

The surprising X-ray emission of Oe stars

Yael Nazé¹, Gregor Rauw¹ and Asif ud-Doula²

¹FNRS-ULg, Dept AGO, Allée du 6 Août 17, Bât B5C, B4000-Liège, Belgium,
email: naze@astro.ulg.ac.be

²Penn State Worthington Scranton, 120 Ridge View Drive, Dunmore, PA 18512, USA

Abstract. Oe stars are thought to represent an extension of the Be phenomenon to higher temperatures. Dedicated XMM observations of HD 155806 revealed a surprising X-ray spectrum: soft character, absence of overluminosity, broad X-ray lines. These properties are fully compatible with the wind-shock model, which usually explains the X-rays from “normal”, single O-type stars. In contrast, some other Oe/Be stars display a completely different behaviour at high energies.

Keywords. X-rays: stars, stars: early-type, stars: individual (HD 155806), stars: emission-line, Be

1. Introduction

The Oe category was defined by Conti & Leep (1974) as O-stars displaying emission in Balmer lines without emission in the He II 4686 and N III 4634/41 lines. Their line profiles being reminiscent of those of Be stars, they are often seen as the continuity of the Be phenomenon at higher temperatures. While direct evidence of disk-like features has been found for Be stars, only indirect evidence can be put forward in the case of Oe stars, such as high projected rotational velocities (Negueruela *et al.* 2004) or long-term spectral variability similar to that of Be objects (e.g. Rauw *et al.* 2007). In this context, X-rays can be useful as they often provide crucial evidence for identifying peculiar wind features. Indeed, if the equatorial regions are optically-thick, some absorption effects should be seen, whereas a strong magnetic confinement would yield X-ray emission very different from that of “normal” O-stars (bright, hard vs faint, soft).

2. HD 155806

HD 155806 is the earliest Oe star (O7.5e), therefore potentially represents an extreme case among the Oe objects. As for Oe/Be stars, variations are detected for HD 155806 but the H α emission never disappears completely. The star is thought to be single (Garmany *et al.* 1980) and may be magnetic (Hubrig *et al.* 2008, Petit *et al.* 2009).

We investigated its X-ray emission thanks to a sensitive XMM-Newton observation, which yields both medium-resolution spectra (EPIC-MOS and pn) and high-resolution data (RGS). This 35ks exposure was taken in mid-2008, and an archival UVES spectrum obtained close to that date shows strong H α emission. The data analysis is described in length in Nazé *et al.* (2010). The main results are: the X-ray spectrum is very soft (average plasma temperature ~ 0.2 keV) and well fitted by two “cool” (< 0.7 keV) thermal components without the need of absorption in addition to ISM; no variations are detected on both short- and long-term ranges; there is no overluminosity ($L_X/L_{BOL} = -6.75$); the X-ray lines are symmetric, broad (with FWHM ~ 2500 km s⁻¹ and a strict lower limit of 1000 km s⁻¹ for the 90% confidence interval of the worst fit), and with f/i ratios indicating line forming regions $< 10R_*$. All these properties are typical of O stars.

3. Do Oe stars have disks ?

The question of whether Oe stars have disks or not, we believe, is a wide open question. Using spectropolarimetric data, Vink *et al.* (2009) reported the non-detection of any wind asymmetries or disk-like features for a sample of peculiar O-stars (Oe, Of?p,...). However, before drawing such a conclusion, it is worth looking at these results a bit closer. Here, we summarize two main reasons why doubts could be cast (for a detailed rationale, see Nazé & Rauw 2010). First, no asymmetries/disk-like features are detected even for the well-known case of θ^1 Ori C (for which several evidences of a magnetically-confined wind have been found). A putative “inner disk hole” is put forward by the authors, but such a feature is neither detected in the data nor predicted by models (which otherwise reproduce well the observed stellar characteristics). This a posteriori guess of a “hole” should therefore be reconsidered to account for the star’s known properties. Second, a similar absence of detection in Oe stars was found and attributed to the lack of disk-like features in the wind. This could at first seem to corroborate our high-energy results, but the authors then propose the presence of “an expanding shell which is spherically symmetric” to explain the double-peaked profiles, characteristics of Oe and Be stars. This scenario would result in strongly variable emission lines on rather short timescales: such changes are not observed, ruling out the shell scenario. The question of absence or presence of disk-like features in Oe stars thus remains open. Any new model should take into account the full properties of Oe stars, including their resemblance with Be objects.

4. X-rays from Oe/Be stars: comparison

For HD 155806, the X-ray emission is comparable to that of “normal” O-stars. There is no evidence for additional absorption or strong magnetic confinement. The physical phenomenon at the origin of the Oe characteristics (a disk-like feature?) thus seems to have no impact whatsoever in the X-ray range. This is very different from the case of θ^1 Ori C, where a magnetically-confined disk-like region clearly rules the X-ray emission.

Only one other Oe has been observed in the high-energy range: HD 119682 (O9.7e), whose high-energy properties were reported by Rakowski *et al.* (2006). Contrary to HD 155806, its X-ray emission is both hard ($kT \sim 10\text{keV}$) and bright ($L_X/L_{\text{BOL}} = -5.4$). It actually resembles that of some Be stars (the so-called “ γ -Cas analogs”). At first, such emission was thought to be linked to the Be disk, but doubts have recently been expressed that the harder emission of γ -Cas itself is related to the Be phenomenon (Smith *et al.*, these proceedings). This seems to be supported by our observations, notably: not all Oe/Be stars show these peculiar characteristics.

References

- Conti, P. S. & Leep, E. M. 1974, *ApJ*, 193, 113
 Garmany, C. D., Conti, P. S., & Massey, P. 1980, *ApJ*, 242, 1063
 Hubrig, S., Schöller, M., Schnerr, R. S., González, J. F. *et al.* 2008, *A&A*, 490, 793
 Nazé, Y., Rauw, G., & Ud-Doula, A. 2010, *A&A*, 510, A59
 Nazé, Y., & Rauw, G. 2010, *arXiv*, 1002.0546
 Negueruela, I., Steele, I. A., & Bernabeu, G. 2004, *AN*, 325, 749
 Petit, V., Fullerton, A. W., Bagnulo, S., Wade, G. A. *et al.* 2009, in: K. G. Strassmeier, A. G. Kosovichev & J. E. Beckman (eds.), *Cosmic Magnetic Fields: From Planets, to Stars and Galaxies*, IAU Symposium 259, p. 385
 Rakowski, C. E., Schulz, N. S., Wolk, S. J., & Testa, P. 2006, *ApJ* (Letters), 649, L111
 Rauw, G., Nazé, Y., Marique, P. X., De Becker, M. *et al.* 2007, *IBVS* 5773
 Vink, J. S., Davies, B., Harries, T. J., Oudmaijer, R. D. *et al.* 2009, *A&A*, 505, 743