



The Faculty of Medicine and the University Hospitals of Geneva are seeking applications for a position of:

physician responsible for the "Cognitive Disorders and Age-Related Behavioral and Psychiatric Syndromes" clinical program

CHARGE: This is a university hospital position, that includes part of a professor's appointment and a full time hospital appointment as attending physician responsible for a clinical program. This position is attached at the hospital level to the Geriatrics service of the Department of internal medicine, rehabilitation and geriatrics of the Geneva University Hospitals and at the academic level to the Department of psychiatry of the Faculty of Medicine.

Candidates should have a broad clinical expertise in the dementia field, extensive clinical experience in a memory clinic and a deep knowledge of dementia diagnostic markers. They should be able to conduct clinical research in the fields of cognitive impairment and age-related behavioral and psychological syndromes at the highest national and international levels, have a demonstrated ability to obtain external funding and collaborate in multidisciplinary studies. Teaching at pregraduate and postgraduate levels is also required.

TITLE AND APPLICATION CONDITIONS:

MD with specialization in neurology, geriatrics or psychiatry are required. Good knowledge of French, previous experience as an independent investigator and teacher, and publications in major international journals are also required.

STARTING DATE FOR THE POSITION: January 1st, 2013 or according to agreement.

Applications must be sent **before October 15, 2012** to: The Dean of the Faculty of Medicine CMU, 1 rue Michel-Servet 1211 Genève 4 – Switzerland

Information concerning applications and job description are available from sylvia.deraemy@unige.ch

Women are encouraged to apply More about this opening: https://jobs.icams.unige.ch/

JOURNALS

Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society

Published for the International Neuropsychological Society

Editor-in-Chief

Kathleen Y. Haaland, NM VA Healthcare System, Albuquerque, US

JINS aims to further scientific and research activities in neuropsychology and enhance communication among its cognate member disciplines. The journal publishes scholarly, peer-reviewed articles and includes original research, timely review articles and transactions of the annual meetings of the International Neuropsychological Society. Contributions reflect the interest of all areas of neuropsychology, including (but not limited to): development of cognitive processes, brain–behavior relationships, adult neuropsychology, child neuropsychology, developmental neuropsychology, disorders of speech and language, and related topics such as behavioral neurology, neuropsychiatry, neuroimaging, and electrophysiology.



Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society

is available online at: http://journals.cambridge.org/ins

To subscribe contact Customer Services

in Cambridge:

Phone +44 (0)1223 326070 Fax +44 (0)1223 325150 Email journals@cambridge.org

in New York:

Phone +1 (845) 353 7500

Fax +1 (845) 353 4141

Email

subscriptions_newyork@cambridge.org

Free email alerts

Keep up-to-date with new material – sign up at journals.cambridge.org/register

For free online content visit: http://journals.cambridge.org/ins



Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Instructions for Authors and Commentators http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst

Behavioral and Brain Sciences (BBS) is a unique scientific communication medium, providing the service of Open Peer Commentary for reports of significant current work in psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology or cognitive science. If a manuscript is judged by BBS referees and editors to be appropriate for Commentary (see Criteria below), it is circulated electronically to a large number of commentators selected (with the aid of systematic bibliographic searches and e-mail Calls for Commentators) from the BBS Associateship and the worldwide biobehavioral science community, including individuals recommended by the author. If you are not a BBS Associate and wish to enquire about joining, please see the instructions for associate membership at http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst

Once the Commentary stage of the process has begun, the author can no longer alter the article, but can respond formally to all commentaries accepted for publication. The target article, commentaries, and authors' responses then co-appear in BBS. (Note: Continuing Commentary submissions are no longer being accepted.)

Criteria for acceptance: To be eligible for publication, a paper should not only meet the standards of a journal such as *Psychological Review or the International Review of Neurobiology* in terms of conceptual rigor, empirical grounding, and clarity of style, but the author should also offer an explicit 500 word rationale for soliciting Commentary, and a list of suggested commentators (complete with e-mail addresses).

A BBS target article an be: (i) the report and discussion of empirical research that the author judges to have broader scope and implications than might be more appropriately reported in a specialty journal; (ii) an unusually significant theoretical article that formally models or systematizes a body of research; or (iii) a novel interpretation, synthesis, or critique of existing experimental or theoretical work. Occasionally, articles dealing with social or philosophical aspects of the behavioral and brain sciences will be considered.

The service of Open Peer Commentary will be primarily devoted to original unpublished manuscripts written specifically for BBS treatment. However, a recently published book whose contents meet the standards outlined above spontaneously and multiply nominated by the BBS Associateship may also be eligible for Commentary. In such a BBS Multiple Book Review, a comprehensive, article-length précis by the author is published together with the commentaries and the author's response. In special cases, Commentary will also be extended to a position paper or an already published article that deals with particularly influential or controversial research or that has itself proven to be especially important or controversial. In normal cases however, BBS submissions may not be already published (either in part or whole) or be under consideration for publication elsewhere and submission of an article is considered expressly to imply this. Multiple book reviews and previously published articles appear by invitation only. Self-nominations cannot be considered, neither can non-spontaneous (i.e. author elicited) nominations. However, the BBS Associateship and professional readership of BBS are encouraged to nominate current topics, books and authors for Commentary; e-mail bbsjournal@cambridge.org

In all the categories described, the decisive consideration for eligibility will be the desirability of Commentary for the submitted material. Controversiality simpliciter is not a sufficient criterion for soliciting Commentary: a paper may be controversial simply because it is wrong or weak. Nor is the mere presence of interdisciplinary aspects sufficient: general cybernetic and "organismic" disquisitions are not appropriate for BBS. Some appropriate rationales for seeking Open Peer Commentary would be that: (1) the material bears in a significant way on some current controversial issues in behavioral and brain sciences; (2) its findings substantively contradict some well-established aspects of current research and theory; (3) it criticizes the findings, practices, or principles of an accepted or influential line of work; (4) it unifies a substantial amount of disparate research; (5) it has important cross-disciplinary ramifications, (6) it introduces an innovative methodology or formalism for broader consideration; (7) it meaningfully integrates a body of brain and behavioral data; (8) it places a hitherto dissociated area of research into an evolutionary or ecological perspective; etc. In order to assure communication with potential commentators (and readers) from other BBS specialty areas, all technical terminology must be clearly defined or simplified, and specialized concepts must be fully described. In case of doubt of appropriateness for BBS Commentary, authors should submit a detailed target article proposal using the new BBS Editorial Manager site at http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs/. After evaluating the proposal, the Editors will encourage or discourage formal target article submission.

A note on commentaries: The purpose of the Open Peer Commentary service is to provide a concentrated constructive interaction between author and commentators on a topic judged to be of broad significance to the biobehavioral science community. Commentators should provide substantive criticism, interpretation, and elaboration as well as any pertinent complementary or supplementary material, such as illustrations; all original data will be refereed in order to assure the archival validity of BBS commentaries. Commentaries and articles should be free of hyperbole and remarks ad hominem. Please refer to and follow exactly the BBS Instructions for Commentators at http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst before submitting your invited commentary.

Style and format for target articles: Target Articles must not exceed 14,000 words (and should ordinarily be considerably shorter); commentaries should not exceed

1,000 words, excluding references. Spelling, capitalization, and punctuation should be consistent within each article and commentary and should follow the style recommended in the latest edition of *A Manual of Style*, The University of Chicago Press. It is advisable to examine a recent issue of BBS as a model.

Target articles should be submitted in MSWord format to the new Editorial Manager site at http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs/. Figures should appear in the body of the text, not at the end of the paper, and should also be supplied as separate TIFF, EPS, JPEG, or GIF files. However, if your article is accepted, TIFF or EPS format will be requested for publication since printing requires resolutions of at least 1100dpi. (Please note that costs for color figure reproduction will be passed along to the author. Color printing is expensive, and authors are encouraged to find alternative methods for presentation of their argument.) Once accepted, a Call for Commentators will be sent to thousands of BBS Associates and readers. The Call letter includes a link to the pre-copyedited final draft archived publicly for potential commentators. The copyedited final draft will only be posted for the invited commentators.

Please make sure your target article file has ALL of the following in this order: Four Separate Word Counts (for the abstract, main text, references, and entire text – total + addresses etc.), an Indexable Title, Full Name(s), Institutional Address(es), E-mail Address(es) and Homepage URL(s) for all authors (where available), Short Abstract (100 words), Long Abstract (250 words), 5–10 Keywords (in alphabetical order), approx. 12,000 word Main Text (with paragraphs separated by full blank lines, not tab indents), and Alphabetical Reference List. Target article authors must also provide numbered headings and subheadings to facilitate cross-reference by commentators. Tables and figures (i.e., photographs, graphs, charts, or other artwork) should be numbered consecutively, and should appear in its appropriate location. Every table should have a title; every figure, a caption.

Endnotes and appendices should be grouped together at the end of the paper and should ideally be locally linked to in the text to facilitate the reader (and of course the referee's task). Acknowledgements should be placed at the end of the paper.

The short abstract will appear by way of an advertisement, one issue in advance of the publication issue. The long abstract will be circulated to referees and then potential commentators should the paper be accepted, and will appear with the printed article. BBS's rigorous timetable constraints (requiring the coordination of target articles, commentaries and author's responses within the publishing queue) make it extremely difficult for us to process follow-up drafts of your submission. Please make sure that the paper you submit is the carefully checked final draft to which you wish the referees to address.

Please also ensure that your submission has been proof-read by a native English speaker before submission. This, of course, greatly improves its chances at the refereeing stage.

References: Bibliographic citations in the text must include the author's last name and the date of publication and may include page references. Complete bibliographic information for each citation should be included in the list of references. Please also include and link to the WWW URL for any paper for which it exists. Examples of correct styles are: Brown (1973); (Brown 1973); Brown 1973; 1978); (Brown 1973; Jones 1976); (Brown & Jones 1978); (Brown et al. 1978). References should be in alphabetical order in the style of the following examples. Do not abbreviate journal titles:

- Freeman, W. J. (1958) Distribution in time and space of prepyriform electrical activity. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 2:644–66. http://cogprints.soton.ac.uk/abs/neuro/199806009
- Dennet, D. C. (1991) Two contrasts: Folk craft versus folk science and belief versus opinion. In: The future of folk psychology: Intentionality and cognitive science, ed. J. D. Greenwood, pp. 26–7. Cambridge University Press. http:// cogprints.soton.ac.uk/abs/phil/199804005
- Bateson, P.P.G. & Hinde, R.A., eds. (1978) *Growing points in ethology*. Cambridge University Press.

Editing: The publishers reserve the right to edit and proof all articles and commentaries accepted for publication. Authors of target articles will be given the opportunity o review the copy-edited manuscript and page proofs. Commentators will be asked to review copy-editing only when changes have been substantial; commentators will not see proofs. Both authors and commentators should notify the editorial office of all corrections within 48 hours or approval will be assumed.

Author response to commentaries: All invited commentaries received before the deadline are only accessible to the Authors and Editors. Please note that no commentary is officially accepted until the Editor in charge has formally reviewed it and notified both the authors and the Editorial Administrator. Please refer to and follow exactly the BBS Commentary Response Instructions at http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst before submitting your response.

Authors of target articles receive 50 offprints of the entire treatment, and can purchase additional copies. Commentators will also be given an opportunity to purchase offprints of the entire treatment.

In this issue

Offprints of the following forthcoming BBS treatments can be purchased for educational purposes if they are ordered well in advance. For ordering information, please write to Journals Department, Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473.

Towards a universal model of reading

Ram Frost

Behavior genetics and postgenomics

Evan Charney

To appear in upcoming issues (2012 and 2013)

Cognitive Systems for Revenge and Forgiveness

Michael E. McCullough, University of Miami, Robert Kurzban, University of Pennsylvania and Chapman University, and Benjamin A. Tabak, University of Miami

We hypothesize that natural selection has given rise, in humans, to a revenge system designed to motivate behaviors that deter the imposition of costs by others. Because revenge carries costs, particularly when taken on friends, relatives, and allies, we posit a subsidiary forgiveness system designed to preserve relationships by inhibiting revenge and motivating behaviors that signal a willingness to return to constructive relations, on the condition that the signaler receives better treatment from the harmdoer in the future. We discuss evidence for the view that revenge is designed for deterrence and that forgiveness is designed to foster reconciliation without the costs of revenge.

With commentary from F Aureli & M Schaffner; D Balliet & T Pronk; P Barclay; S Beckerman; R Crisp & R Meleady; A Dellis & D Spurrett; R Fatfouta, A Jacobs & A Merkl; H Gintis; C Holbrook, DMT Fessler & MM Gervais; S Johnson-Freyd & J Freyd; J Karremans & R Van der Wal; VJ Konecni; S Konrath & I Cheung; D Leiser & L Joskowicz-Jabloner; MG McCoy & T Shackelford; K O'Connor G Adams; F Pahlavan; MB Peterson; D Pietraszewski; M Potegal; SC Roberts & J Murray; D Ross; A Sell; DJ Stein, J van Honk & G Ellis; EL Uhlmann; TJ Wereha & TP Racine; R Yu

Beyond prejudice: Are negative evaluations the problem and is getting us to like one another more the solution?

John Dixon, Open University, Mark Levine, Exeter University, Steve Reicher, St Andrews University, and Kevin Durrheim, University of KwaZulu-Natal

For most of the history of prejudice research, negativity has been treated as its emotional and cognitive signature. By this definition, prejudice occurs when we dislike members of other groups. Recent research, however, shows that unequal intergroup relations are often marked by attitudinal complexity, with positive responses such as affection and admiration mingling with negative responses such as contempt and resentment. It also shows that prejudice reduction interventions may have ironic effects on the political attitudes of the historically disadvantaged, decreasing their perceptions of injustice and willingness to engage in collective action to transform social inequalities. We trace the implications of these developments for future research, focusing particularly on their relevance for understanding and promoting social change.

With commentary from D Abrams, M Vasiljevic & HM Wardrop; M Alicke; M Bilewicz; R Brown; EP Charles, NJ Rowland, B Long & F Yarrison; J Drury; A Eagly & AB Diekman; AR Feddes, L Mann & B Doosje; CJ Harrell & EG Medford; N Haslam; SA Haslam & KJ Reynolds; M Hewstone, H Swart & H Gordon; C Howarth, W Wagner, S Kessi & R Sen; JT Jost, C Stern & DA Kalkstein; M Killen, KL Mulvey & A Rutland; D Langdridge; G Madison & F Ullén; I Maoz; CD Navarrete & MM McDonald; EL Paluck; D Prentice & JN Shelton; M Schaller & SL Neuberg; C Seger & PJ Corr; N Tausch & JC Becker; JM Vigil & K Venner; S Waldzus, TW Schubert & M-P Paladino; S Wright & L Bitacola

Among the articles to appear in forthcoming issues of BBS:

M. E. McCullough, R. Kurzban & B. A. Tabak, "Cognitive systems for revenge and forgiveness"

J. Dixon, M. Levine, S. Reicher & K. Kevin Durrheim, "Beyond prejudice: Are negative evaluations the problem and is getting us to like one another more the solution?"

N. Baumard, J.-B. André & D. Sperber, "A mutualistic approach to morality"

A. Clark, "Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science"

N. J. Bullot & R. Reber, "The artful mind meets art history. Toward a psycho-historical framework for the science of art appreciation"

L. Schilbach, B. Timmermans, V. Reddy, A. Costall, G. Bente, T. Schlicht & K. Vogeley, "Toward a second-person neuroscience" M. J. Pickering & S. Garrod, "An integrated theory of language production and comprehension" E. M. Pothos & J. R. Busemeyer, "Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?"

K. J. Jeffery, A. Jovalekic, M. Verriotis & R. Hayman, "Navigating in a 3D world"

Cambridge Journals Online

For further information about this journal please go to the journal website at:

journals.cambridge.org/bbs



