

27. On the Influence of the Atmospheric Dust on the Zodiacal Light Polarization

N. B. DIVARI AND S. N. KRYLOVA
Odessa Polytechnic Institute
Odessa, U.S.S.R.

Three-color observations of the nightglow brightness and polarization were made at the Majaky Observatory in October 1969 to determine the brightness of zodiacal light at the ecliptic pole. On the assumption that the degree of polarization of zodiacal light is 0.20 at the ecliptic pole, the corresponding brightnesses are found to be 68, 70, and 96 G2 stars of the 10th magnitude per square deg. at 0.37, 0.53, and 0.58 μ .

The large diurnal variations in observed intensity and direction of polarization are attributed to the effects of dust in the Earth's atmosphere.

FOR INVESTIGATION of the zodiacal light component in the brightness of the night sky at the ecliptic pole, photoelectric observations of the night sky light were made at the observatory Majaky near Odessa (USSR) in autumn 1969. The observation was carried out with interference filters $\lambda=0.37, 0.53,$ and 0.58μ and a Polaroid which allows measurement of intensity, degree and angle of polarization by Fessenkov's (1935) method. At the time of these observations the zenith distance of the North ecliptic pole varied from 26° to 65° . The observed brightnesses were expressed in units of the stars G2, of the 10th magnitude per square deg.

Figure 1 shows for the North ecliptic pole the observed brightness I_{obs} , the degree of polarization P (in percent) and the angle φ of polarization measured from the meridian of the ecliptic pole in a counterclockwise direction. The dashed line shows the angle β_\odot between the meridian of the ecliptic pole and the direction from the ecliptic pole to the Sun.

The measured degree of polarization is equal to 2 to 3 percent. The degrees of polarization averaged over all the nights of observation are equal to

0.020 for $\lambda=0.37 \mu$, 0.031 for $\lambda=0.53 \mu$ and 0.026 for $\lambda=0.58 \mu$.

The observed intensity of polarized component of light $(I_{\text{obs}})_p$ is determined by the formula

$$(I_{\text{obs}})_p = I_{\text{obs}}P \quad (1)$$

where I_{obs} is the observed intensity of light, P is the observed degree of polarization. The intensity of the polarized component I_p outside the atmosphere may be calculated by the formula

$$I_p = (I_{\text{obs}})_p p^{-\sec z} \quad (2)$$

where p is the transmission coefficient of the atmosphere and z is the zenith distance of the ecliptic pole.

The values I_p averaged over every night of observation are listed in table 1. The figures in parentheses give the intensity averaged over the measurements made when the zenith distance of the ecliptic pole was lower than 60° .

In table 2 our values are compared with the data obtained by other authors quoted in the references.

If all the polarization was caused only by the scattering of sunlight by the zodiacal cloud, the




FIGURE 1.—Measured intensity I_{obs} , observed degree P (in percent) and observed angle ϕ of polarization in the North ecliptic pole.

intensity of zodiacal light I_{ZL} at the ecliptic pole would be determined from the values I_p and P_{ZL} by the formula

$$I_{ZL} = I_p / P_{ZL} \tag{3}$$

If, according to Weinberg (1964), we adopt $P_{ZL} = 0.20$, we get from our values of I_p the following intensity of zodiacal light at the ecliptic pole:

$I_{ZL} = 71$ for $\lambda 0.53\mu$ and $I_{ZL} = 103$ for $\lambda 0.58\mu$

But the observed polarization is not induced by the zodiacal light only, because the direction of the

measured polarization does not coincide with the direction to the Sun. As may be seen in figure 1, the angle ϕ differs considerably from the angle β_0 . The deviation of the polarization angle from the scattering angle was observed in the zodiacal light by J. L. Weinberg (1964) in the light of the day sky (the sunlight scattered by the atmosphere) by E. V. Pyaskovskaya-Fessenkova (1958) and in the twilight by G. V. Rosenberg (1942). A qualitative explanation of this phenomenon as the result of multiple scattering and reflection from the Earth surface may be found in

TABLE 1.—The Averaged Values of I_p in Units of the Stars G_2 of the 10th Magnitude per Square Deg

Night	$\lambda=0.53 \mu$	$\lambda=0.58 \mu$
Oct. 10–11, 1969	18.8 (19.3)	26.1 (27.5)
Oct. 13–14, 1969	12.0 (9.3)	17.8 (16.0)
Oct. 14–15, 1969	11.3 (11.0)	22.1 (17.0)
Oct. 16–17, 1969	15.0 (16.0)	16.3 (18.0)
Average values	14.3 (13.9)	20.6 (19.6)

TABLE 2.—Values of I_p Resulting From Different Investigations

Reference	I_p
Weinberg (1963)	18.5
Beggs et al. (1964)	35
Dumont (1965)	12.6
Dumont and Sanchez (1966)	9.7
Gillet (1967)	11.5
Wolstencroft and Rose (1967)	26.5
Sparrow and Ney (1968)	10.5
Ingham and Jameson (1968)	10.7
Present study	14.3
" "	20.6

the paper of H. C. van de Hulst (1948). In a paper by Divari (1968), it was pointed out that scattering of light in the Earth's atmosphere (the tropospheric component) may have an influence on the degree and on the angle of observed polarization.

To estimate this influence, we have analyzed our observations at the ecliptic pole. The reduction of the observations was made by the method of successive approximations. Let us suppose that measured intensity I_{obs} consists of five components, that is

$$I_{obs} = I_{ZL}P^{sec \ z} + I_S P^{sec \ z} + I_N + I'_{ZL} + I'_S \quad (4)$$

where I_{ZL} and I_S are the intensities of the zodiacal light and all the stars outside the atmosphere, I_N is the intensity of the light of the night sky, I'_{ZL} and I'_S are the intensity of the zodiacal light I_{ZL} and the starlight I_S scattered by the atmosphere. The intensity of tropospheric components I'_{ZL} and I'_S , the degrees of polarization P'_{ZL} and P'_S and the angles of polarization β'_{ZL} and β'_S of these tropospheric components may be computed by the formulae given in Divari's (1968) paper.

As a first approximation we have adopted two models of the zodiacal light: one according to Megill and Roach (1961) with intensity at the ecliptic pole equal to 110 stars, and the other according to Divari (1968) with intensity at the ecliptic pole equal to zero. For these two models we have computed the tropospheric components I'_{ZL} and I'_S by the formulae given by Divari (1968). The tropospheric brightnesses I'_{ZL} and I'_S obtained in such a way were small as compared to the observed brightness for the two models. Taking these computed quantities I_S, I'_S and I_{ZL}, I'_{ZL} (for the two models) and subtracting them from I_{obs} , we obtained the intensity I_N of the light of the night sky (atmospheric component). We have used this intensity I_N as a first approximation for the reduction of the measured brightnesses I_1, I_2 and I_3 at three positions of the Polaroid. Subtracting I_N, I_S, I'_S, I'_{ZL} from the measured brightnesses I_1, I_2, I_3 we can obtain the intensity $(I_{ZL})_1, (I_{ZL})_2$ and $(I_{ZL})_3$ of the zodiacal light by only three positions of the Polaroid, turned by steps of 60° . These reduced values of $(I_{ZL})_1, (I_{ZL})_2, (I_{ZL})_3$ were used for determining the degree and angle of the observed zodiacal light.

The averaged values of the degree of polarization for three wavelengths and two models of the zodiacal light are listed in table 3.

TABLE 3.—The Averaged Degree of Polarization P_{ZL} at the Ecliptic Pole

	First model of the zodiacal light			Second model of the zodiacal light		
λ, μ	0.37	0.53	0.58	0.37	0.53	0.58
P_{ZL}	0.075	0.08	0.11	0.31	0.475	0.057

One can see that for the first model the degree of polarization at the ecliptic pole is very low (~ 0.10) and for the second model it is very high (~ 0.45). This shows that the brightness I_N of atmospheric component found in the first approximation does not provide the required degree of polarization for the zodiacal light (~ 0.20) at the ecliptic pole. To have that value of the degree of polarization, we have to choose such a value of the intensity I_N of the atmospheric component that, being subtracted from the measured intensity I_1, I_2, I_3 , it would provide a degree of polarization

TABLE 4.—The Averaged Brightnesses of the Zodiacal Light at the Ecliptic Pole (for $z \leq 60^\circ$ and $P_{ZL} = 0.20$) Outside the Atmosphere in Units of Stars G2 10th Magnitude per Square Deg.

Night	$\lambda = 0.37 \mu$	$\lambda = 0.53 \mu$	$\lambda = 0.58 \mu$
Oct. 10–11, 1969	70	95	127
Oct. 13–14, 1969	—	55	84
Oct. 14–15, 1969	80	53	84
Oct. 16–17, 1969	54	78	90
Averaged over all the nights	68	70	96

equal to 0.20. The intensity I_N found in such a manner together with I_S , I'_S and I'_{ZL} found above were used for the determination of the intensity I_{ZL} of the zodiacal light according to formula (4). The values obtained for the brightness of the zodiacal light at the ecliptic pole are listed in table 4.

As is seen from that table, the intensity of the zodiacal light at the ecliptic pole increases with the increase of the wavelength λ .

As the observed direction of polarization does not coincide with the reference plane, we have computed the intensity and angle of polarization of the unknown component which turns the polarization vector out from this plane. To achieve this, we have taken for the polarized component of the zodiacal light the following values: $(I_p)_{\lambda 0.53} = 70 \times 0.20 = 14$ and $(I_p)_{\lambda 0.58} = 96 \times 0.20 = 19$ stars G2 of the 10th magnitude per square deg.

In figure 2 three vectors are shown, representing three components of the light of the night sky at the ecliptic pole: $(I_{ZL})_p$ is the zodiacal light component directed to the Sun and $(I_{obs})_p$ is the observed polarized intensity (observed angle φ) and an unknown component $(I_2)_p$ with an unknown angle ψ .

Projecting the vectors on the direction forming an angle of 45° with the vector $(I_2)_p$ and taking into account the necessity to double the angles,




FIGURE 2.—Diagram of the vectors of polarization: $(I_{obs})_p$ is the observed vector of polarization with angle; $(I_{ZL})_p$ is the vector of the zodiacal light polarization with angle; $(I_2)_p$ is the polarization vector of an unknown component.

we have

$$\tan 2\psi = \frac{(I_{obs})_p \sin 2\varphi - (I_{ZL})_p \sin 2\beta_0}{(I_{obs})_p \cos 2\varphi - (I_{ZL})_p \cos 2\beta_0} \quad (5)$$

If we project the three vectors on the direction forming 45° with vector $(I_{ZL})_p$, we have

$$(I_2)_p = (I_{obs})_p \frac{\sin 2(\varphi - \beta_0)}{\sin 2(\psi - \beta_0)} \quad (6)$$

We have computed $(I_2)_p$ and ψ according to formulae (5) and (6). Figure 3 shows these two quantities. As may be seen from this figure, the intensity and angle of polarization of the unknown component vary through the night in a wide range. These results show that Stokes parameters of the zodiacal light are very much disturbed by the Earth's atmosphere and their determination is possible only in very good atmospheric conditions.




FIGURE 3.—The intensity $(I_2)_p$ and the angle ψ of the polarized unknown component.

REFERENCES

BEGGS, D. W., BLACKWELL, D. E., DEWHIRST, D. W., AND WOLSTENCROFT, R. D., 1964. Further observations of the zodiacal light from a high altitude station and investigation of the interplanetary plasma. III. Photoelectric measurements of polarization, *Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc.*, **128**, 419–430.

DIVARI, N. B., On the polarization of light scattered by the troposphere of several components of the night sky, *Astron. Zhurn. Akad. Nauk., SSSR*, **45**, 634–644.

DUMONT, R., 1965. Separation des composantes atmospherique, interplanetaire et stellaire du ciel nocturne a 5000 Å. Application a la photometrie de la lumiere zodiacale et du gegenschein, *Ann. d'Astrophys.*, **28**, 265–320. Translation in NASA TT F-11, Dec. 1967, 164.

DUMONT, R., AND SANCHEZ, M. F., 1966. Polarisation du ciel et polarisation de la lumiere zodiacale vers 5000 Å, sur l'ensemble de la sphere celeste, *Ann. d'Astrophys.*, **29**, 113–118.

FESSENKOV, V. G., 1935. Determination de la polarisation de la couronne solaire, *Astron. Zhurn. Akad. Nauk., SSSR*, **12**, 309–321.

GILLET, F. C., 1967. Measurement of the brightness and polarization of zodiacal light from balloons and satellites, in *The Zodiacal Light and Interplanetary Medium*, edited by J. L. Weinberg, NASA SP-150, Supt. of Documents, U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington.

INGHAM, M. F., AND JAMESON, R. F., 1968. Observations of the polarization of the night sky and a model of the zodiacal cloud normal to the ecliptic plane, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, **140**, 473–482.

MEGILL, L. R., AND ROACH, F. E., 1961. The integrated starlight over the sky, *National Bureau of Standards Tech. Note No. 106*.

PYASKOVSKAYA-FESSENKOVA, E. V., 1958. Scattering and polarization of light by the atmosphere in the Libyan Desert, *Akademiï Nauk. Doklady, SSSR*, **123**, 269–271.

- ROSENBERG, G. V., 1942. On a new phenomenon in the scattered light of a twilight sky, *Akademiï Nauk. Doklady. SSSR*, **36**, 270–274.
- SPARROW, J. G., AND NEY, E. P., 1968. OSO-B2 satellite observations of zodiacal light, *Astrophys. J.*, **154**, 783–787.
- VAN DE HULST, H. C., 1948. *The Atmospheres of the Earth and Planets*, edited by G. P. Kuiper, Chicago.
- WEINBERG, J. L., 1963. *Photoelectric Polarimetry of the Zodiacal Light at λ 5300*, thesis, Colorado.
- , 1964. The zodiacal light at 5300 Å, *Ann. d' Astrophys.*, **27**, 718–738.
- WOLSTENCROFT, R. D., AND ROSE, L. J., 1967. Observations of the zodiacal light from a sounding rocket, *Astrophys. J.*, **147**, 271–292.