to  $\Psi_0$  by Lemma 3.2(2). In conclusion, we have a homotopy  $\Psi_t$  of non-unital embedding of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  into  $M_{mn}(A)$  connecting  $\Psi_0$  and  $\Psi_1$ . This gives rise to an embedding of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  in  $\mathcal{Z}_{m,n}(A)$ . Therefore,  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{m,n}$  contains an infinite projection, and so does  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . ■

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra which is not stably finite. Then:*

- (1) *If  $0 \neq p \in A$  is a projection, then  $p \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  is an infinite projection; and*
- (2) *Every projection in  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  is infinite.*

Note that in a simple  $C^*$ -algebra which is not stably finite, any nonzero hereditary subalgebra remains simple and not stably finite. This follows from a basic argument due to Cuntz [6].

**Proof** (1) This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3 (applied to the algebra  $pAp$ ).

(2) Let  $q$  be a projection in  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \lim(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}, \iota_n)$ . Using this isomorphism, we might assume, without loss of generality, that  $q \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}$  for some  $n > 0$  (recall that close projections are unitarily equivalent). Then by part (1) of this lemma,  $q \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is an infinite projection in  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** This is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.4. Let  $B$  be any nonzero hereditary subalgebra of  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . Then  $B$  is simple and not stably finite. By Lemma 3.3,  $B \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  contains an infinite projection.

Again, by Theorem 2.2,  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \lim(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}, \iota_n)$ . It follows from the previous paragraph that for any  $n$ , and any nonzero hereditary subalgebra  $B$  of  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\iota_n(B)$  contains a nonzero projection. Therefore, by Lemma 1.8(a) of [3], any nonzero hereditary subalgebra of the limit algebra  $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  contains a nonzero projection, which, by Corollary 3.4(2), is necessarily infinite. This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 3.5** One question arises from the above proof: Let  $A$  be a simple  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $B$  is a hereditary subalgebra of  $A$ , is  $B$   $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable?

In [13], it is proved that if  $A$  is a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a UHF algebra, then either the invertibles are dense in  $A \otimes \mathcal{U}$  (hence  $A \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is stably finite), or  $A \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is purely infinite. Note that  $A \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable (Theorem 5 of [9]). This motivates Theorem 3. It is also natural to ask the following:

**Question 3.6** Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is finite, are the invertibles dense in  $A$ .

The answer should be affirmative, but the proof has eluded us so far.

## References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [2] ———, *Rational  $C^*$ -algebras and nonstable K-theory*. Rocky Mountain Math. J. 20(1990), 285–316.
- [3] B. Blackadar and A. Kumjian, *Skew product of relations and the structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*. Math. Z. 189(1985), 55–63.



- [4] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian and M. Rordam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori*. *K-theory* **6**(1992), 267–284.
- [5] A. Connes, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors*. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **8**(1975), 383–419.
- [6] J. Cuntz, *The structure of addition and multiplication in simple  $C^*$ -algebras*. *Math. Scand.* **40**(1977), 215–233.
- [7] ———, *K-theory for certain  $C^*$ -algebras*. *Ann. Math.* **113**(1981), 181–197.
- [8] G. A. Elliott, *The classification problem for amenable  $C^*$ -algebras*. *Proceedings ICM '94*, 922–932.
- [9] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless  $C^*$ -algebra*. *Amer. J. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] H. Lin and N. C. Phillips, *Classification of direct limits of even Cuntz-circle algebras*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* (565) **118**, 1995.
- [11] D. McDuff, *Central sequences and the hyperfinite factor*. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **21**(1970), 443–461.
- [12] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators, IV*. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **44**(1943), 716–808.
- [13] M. Rordam, *On the structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra I*. *J. Funct. Anal.* **100**(1991), 1–17.
- [14] J. Villadsen, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras with perforation*. Preprint.

*Mathematics Department*  
*University of Puerto Rico*  
*P.O. Box 23355*  
*San Juan*  
*Puerto Rico 00931*  
*email: ggong@rrpac.upr.clu.edu*

*The Fields Institute*  
*222 College Street*  
*Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1*  
*email: jiang@fields.utoronto.ca*

*The Fields Institute*  
*222 College Street*  
*Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1*  
*email: su@fields.utoronto.ca*