RES SACRA

MALCOLM MAGEE, O.P.

In last month's article 'Sacrament and Symbol' we saw something of the nature of the sacramental sign and its relationship to the human creature for whom it was instituted. But the sign exists for the sake of the thing signified and its most important function (though not its only function) is to lead us to a knowledge of this thing signified. In this article, therefore, propose to give a short account of what St Thomas understood by res sacra, the sacred reality lying behind the sign.

For this sacred reality is the thing signified. 'A sacrament', sall St Augustine, 'is the sign of a sacred reality'; and St Thomas and 'in so for an in the state of the same of the s 'in so far as it is making men holy'. The Augustinian definition is too wide; as wide, in fact, as it possibly can be for it includes by whole of creation. Everything is a sign of the supreme Sacret Reality, which is in fact the Creator, who has left his mark of his works. In this sense, as we have already seen, the whole of creation is sacramental. St Thomas adds the specific difference w St Augustine's generic definition: the Christian sacrament is the sign of a correlation. sign of a sacred reality in a very special way, namely, 'in so far it is maline marking the sacrament of the sacrament is in so far it is maline marking the sacrament. it is making men holy'. But even here there is an ambiguity the definition for the defini the definition for the subject of the second verb may be either sign, or 'come or 'c 'sign' or 'sacred reality'. Which is it that sanctifies, the sign of thing significal. thing signified? The answer we give to this question will largely depend on the views we hold as to the nature of sacrament causality, but as a matter of exegesis there can be no doubt the for St. Thomas the first in the second sec for St Thomas the 'it' in the definition refers to 'sacred reality' (IIIa, 60, 3, ad 2). It is the thing signified in the act of sanctifying (notice the use of the present participle in the definition instead of the more power! the more natural use of the indicative, emphasizing the present of the thing significally and the thing significantly and the present of the thing signified) which is symbolized by the sacraments of the New Law the New Law.

But there are three different ways in which the thing signified may be related to the sign. First, the thing signified may something which existed in the past but which no longer exists, and then the sign is said to be commemorative; it points backwards

RES SACRA 449

in time to some historical event. A war memorial, for example, is a commemorative sign; the sacrament of the Paschal meal in the Old Law was a commemorative sign, a reminder of the saving of Israel from the tenth plague. Secondly, the thing signified be something which does not yet exist but which will exist the future, and then the sign is said to be forward-looking. A red y in the evening is the indication of a good day to come and is derefore a forward-looking sign, as are all foreshadowings of future events. All the sacraments of the Old Law were forwardlooking signs just as the whole history of Israel was 'prognostic', pological, anticipating the supreme sacrament of the Incarna-Thirdly, the thing signified may be something which is Present but invisible and then the sign is said to be demonstrative; as the symptoms are demonstrative of the illness or as laughter is the sign of joy. The demonstrative sign is the most perfect kind of sign for signification, strictly speaking, is only fully present when the thing signified is also present, real, hidden behind the sign.

All of these characteristics are possessed by the Christian sacraments, the richest of all signs; and corresponding to these characteristics of the sacramental sign are three distinct elements within the thing signified. The sacrament is a commemorative sign because it is a memorial and re-presentation of the mysteries Christ, his Passion, Death and Resurrection. These are events which happened in the past and happened once for all; our faith and happened in the past and happened in history and the sacraments preserve the memory of these events from which they derive their power. This commemorative symbolism is not difficult to interpret in the sacrament of the Eucharist for the whole of the Mass liturgy points to the sacrifice of Calvary. 'The divine wisdom', writes the present pope, has devised a way in which our Redeemer's sacrifice is marvellously shown forth by external signs symbolic of death. by the transubstantiation of bread into the body of Christ and of while into his blood both his body and blood are rendered really present; but the eucharistic species under which he is present symbolize the violent separation of his body and blood, and so a commemorative showing forth of the death which took place in realistic the course by distinct teality on Calvary is repeated in each Mass, because by distinct tepresentations Christ Jesus is signified and shown forth in the state of victim.' (Christian Worship, C.T.S. p.36.) Nor is it difficult

to see how Baptism is a showing forth of the mysteries of Christ, for the Death and Resurrection symbolism of St Paul's Epistle to the Romans (vi, 3) shows how solidly this interpretation is rooted in Christian tradition. But with the other sacraments the symbolism is much more obscure.

Even more obscure is the prefiguring of our future glory, the second element within the sacred reality, for the sign of something unknown which is yet to come is much more indeterminate than the sign of something present or something which existed in the past. The sacrament is a forward-looking sign because it points to eternal life, our union with God. In the Eucharist this union is adumbrated in our Communion with the body and blood of Christ, the Word made flesh; so also is it foreshadowed in matrimony, the union of man and woman symbolizing the union of Christ and the Church.

For these reasons as well as for those given in the previous article St Thomas regards the third element within the sacrad reality as being that which is formally signified. This is the sacramental grace which each sacrament produces, and which corresponds to the demonstrative character of the sign. The other two are symbolized only because of their relationship to grace, the Passion as its cause, the vision of God as its effect; for it is grace alone which is actually present when the signifying action has been completed, sanctifying grace which the signifying footnames.

The sacred reality behind the sign, therefore, is the whole mystery of our Redemption in its successive stages of development: the Passion and Death of Christ in the past; the life of grace in the present; and our future glory in the vision of God. The greatest of the sacraments is also the most perfect in symbolizing all three of these elements: 'O sacred banquet in which bolizing all three of these elements: O sacred banquet in which is filled with grace and we are given a pledge of future glory is filled with grace and we are given a pledge of future glory. The other sacraments symbolize them in greater or less degree. But each sacrament signifies the grace it produces and this is true mystery hidden and revealed by the sign. Behind St Thomas's precise technical language lie all the riches of the oldest tradition in sacramental theology, the sacraments understood as 'mystery' in sacramental theology, the sacraments understood as 'mystery' in the early Christian sense or in the sense given to it by St Isidore (as well as the more modern interpretation of the word by Don

Casel and the Benedictines of Maria Laach). For the effect of the mysteries of Christ is the mystery of grace, and grace in its turn is the seed of the mystery of eternal life.



PAUL VERLAINE, MYSTIC AND SINNER 1844 — 1896

S. G. A. LUFF

HE poetry of Paul Verlaine is a challenge to the conventional reader of religious literature. It is neither decreed nor supposed that every author of meditations or guides the spiritual life be a saint, either in glory or, by reputation, in the flesh, but it is disconcerting if he is, publicly and, so to say, cattavagantly, a sinner. It can hardly affect the reputation of Verlaine to say now, fifty years after his death, that he is best temembered as a poet who sinned. Such a reputation alone might have effectively excluded him from the catalogue of religious Writers. Verlaine enjoyed, however, the distinction of having published, in what appeared subsequently as little more than an interruption in his wayward career, poetic witness to a state of conversion and intimate conversation with God. He failed to win much sympathy from contemporary French Catholics, and it is sympathy from contemporary recient contemporary recient now to accord his religious poetry the esteem which, on the poses a problem. its own merits exclusively, it deserves. In fact, he poses a problem. Honesty demands that the boldness of his offence be not coneded. Born in 1844, the young Verlaine was of that type, increasingly familiar, whose sensitive perception of human values is so refined, yet so estranged from grace, that a psychological inpasse is inevitably reached. The least offensive form it takes is teken. tebellion against conventions in manners or in mind, the worst, a tepudiation of moral codes. A fundamental denial of spiritual Values need not be read into this attitude—the subject is often too intelligible need not be read into this attitude—the subject is often too when gent to deny so explicitly his spiritual perceptions, except when passion, fostered by his pet perversions, drives both mind and hand passion, fostered by his pet perversions, drives both mind heart to hibernation over a prolonged winter of discontent.