

A NOTE ON THE HOMOTOPY-COMMUTATIVITY  
OF SUSPENSIONS

C. S. Hoo

(received March 12, 1967)

Introduction. Let  $A$  and  $X$  be spaces. Then as is well-known,  $[\Sigma A, X]$  is a group where  $\Sigma$  denotes the suspension. We wish to find conditions on  $A$  which will imply that this group is abelian for all spaces  $X$ , that is,  $\Sigma A$  is homotopy-commutative. This is equivalent to saying that  $\text{conil } A \leq 1$  (see [2] for definition). Our results contain relations between  $\text{conil } A$  and the generalised Whitehead product of [1]. We work in the category of complexes with base points.

§ 1. Let  $A, B$  be spaces. We can consider the following as a cofibration:  $A \vee B \xrightarrow{j} A \times B \xrightarrow{q} A \wedge B$ , where  $j$  is the inclusion and  $q$  is the projection. Then there exists a map  $p : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee B)$  such that  $p(\Sigma j) \simeq 1_{\Sigma(A \vee B)}$ . In fact, if we define the maps  $p_1, p_2 : A \times B \rightarrow A \vee B$  by  $p_1(a, b) = (a, *)$ ,  $p_2(a, b) = (*, b)$ , then we can take  $p$  to be the map  $\nabla(\Sigma p_1 \vee \Sigma p_2)\varphi'$  where  $\varphi' : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \times B) \vee \Sigma(A \times B)$  is the suspension structure, and  $\nabla$  is the folding map. This implies that  $(\Sigma j)^{\#} : [\Sigma(A \times B), X] \rightarrow [\Sigma(A \vee B), X]$  is an epimorphism, and hence from the exact sequence of the cofibration  $j$ , that  $(\Sigma q)^{\#} : [\Sigma(A \wedge B), X] \rightarrow [\Sigma(A \times B), X]$  is a monomorphism.

Let  $i_1 : \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ ,  $i_2 : \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$  be the inclusions. Then following Arkowitz [1], we can define the generalised Whitehead product (GWP)  $[i_1, i_2] \in [\Sigma(A \wedge B), \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B]$ . This GWP is represented by a map  $\tilde{k} : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$  such that  $[\tilde{k}(\Sigma q)] = \text{commutator of}$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 10, no. 5, 1967.

$[i_1(\Sigma p_A)]$  and  $[i_2(\Sigma p_B)]$  where  $p_A : A \times B \rightarrow A$ ,  $p_B : A \times B \rightarrow B$  are the projections. Let  $H : \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee B)$  be the homeomorphism given by  $H(k_A(a, t), *) = k_{A \vee B}(a, *, t)$ ,  $H(*, k_B(b, t)) = k_{A \vee B}(*, b, t)$  where  $k_A : A \times I \rightarrow \Sigma A$ ,  $k_B : B \times I \rightarrow \Sigma B$ ,  $k_{A \vee B} : (A \vee B) \times I \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee B)$  are the

projections. It is easily verified that  $Hi_1(\Sigma p_A) = \Sigma p_1$  and

$$Hi_2(\Sigma p_B) = \Sigma p_2. \text{ Hence } [H\tilde{k}(\Sigma q)] = H_{\#}[ \tilde{k}(\Sigma q) ] = [\Sigma p_1] + [\Sigma p_2] - ([\Sigma p_2] + [\Sigma p_1]) = [\nabla(\Sigma p_1 \vee \Sigma p_2) \varphi'] + [\nabla(\Sigma p_2 \vee \Sigma p_1) \varphi' \mu']$$

where  $\mu' : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \times B)$  is the inverse for the suspension structure. Now let  $\varphi'_1 : \Sigma(A \vee B) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee B) \vee \Sigma(A \vee B)$ ,

$\mu'_1 : \Sigma(A \vee B) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee B)$  be the suspension structure on  $A \vee B$ .

Then it is again easily verified that  $\nabla(\Sigma p_2 \vee \Sigma p_1) \varphi' \mu' =$

$\mu'_1 \nabla(\Sigma p_1 \vee \Sigma p_2) \varphi' = \mu'_1 p$ . Thus we have

$$\text{LEMMA 1. } [H\tilde{k}(\Sigma q)] = [p] + [\mu'_1 p] \text{ where } [\tilde{k}] = [i_1, i_2].$$

Since  $H_{\#}$  is an isomorphism and  $(\Sigma q)_{\#}$  is a monomorphism, we have

$$\text{LEMMA 2. } [i_1, i_2] = 0 \text{ if and only if } p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p.$$

Now let  $\alpha \in [\Sigma A, X]$ ,  $\beta \in [\Sigma B, X]$  be represented by maps  $f : \Sigma A \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : \Sigma B \rightarrow X$ , respectively. Then it is easily seen that  $[\alpha, \beta] = (\nabla(f \vee g))_{\#} [i_1, i_2]$ . Thus we have

LEMMA 3. If  $p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p$ , then  $[\alpha, \beta] = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in [\Sigma A, X]$ ,  $\beta \in [\Sigma B, X]$ .

Let us now consider the case  $A = B$ . Let  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \times A$  be the diagonal map. If  $\alpha, \beta \in [\Sigma A, X]$ , it is shown in [1] that  $(\Sigma \Delta)_{\#} (\Sigma q)_{\#} [\alpha, \beta] = (\alpha, \beta) =$  the commutator of  $\alpha, \beta$ . Thus we have

THEOREM 1. If  $p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p : \Sigma(A \times A) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee A)$ , then  $\text{conil } A \leq 1$ .

REMARKS. By Theorem 1, if  $p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p$ , then  $\text{conil } A \leq 1$ .

Let us now consider briefly when this condition  $p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p$  will hold. We observe that if  $p : \Sigma(A \times A) \rightarrow \Sigma(A \vee A)$  is an  $H'$  map with respect to the suspension structures, that is  $\varphi'_1 p \simeq (p \vee p)\varphi'$ , then we will also have  $p\mu' \simeq \mu'_1 p$ , and hence  $\text{conil } A \leq 1$ .

In particular if  $[p]$  belongs to the image of the suspension  $\Sigma_{\#} : [A \times A, A \vee A] \rightarrow [\Sigma(A \times A), \Sigma(A \vee A)]$  then

$\text{conil } A \leq 1$ . Following [3], let us consider the adjoint  $[\bar{p}] \in [A \times A, \Omega(\Sigma(A \vee A))]$ . Then consider the fibration  $\theta : \Omega(\Sigma(A \vee A)) \rightarrow \Omega(\Sigma(A \vee A) \flat \Sigma(A \vee A))$  where the fibre is the co-projective plane associated with the  $H'$  space  $(\Sigma(A \vee A), \varphi'_1, \mu'_1)$  and  $\Sigma(A \vee A) \flat \Sigma(A \vee A)$  denotes the flat product. Then  $\theta_{\#}([\bar{p}]) \in [A \times A, \Omega(\Sigma(A \vee A) \flat \Sigma(A \vee A))]$  is called the Hopf invariant of  $[\bar{p}]$ . In [3], we have

**THEOREM 2.**  $p$  is an  $H'$  map with respect to the suspension structures on  $\Sigma(A \times A), \Sigma(A \vee A)$  if and only if the Hopf invariant  $\theta_{\#}([\bar{p}]) = 0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. Arkowitz, The generalised Whitehead product, *Pac. J. Math.* 12 (1962) 7-23.
2. I. Berstein and T. Ganea, Homotopical nilpotency. *I 11. J. Math.* 5 (1961) 99-130.
3. I. Berstein and P. J. Hilton, On suspensions and comultiplications. *Topology* 2 (1963) 73-82.
4. T. Ganea, On some numerical homotopy invariants, *Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians* (1962) 467-472.
5. T. Ganea, P. J. Hilton and F.P. Peterson, On the homotopy-commutativity of loop-spaces and suspensions *Topology* 1 (1962) 133-141.

6. P. J. Hilton, Homotopy theory and duality, Gordon and Breach (New York) 1963.

University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta