

ERRATUM

Dogs and Concepts – ERRATUM

ALICE CRARY

doi: 10.1017/S0031819112000010, Published by Cambridge University Press, 14 March 2012

Unfortunately, the following corrections were not entered into Alice Crary's article 'Dogs and Concepts'

p.215 – In the **Abstract**, the sentence starting 'Although critics are wrong...' should read 'Although critics are wrong to represent McDowell as implying that animals are mindless brutes, it is difficult to see what is wrong with this critical posture unless we depart from McDowell's technical terminology and introduce a notion of a concept flexible enough to apply to the lives of some non-rational animals.'

p.217 – In the third sentence of the second section, the word 'parlance' has been misprinted as 'pariance'.

p.226 – 8 lines up in the text, 'in his sense' should have been omitted.

p.237 – 2 lines down in the text, a space should have been added before Section 6.

Reference

Alice Crary, Dogs and Concepts. *Philosophy*, **87**, 215–237.