

Supplement to a Note on Recurrent Sequences

By C. E. WALSH, Dublin.

(Received 5th December, 1932. Read 20th January, 1933.)

A lemma used in the above paper¹ can be extended to cover the case of a sequence S_n determined by a recurrence relation of the form

$$(1) \quad S_n = a_n^1 S_{n-1} + a_n^2 S_{n-2} + \dots + a_n^r S_{n-r}$$

r being any positive integer.

For convenience denote $|a_n^r|$ by b_n^r everywhere. Let us suppose that an inequality of the form

$$(2) \quad |S_p| \leq K k_p \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} l_t$$

holds for $p = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$. The constant K can be determined from the initial values of S_n , when k_n and l_n have been found.

Taking moduli in (1), the inequality (2) is seen to be true for $p = n$ also, if

$$b_n^1 k_{n-1} \prod_{t=1}^{n-2} l_t + b_n^2 k_{n-2} \prod_{t=1}^{n-3} l_t + \dots + b_n^r k_{n-r} \prod_{t=1}^{n-r-1} l_t \leq k_n \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} l_t.$$

Choosing b_n^1 as k_n everywhere, and dividing across by $b_n^1 \prod_{t=1}^{n-2} l_t$, we obtain

$$k_{n-1} + \frac{b_n^2 k_{n-2}}{k_n l_{n-2}} + \frac{b_n^3 k_{n-3}}{k_n l_{n-2} l_{n-3}} + \dots + \frac{b_n^r k_{n-r}}{k_n l_{n-2} l_{n-3} \dots l_{n-r}} \leq l_{n-1}.$$

This will clearly be satisfied if $k_n \leq l_n$ always, and

$$(3) \quad k_{n-1} + \frac{b_n^2}{k_n} + \frac{b_n^3}{k_n k_{n-2}} + \dots + \frac{b_n^r}{k_n k_{n-2} k_{n-3} \dots k_{n-r+1}} = l_{n-1}.$$

But equation (3) contains the other condition $k_n \leq l_n$. Hence (2) is satisfied for all p if $k_n = b_n^1$ always, and l_{n-1} is then found from (3), by substitution there for k_n .

¹ *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2), 3 (1932), 147-150.

The theorems of the previous note can now be extended, using the lemma in this wider form. Theorems of another type may perhaps be indicated here.

From a recurrence relation of the form

$$(4) \quad u_n = \alpha_n^1 u_{n+1} + \alpha_n^2 u_{n+2} + \dots + \alpha_n^r u_{n+r} + c_n \theta_n$$

where $\lim \theta_n = 0$, we can derive the relation

$$(5) \quad u_n = A_m^n u_{m+1} + B_m^n u_{m+2} + \dots + L_m^n u_{m+r} + \sum_{t=n}^m \lambda_n^t \theta_t$$

and $n \leq m + 1$. When $n = m + 1$, $A_m^n = 1$, while B_m^n etc., and λ_n all vanish.

Because of (4), a recurrence relation

$$A_m^n = \alpha_n^1 A_m^{n+1} + \alpha_n^2 A_m^{n+2} + \dots + \alpha_n^r A_m^{n+r}$$

exists for A_m^n . In addition A_m^{m+1} , which we regard as the first term of the sequence A_m^n , is unity. There is a similar recurrence relation for the other coefficients B_m^n etc., and for the λ 's. The lemma may thus be used to fix limits for these coefficients, having imposed suitable conditions on the α 's and on c_n .

When a condition

$$\sum_{t=n}^m |\lambda_n^t| < R$$

holds, R being independent of m and n , it follows that

$$\sum_{t=n}^m \lambda_n^t \theta_t \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to m , since $\lim \theta_n = 0$. If in addition each of the other terms $A_m^n u_{m+1}$ etc. on the right hand side of (5) tends to zero as m tends to infinity, n being fixed, then $\lim u_n = 0$.

The following well known theorem¹ is a special case of this kind.

If $\lim \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{b_{n+1} - b_n} = l$, $b_n \geq b_{n+1}$ always, and $\lim a_n = \lim b_n = 0$, then $\lim a_n/b_n = l$.

¹ Bromwich, *Infinite Series* (1926), 413.

For, writing $a_n = b_n (l + u_n)$, the hypotheses yield the relation

$$u_n = \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} u_{n+1} + \left(1 - \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}\right) \theta_n$$

where $\theta_n, b_n, b_n u_n$ all tend to zero. The sequence u_n is easily seen to obey the conditions of the preceding paragraph, and so $\lim u_n = 0$, as required.

