The effects of seven-strain probiotic supplementation on cell adhesion molecules, oxidative stress, and antioxidant parameters in patients with traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled clinical trial

Nooshin Noshadi ¹*, Seyedeh Sana Sabet¹*, Sarvin Sanaie², Ata Mahmoodpoor², Helda Tutunchi³, Sina Naghshi¹, Seyed Rafie Arefhosseini³, Mehrangiz Ebrahimi-Mameghani⁴

¹Student Research Committee, Department of Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition & Food Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Science, Tabriz, Iran

²Research Center for Integrative Medicine in Aging, Aging Research Institute, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³Endocrine Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

⁴Nutrition Research Center, Department of Biochemistry and Diet Therapy, Faculty of Nutrition & Food Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Science, Tabriz, Iran

Corresponding author: Mehrangiz Ebrahimi-Mameghani, Nutrition Research Center, Department of Biochemistry and Diet Therapy, Faculty of Nutrition & Food Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Science, Tabriz, Iran, E-mail address: <u>ebrahimimamagani@tbzmed.ac.ir</u>

*These authors were equally involved in the current study.



This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI

10.1017/S0007114524003234

The British Journal of Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

ABSTRACT

The therapeutic effects of probiotics in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) remain unclear. This study aimed to investigate the effects of probiotic supplementation on cell adhesion molecules, oxidative stress, and antioxidant parameters in TBI patients. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial included 46 TBI patients who were randomly assigned to receive either a probiotic supplement (n = 23) or a placebo (n = 23) for 14 days. The probiotic capsule contained four strains of Lactobacillus (L. casei, L. bulgaricus, L. rhamnosus, L. acidophilus), two strains of Bifidobacterium (B. longum, B. breve), and Streptococcus thermophilus. Serum levels of intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1, pro-oxidant antioxidant balance (PAB), malondialdehyde (MDA), nitric oxide (NO), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and arylesterase (ARE) activity were measured at the beginning and end of the trial. Dietary intakes of patients were also recorded at the beginning and end of the trial. At the end of the study, there were no significant changes in ICAM-1, VCAM-1, PAB, MDA, NO, TAC, and ARE levels. However, patients who received probiotic supplements had significantly increased dietary intakes of energy, macronutrients, vitamin E, zinc, copper, and selenium compared with the placebo group. This study provides evidence that probiotic supplementation for 14 days in TBI patients has beneficial effects on dietary intake. However, it did not affect serum levels of cell adhesion molecules, oxidative stress, or antioxidant parameters. These findings should be considered preliminary, and further research is needed to evaluate long-term and clinical outcomes.

Trial Registration: The Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (code no. IRCT20100209003320N18).

Keywords: probiotic, oxidative stress, prooxidant-antioxidant balance, cell adhesion molecules, traumatic brain injury

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a significant global health concern, contributing to high mortality and morbidity rates, with most cases involving intracranial bleeding ⁽¹⁾. The global incidence of TBI is approximately 69 million cases per year, primarily caused by road traffic injuries and falls ^(2; 3). In lower- and middle-income regions, TBIs are notably more frequent, significantly impacting the youth population ^(3; 4). TBI development encompasses both primary and secondary brain injuries ⁽⁵⁾. The primary injury often results from direct mechanical impact, causing damage such as lacerations, contusions, intracranial hemorrhages, and diffuse axonal injuries ⁽⁵⁾. The secondary injury is characterized by a delayed and intricate response leading to long-term neuropathological alterations, including metabolic imbalances, neurovascular disruptions, neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, axonal damage, and potentially neurodegeneration, depending on the initial trauma's severity ⁽⁶⁾. The pro-inflammatory response of the cerebrovascular unit, marked by increased expression of adhesion molecules like intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) on the endothelial surface, leads to the recruitment of circulating leukocytes to the injury site, thereby amplifying neuroinflammation ⁽⁷⁾. Inflammation and oxidative stress play a central role in the pathogenesis, progression, and severity of TBI ^(8; 9). Because secondary brain injury might be reversible, it provides a critical window for therapeutic intervention aimed at preventing longterm damage ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Recently, dietary supplements with anti-inflammatory effects, such as probiotics, have been suggested as a strategy to improve recovery and reduce morbidity, mortality, and long-term consequences in TBI patients ^(11; 12). A 2020 study by Wan et al. ⁽¹²⁾ revealed that 15 days of probiotic administration (*Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, and Enterococcus faecalis* $\geq 1.0 \times 10^7$ colony-forming units (CFU)) led to a significant reduction in serum markers, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10, C-reactive protein (CRP), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α in patients with severe TBI. Furthermore, a prospective randomized clinical trial (RCT) by Ebrahimi-Mameghani in 2013 demonstrated that supplementation with the probiotic *VSL#3*— comprising four strains of *Lactobacillus (L. casei, L. plantarum, L. acidophilus, and L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus*), three strains of *Bifidobacterium (B. longum, B. breve, and B. infantis*), and *Streptococcus salivarius subsp. thermophilus*—led to a significant reduction in CRP levels, although it did not impact total antioxidant capacity (TAC) or malondialdehyde

(MDA) levels in critically ill patients ⁽¹³⁾. A meta-analysis conducted by Rezazadeh et al. in 2023, which reviewed six RCTs, revealed that probiotic treatment might positively impact VCAM-1 in adults, with no significant influence on ICAM-1 ⁽¹⁴⁾.

Overall, prior research has predominantly focused on specific inflammatory and oxidative stress markers in critically ill patients, yielding various inconclusive results. In terms of TBI patients, it is unclear whether the administration of probiotics could improve cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) such as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, dietary intakes, oxidative stress, and antioxidant biomarkers. This randomized parallel clinical trial was therefore designed to examine the effect of probiotic supplementation on dietary intakes, oxidative stress biomarkers, and cell adhesion molecules in TBI patients.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

In this double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial, patients with TBI admitted to the neurosurgery intensive care unit (ICU) of Imam Reza Hospital and Shohada Hospital of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, were enrolled between December 2020 and November 2021 (**Figure 1**). The trial protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: TBZMED.REC.1401.355) and was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20100209003320N18). In this publication, we report secondary study outcomes, while primary study outcomes, including immune-function variables, anthropometric measures, disease severity, and markers of inflammation, have been reported previously⁽¹⁵⁾.

After a full explanation of the study aims and protocol, informed written consent was obtained from the patients' first-degree relatives. The inclusion criteria were as follows: patients with TBI, aged 18-60 years of both genders, with a low level of consciousness (Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) <8), receiving gastrointestinal feeding through a nasal tube, with an APACHE II score of 15–30, and staying at ICU for at least 14 days. Exclusion criteria included: (1) lactating or pregnant; (2) unstable hemodynamics; (3) intestinal obstruction; (4) intestinal ischemia; (5) short bowel syndrome; (6) pancreatitis and receiving total parenteral nutrition (TPN) for more than 2 days; and (7) treatment with immunosuppressive medications.

Sample Size

Sample size was calculated based on the reported change in plasma MDA levels by Ebrahimi-Mameghani et al after probiotic preparation (*VSL# 3*) in critically ill patients ⁽¹³⁾. By considering $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.20$ (power = 80%), as well as assuming a 20% drop-out rate, the sample size was estimated to be 23 subjects in each arm using the following formula ⁽¹⁶⁾:

Randomization, Blinding, and Intervention

Patients were randomly allocated to the "probiotic" or "placebo" group with a 1:1 distribution. The randomization list was computer-generated by the permutated block method. Randomization was conducted by a study personnel member who was not involved in patient recruitment, assessment, or data analysis. The investigators, patients, those responsible for patient enrollment, administration of the intervention, and assessors (nurses) were blinded.

Demographic and personal details, including laboratory data, medical history, and vital signs, were recorded for each patient at baseline. Enteral nutrition feeding was initiated at 25 ml/h and then increased by 25 ml/h every 4 hours until the target rate was achieved. If the gastric residual volumes exceeded 200 ml, prokinetic agents were initiated, and feeding was advanced until the target rate was reached. According to the physician's prescription, patients received routine therapy (e.g., antibiotics). Per ICU standard protocol, all patients were fed by a nasogastric tube (size 16F) for enteral feeding. Patients received enteral feeding by a feeding pump consisting of a 2-hour infusion, followed by an hour of rest. Given the gut resting time from 2 to 6 AM, patients received enteral nutrition intolerance, if parenteral nutrition was initiated, the patient was excluded from the study.

Forty-six patients with TBI were randomly assigned to two groups. Those in the placebo group (n=23) received standard treatment plus placebo capsules containing 500 mg of sterile maltodextrin. In contrast, the patients in the probiotic group (n=23) received standard treatment plus probiotic capsules containing 10^9 bacteria, consisting of four strains of *Lactobacillus (L. casei, L. bulgaricus, L. rhamnosus, L. acidophilus)*, two strains of *Bifidobacterium (B. longum, B. breve)*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus* every 6 hours for 14 days (four capsules daily). The probiotic and placebo capsules, produced by the same company (Lactocare, ZIST TAKHMIR, Tehran, Iran), were identical in size, shape, and color. At the end of the gavage formula, the

probiotic or placebo capsules were mixed with sterile distilled water at room temperature and injected through a nasogastric feeding tube using a sterile syringe to wash the tube.

Anthropometric and Nutritional Assessments

Targeting calorie intake and protein needs at 25-30 kcal/kg at 1.2-1.5 gr/kg of body weight, energy intake was started by 500-600 kcal/day based on patients' tolerance, energy intake increased gradually periodically through the formula. Food intake through enteral feeding was recorded daily. The daily dietary intakes of energy, macronutrients, fiber, and antioxidant micronutrients (including vitamins A, E, C, and zinc, copper, and selenium) were obtained using Nutritionist IV software (N Squared Computing, San Bruno, CA) on days 1 and 14 of the study. Moreover, all anthropometric assessments and measurements were conducted on days 1 and 14 of the study. To estimate height, the length of the ulna (cm) was first measured at the midpoint between the elbow and the prominent bone of the wrist (preferably on the left side), and height (m) was determined using an available chart ⁽¹⁷⁾. Mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) was measured at the midpoint between the tips of the shoulder and the elbow (olecranon and acromion) on the right arm using a non-stretchable tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. Weight was then estimated using height and MUAC ⁽¹⁸⁾. Skinfold thickness was also measured according to standard protocol ⁽¹⁹⁾ using a calibrated plastic caliper (Slim Guide Skinfold Caliper, Zhejiang, China) to the nearest 1 mm.

Biochemical Analysis

At baseline and after 14 days, in an overnight fasting state, 10 ml of blood was taken from each patient and stored for 20 minutes at room temperature. The samples were then centrifuged at 3000g for 5 minutes, and the serum was stored at -80 °C until analysis. Serum levels of ICAM-1, VCAM-1, pro-oxidant antioxidant balance (PAB), TAC, MDA, nitric oxide (NO), and arylesterase (ARE) activity were determined on the first day the patient was admitted to the hospital following a TBI. ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 levels were assessed using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kits from ZellBio GmbH, Ulm, Germany, while NO concentration was determined using ELISA commercial kits from Navand Salamat Company, Iran. Additionally, serum levels of TAC, MDA, and ARE activity were assessed using spectrophotometric methods. PAB was assessed using a method previously developed by Faraji-Rad et al. ⁽²⁰⁾.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, Armonk, USA) version 23. Data analysis was conducted according to the intention-to-treat (ITT) principle. The Shapiro-Wilk test, skewness, Q-Q diagram, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were used to examine whether the continuous variables were normally distributed. For variables that were negatively skewed, a square transformation was applied, whereas a natural logarithm transformation was used for those that were positively skewed. Summary data are presented as numbers (percentages) for categorical variables and as means (standard deviation, SD) or medians (25th, 75th) for normally and not normally distributed continuous variables, respectively. An independent samples t-test was applied to compare quantitative variables between the two groups, while within-group comparisons were made using a paired-sample t-test. Furthermore, Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests, when appropriate, were applied to examine differences in categorical variables between the two groups. To estimate the intervention effect for all outcomes, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used, adjusting for potential differences between the groups at baseline. Confounders were identified based on a literature review and were considered prognostic ^(21; 22; 23).

For log-transformed variables, the ratio of geometric means with corresponding 95% CI was used. A 2-tailed P value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The CONSORT flowchart of the trial is provided in **Figure 1**. This figure has been previously published ⁽¹⁵⁾. Of the 46 patients enrolled in the trial, 40 completed the study. In each group, three patients were lost to follow-up due to discharge from the ICU before 14 days (placebo group: n=1, supplement group: n=2), enteral nutrition intolerance (placebo group: n=1), gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding (placebo group: n=1), or death (supplement group: n=1). Additionally, no side effects were reported by the nurses.

The baseline characteristics of the patients were similar between the two groups (**Table 1**). This table has been previously published ⁽¹⁵⁾. As shown in **Table 2 and Figure 2**, there were no significant differences in dietary intakes between the study groups at baseline. The intake of energy, macronutrients, fiber, and micronutrients (vitamins E, C, and A, zinc, copper, and selenium) significantly increased over the intervention in both groups (P<0.001). Moreover, significant between-group changes were observed for dietary intakes of total energy (P=0.001),

carbohydrate (P=0.004), protein (P=0.004), and total fat (P<0.001), vitamin E (P=0.005), zinc (P<0.001), copper (P=0.009), and selenium (P<0.001) at the end of the study.

Changes in the biochemical parameters of the study patients are presented in **Table 3**. Apart from serum ICAM-1 levels (P= 0.047), there were no significant differences in biochemical parameters between the groups at baseline. However, within-group comparisons revealed significant reductions in serum concentrations of PAB (probiotic group, P<0.001; placebo group, P<0.001) and NO (probiotic group, P=0.004; placebo group, P=0.001). At the end of the study, no significant between-group differences were observed in ICAM-1 (P= 0.161), VCAM-1 (P= 0.283), PAB (P= 0.255), MDA (P= 0.814), NO (P= 0.245), TAC (P= 0.215), or ARE levels (P= 0.269).

Discussion

This randomized clinical trial investigated the effect of probiotic supplementation in TBI patients, demonstrating a significant improvement in total energy and nutrient intake after 14 days. However, there was no significant effect on serum ICAM-1, VCAM-1, PAB, MDA, NO, TAC, or ARE levels.

Current guidelines consider nutritional interventions a critical component in the clinical management of ICU patients ⁽²⁴⁾. Among available dietary supplements, probiotics have appeared as a biologically plausible approach to treating or preventing a wide range of infectious, inflammatory, and autoimmune conditions ⁽²⁵⁾. Therefore, it seems that probiotic administration could result in an improvement in clinical outcomes in TBI patients. To the best of our knowledge, this study appears to be the first randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to investigate the effects of probiotic supplementation on serum pro-oxidant and antioxidant biomarkers, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1 in TBI patients.

In this study, we did not find any significant effect of probiotic supplementation on serum levels of ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 compared to the placebo group (Table 3). Most previous research on probiotics in TBI patients has primarily focused on inflammatory factors, with less attention given to cell adhesion molecules ^(11; 12; 13). Although less straightforward, our findings are comparable to studies examining the effect of probiotics on inflammation. A prospective RCT investigating the effect of probiotic supplementation on inflammatory factors in patients with mild TBI reported no significant effects on serum levels of CRP, interferon-gamma (IFN γ), TNF- α , and various interleukins over eight weeks ⁽²⁶⁾, similar to our findings. Nevertheless, the

results of Wan et al. ⁽¹²⁾ showed that probiotic supplementation for 15 days in patients with severe TBI significantly reduced serum levels of IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α , and CRP. In the study by Tan et al. ⁽¹¹⁾, TBI patients were supplemented with probiotics consisting of 0.5×10^8 *Bifidobacterium longum*, 0.5×10^7 *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, and 0.5×10^7 *Streptococcus thermophilus* for 21 days, and the findings showed significant increases in serum levels of IL-12p70 and IFN γ , as well as significant reductions in serum concentrations of IL-4 and IL-10. Differences in study populations, TBI severity, ancillary therapies such as corticosteroids, and probiotic strains may have contributed to these varying findings. More studies are needed to clarify the effect of probiotics on cell adhesion molecules.

Although we found no significant effect of probiotic supplementation on cell adhesion molecules, the literature suggests several potential mechanisms. One proposed mechanism involves the role of adhesion molecules like ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, which are critical for leukocyte adhesion to inflamed tissues ⁽²⁷⁾. The expression of these molecules is induced by inflammatory cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α ⁽²⁷⁾. Alterations in the gut microbiota, characterized by an imbalance between harmful and beneficial bacteria, have been associated with systemic inflammation and metabolic endotoxemia ^(28; 29; 30). Elevated levels of circulating lipopolysaccharides (LPS), derived from an abnormal gastrointestinal microbiome, can trigger a systemic inflammatory response and increase pro-inflammatory cytokine levels ^(31; 32; 33). Available evidence suggests that probiotics may alleviate endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation by reducing endotoxemia and inflammatory responses ^(14; 34; 35).

Another mechanism involves the metabolites of probiotics, particularly short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) like propionate, acetate, and butyrate, produced by beneficial bacteria such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, which exert anti-inflammatory effects by interacting with specific receptors on intestinal epithelial cells to inhibit the nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) pathway, suppress regulatory T cells, and reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine production by neutrophils and macrophages, thereby preventing inflammation and promoting an anti-inflammatory state ^(36; 37).

In this clinical trial, no significant changes were found in serum levels of PAB, MDA, TAC, NO, and ARE activity compared to the control group. A relatively extensive literature has examined the effects of probiotics on antioxidant biomarkers ^(38; 39; 40). However, no human studies have investigated the effects of probiotics on oxidative stress indicators in head trauma patients. Therefore, we compared our findings with studies conducted in patients with different health

conditions and animal studies. In human studies, supplementation with probiotics (containing L. acidophilus, L. casei, and B. bifidum) in diabetic hemodialysis patients resulted in significant decreases and increases in MDA and TAC serum levels, respectively. However, no significant effect was seen on NO and glutathione levels ⁽⁴¹⁾. Findings from another study with a similar design documented significant reductions and increases in MDA and TAC serum levels, respectively, in diabetic hemodialysis patients, with no significant effect on NO levels ⁽⁴²⁾. Following 8 weeks of supplementation with probiotics (L. casei at a dose of 10^8 CFU/day) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, a significant increase in serum superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels was observed. However, there were no significant changes in catalase, MDA, and TAC levels compared to the control group ⁽⁴⁰⁾. Moreover, a prospective randomized trial reported that probiotic supplementation (VSL#3) did not have any significant effect on serum concentrations of MDA and TAC in critically ill patients ⁽¹³⁾. Synbiotic supplementation (Synbiotic 2000Forte) in critically ill trauma patients has been shown to significantly reduce MDA levels compared to the placebo group ⁽⁴³⁾. Collectively, our findings should be interpreted in light of the following points. First, it is possible that probiotic supplementation in the current study had a small protective role, which was not detected. Second, the lack of significant effect of probiotics on antioxidant biomarkers could be due to the severe TBI, where the inflammatory response leads to irreversible damage. Third, studies are performed on specialized populations with different health conditions, and the effect of probiotics may vary among diseases. Fourth, conflicting results of studies may be related to different doses, genera, species, or strains of probiotics.

In terms of animal studies, Sun et al. ⁽⁴⁴⁾ showed that the administration of *Clostridium butyricum* significantly decreased MDA content and increased SOD activity in mice with cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury. Similar results were also observed in the study by Wanchao et al. ⁽⁴⁵⁾, in which administering inactivated *lactobacillus* in rats with cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury led to a significant reduction in MDA levels and a significant increase in SOD activity. Moreover, a study documented that treatment with probiotics for two weeks in mice with ischemic brain tissue effectively reduced serum levels of MDA ⁽⁴⁶⁾. Supplementation with glutamine and probiotics in a rat with burn injury model significantly increased SOD and reduced reactive oxygen species (ROS) and NO content ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Yilmaz et al. ⁽⁴⁸⁾ reported that *L. rhamnosus* administration in mice with sepsis significantly decreased endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and MDA and increased glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and paraoxonase

1(PON1). Moreover, Erel et al. ⁽⁴⁹⁾ have found that *Bacillus clausii (B.clasuii)* did not have any protective effect on serum levels of MDA, GPx, eNOS, and PON1 in mice with sepsis. Similarly, Rahmati et al. ⁽⁵⁰⁾ failed to find any significant effect of probiotic supplementation (pretreatment) on MDA levels in a mouse model with cerebral hypoperfusion over three weeks. Overall, animal and human studies on the effects of probiotics in TBI patients have provided conflicting findings. More studies are needed to draw definitive conclusions about the effects of probiotics on oxidative stress factors in TBI patients.

While we found no significant effect of probiotic supplementation on oxidative stress biomarkers, the following mechanisms have been suggested for the beneficial effects of probiotics on oxidative stress biomarkers. One of the suggested underlying mechanisms is related to the nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2, kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1, and antioxidant response element (Nrf2-Keap1-ARE) pathway ⁽⁵¹⁾. Nrf2 activation regulates genes involved in ROS detoxification to resist oxidants ⁽⁵²⁾. One of the Nrf2 molecular switches is Keap1, and at low levels of ROS, Nrf2 binds to its cytoplasmic inhibitor Keap1. When cells are assaulted by free radicals or nucleophiles, redox-sensitive cysteine residues of Keap1 react and change the Keap1 functional conformation, thereby destroying Nrf2 inactivation ⁽⁵³⁾. Nrf2 is then transported to the nucleus and binds to antioxidant response element sequences, boosting the transcription of ARE-driven genes such as detoxifying protein and antioxidant enzyme genes ⁽⁵⁴⁾. ROS can also activate the redox-sensitive transcription factor NF-kB, leading to the production of inflammatory cytokines during inflammation ⁽⁵⁵⁾. Moreover, extracellular polysaccharides from some probiotic strains inhibit NF-kB and ROS generation, preventing LPS-induced inflammation in RAW 264.7 macrophages ⁽⁵⁶⁾.

In the present study, supplementation with probiotics led to a significant increase in the intake of energy, macronutrients and micronutrients compared to the placebo group. Probiotics contribute to maintaining homeostasis by modulating the immune system through the regulation of immunoglobulins and cytokines, stimulating macrophages, and enhancing the response to food antigens. They also strengthen the intestinal epithelial barrier, promote nutrient absorption, foster the proliferation of beneficial microorganisms, compete with pathogens for nutrients and adhesion to the intestinal epithelium, modulate the host immune system, and inhibit pathogenic bacteria ^(25; 57). Taken together, it seems that supplementing with probiotics could improve dietary intake by increasing patient tolerance.

This trial has several limitations. First, a fixed dosage of probiotics was used, and higher doses or longer administration times may have produced different results. Second, enrollment was limited to TBI patients, and including patients from other ICU settings may have yielded different results. Third, the relatively small sample size limited our ability to perform subgroup analyses based on disease severity and other demographic characteristics. Fourth, some patients were lost to follow-up, but we used ITT analysis to address this issue. Fifth, the duration of the intervention might not have been long enough to affect oxidative stress and antioxidant parameters. Additionally, our study did not consider signaling pathways such as Nrf2, which would allow investigation of the molecular effects of probiotics.

Conclusion

Among TBI patients, probiotic supplementation, compared with placebo, improved total energy and nutrient intakes. However, it did not significantly affect cell adhesion molecules, prooxidant, and antioxidant biomarkers. Further clinical trials with larger sample sizes are needed to clarify the efficacy of probiotics in patients with TBI.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. The first-degree relatives of the patients signed the consent form, and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: TBZMED. REC.1401.355).

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was funded by Tabriz University of Medical Sciences [grant number: 65716].

Authors' contributions

The authors' responsibilities were as follows: NN, MEM, SN, SSS, HT, and SRA wrote the original paper; NN and SSS helped with data collection; AM and SS contributed to patient selection; MEM, SN, and NN performed statistical analysis; MEM, HT, SN, SS, SRA, and AM contributed to the conception of the article as well as to the final revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the patients who participated in the present study.

References

1. Lawati KA, Sharif S, Maqbali SA *et al.* (2021) Efficacy and safety of tranexamic acid in acute traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials. *Intensive care medicine* **47**, 14-27.

2. James SL, Theadom A, Ellenbogen RG *et al.* (2019) Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet Neurology* **18**, 56-87.

3. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Gupta S *et al.* (2018) Estimating the global incidence of traumatic brain injury. *Journal of neurosurgery* **130**, 1080-1097.

4. Harrison JE, Berry JG, Jamieson LM (2012) Head and traumatic brain injuries among Australian youth and young adults, July 2000–June 2006. *Brain injury* **26**, 996-1004.

5. Ferreira APO, Rodrigues FS, Della-Pace ID *et al.* (2013) The effect of NADPH-oxidase inhibitor apocynin on cognitive impairment induced by moderate lateral fluid percussion injury: role of inflammatory and oxidative brain damage. *Neurochemistry international* **63**, 583-593.

6. Cornelius C, Crupi R, Calabrese V *et al.* (2013) Traumatic brain injury: oxidative stress and neuroprotection. *Antioxidants & redox signaling* **19**, 836-853.

7. Dell'Aquila M, Maiese A, De Matteis A *et al.* (2021) Traumatic brain injury: Estimate of the age of the injury based on neuroinflammation, endothelial activation markers and adhesion molecules. *Histology and histopathology* **36**, 795-806.

8. Djordjevic J, Golam Sabbir M, C Albensi B (2016) Traumatic brain injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: is inflammatory signaling a key player? *Current Alzheimer Research* **13**, 730-738.

9. Lehnardt S (2010) Innate immunity and neuroinflammation in the CNS: The role of microglia in Toll-like receptor-mediated neuronal injury. *Glia* **58**, 253-263.

10. Abdul-Muneer P, Chandra N, Haorah J (2015) Interactions of oxidative stress and neurovascular inflammation in the pathogenesis of traumatic brain injury. *Molecular neurobiology* **51**, 966-979.

11. Tan M, Zhu J-C, Du J *et al.* (2011) Effects of probiotics on serum levels of Th1/Th2 cytokine and clinical outcomes in severe traumatic brain-injured patients: a prospective randomized pilot study. *Critical care* **15**, 1-10.

12. Wan G, Wang L, Zhang G *et al.* (2020) Effects of probiotics combined with early enteral nutrition on endothelin-1 and C-reactive protein levels and prognosis in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. *Journal of International Medical Research* **48**, 0300060519888112.

13. Ebrahimi-Mameghani M, Sanaie S, Mahmoodpoor A *et al.* (2013) Effect of a probiotic preparation (VSL# 3) in critically ill patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (Pilot Study). *Pakistan journal of medical sciences* **29**, 490.

14. Rezazadeh L, Pourmoradian S, Tutunchi H *et al.* (2023) The effects of probiotics on VCAM-1 and ICAM-1: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN* **54**, 60-67.

15. Abbaszadeh SH, Yousefi M, Arefhosseini SR *et al.* (2024) Effect of a seven-strain probiotic on dietary intake, inflammatory markers, and T-cells in severe traumatic brain injury patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Science Progress* 107, 00368504241259299.
16. Cleophas TJ, Zwinderman AH, Cleophas TF *et al.* (2009) *Statistics applied to clinical trials*:

Springer.

17. (2018) Nutrition Matters in the Community.

https://nhslguidelines.scot.nhs.uk/media/1137/nutrition-matters-in-the-community.pdf (accessed 2 June 2023

18. Darnis S, Fareau N, Corallo C *et al.* (2012) Estimation of body weight in hospitalized patients. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine* **105**, 769-774.

19. Mahan LK (2016) Krause's Food & the Nutrition Care Process-E-Book: Krause's Food & the Nutrition Care Process-E-Book: Elsevier Health Sciences.

20. Faraji-Rad M, Khajavi M, Arjmand MH *et al.* (2015) Pro-oxidant-antioxidant balance in patients with high grade glioblastoma multiform. *Middle East Journal of Cancer* **6**, 79-83.

21. Karimi A, Naeini F, Niazkar HR *et al.* (2022) Nano-curcumin supplementation in critically ill patients with sepsis: a randomized clinical trial investigating the inflammatory biomarkers, oxidative stress indices, endothelial function, clinical outcomes and nutritional status. *Food & function* **13**, 6596-6612.

22. Tadié J-M, Locher C, Maamar A *et al.* (2023) Enteral citrulline supplementation versus placebo on SOFA score on day 7 in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: the IMMUNOCITRE randomized clinical trial. *Critical Care* **27**, 381.

23. Keshani M, Alikiaii B, Babaei Z *et al.* (2024) The effects of L-carnitine supplementation on inflammation, oxidative stress, and clinical outcomes in critically III patients with sepsis: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Nutrition Journal* **23**, 31.

24. Mehta Y, Sunavala J, Zirpe K *et al.* (2018) Practice guidelines for nutrition in critically ill patients: a relook for Indian scenario. *Indian journal of critical care medicine: peer-reviewed, official publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine* **22**, 263.

25. Dwivedi MK, Amaresan N, Sankaranaryanan A et al. (2021) Probiotics in the Prevention and Management of Human Diseases: A Scientific Perspective: Academic Press.

26. Brenner LA, Forster JE, Stearns-Yoder KA *et al.* (2020) Evaluation of an immunomodulatory probiotic intervention for veterans with co-occurring mild traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder: a pilot study. *Frontiers in neurology* **11**, 1015.

27. Hua S (2013) Targeting sites of inflammation: intercellular adhesion molecule-1 as a target for novel inflammatory therapies. *Frontiers in pharmacology* **4**, 127.

28. Anhê FF, Barra NG, Cavallari JF *et al.* (2021) Metabolic endotoxemia is dictated by the type of lipopolysaccharide. *Cell Reports* **36**.

29. Clemente-Postigo M, Oliva-Olivera W, Coin-Aragüez L *et al.* (2019) Metabolic endotoxemia promotes adipose dysfunction and inflammation in human obesity. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism* **316**, E319-E332.

30. van Lier D, Geven C, Leijte GP *et al.* (2019) Experimental human endotoxemia as a model of systemic inflammation. *Biochimie* **159**, 99-106.

31. Rezazadeh L, Alipour B, Jafarabadi MA *et al.* (2020) Evaluation of the effects of probiotic yoghurt on inflammation and cardiometabolic risk factors in subjects with metabolic syndrome: A randomised controlled trial. *International Dairy Journal* **101**, 104577.

32. Miglioranza Scavuzzi B, Miglioranza LHdS, Henrique FC *et al.* (2015) The role of probiotics on each component of the metabolic syndrome and other cardiovascular risks. *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets* **19**, 1127-1138.

33. Naito E, Yoshida Y, Makino K *et al.* (2011) Beneficial effect of oral administration of Lactobacillus casei strain Shirota on insulin resistance in diet-induced obesity mice. *Journal of applied microbiology* **110**, 650-657.

34. Grylls A, Seidler K, Neil J (2021) Link between microbiota and hypertension: Focus on LPS/TLR4 pathway in endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation, and therapeutic implication of probiotics. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* **137**, 111334.

35. Bernini LJ, Simão ANC, Alfieri DF *et al.* (2016) Beneficial effects of Bifidobacterium lactis on lipid profile and cytokines in patients with metabolic syndrome: A randomized trial. Effects of probiotics on metabolic syndrome. *Nutrition* **32**, 716-719.

36. Cristofori F, Dargenio VN, Dargenio C *et al.* (2021) Anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects of probiotics in gut inflammation: a door to the body. *Frontiers in immunology* **12**, 578386.

37. Vinolo MA, Rodrigues HG, Hatanaka E *et al.* (2011) Suppressive effect of short-chain fatty acids on production of proinflammatory mediators by neutrophils. *The Journal of nutritional biochemistry* **22**, 849-855.

38. Mohammadi AA, Jazayeri S, Khosravi-Darani K *et al.* (2015) Effects of probiotics on biomarkers of oxidative stress and inflammatory factors in petrochemical workers: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *International journal of preventive medicine* **6**.

39. Hajifaraji M, Jahanjou F, Abbasalizadeh F *et al.* (2018) Effect of probiotic supplements in women with gestational diabetes mellitus on inflammation and oxidative stress biomarkers: a randomized clinical trial. *Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition* **27**, 581-591.

40. Vaghef-Mehrabany E, Homayouni-Rad A, Alipour B *et al.* (2016) Effects of probiotic supplementation on oxidative stress indices in women with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* **35**, 291-299.

41. Soleimani A, Mojarrad MZ, Bahmani F *et al.* (2017) Probiotic supplementation in diabetic hemodialysis patients has beneficial metabolic effects. *Kidney international* **91**, 435-442.

42. Soleimani A, Motamedzadeh A, Zarrati Mojarrad M *et al.* (2019) The effects of synbiotic supplementation on metabolic status in diabetic patients undergoing hemodialysis: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Probiotics and antimicrobial proteins* **11**, 1248-1256.

43. Kotzampassi K, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Voudouris A *et al.* (2006) Benefits of a synbiotic formula (Synbiotic 2000Forte®) in critically ill trauma patients: early results of a randomized controlled trial. *World journal of surgery* **30**, 1848-1855.

44. Sun J, Ling Z, Wang F *et al.* (2016) Clostridium butyricum pretreatment attenuates cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice via anti-oxidation and anti-apoptosis. *Neuroscience Letters* **613**, 30-35.

45. Wanchao S, Chen M, Zhiguo S *et al.* (2018) Protective effect and mechanism of Lactobacillus on cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* **51**, e7172.

46. Akhoundzadeh K, Vakili A, Shadnoush M *et al.* (2018) Effects of the oral ingestion of probiotics on brain damage in a transient model of focal cerebral ischemia in mice. *Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences* **43**, 32.

47. Gong Z-Y, Yuan Z-Q, Dong Z-W *et al.* (2017) Glutamine with probiotics attenuates intestinal inflammation and oxidative stress in a rat burn injury model through altered iNOS gene aberrant methylation. *American journal of translational research* **9**, 2535.

48. Yılmaz M, Erdem AO (2020) The protective role of probiotics in sepsis-induced rats. *Ulusal Travma ve Acil Cerrahi Dergisi* **26**, 843-846.

49. Erel VK, Yılmaz EM Protective effect of B. clasuii on sepsis.

50. Rahmati H, Momenabadi S, Vafaei AA *et al.* (2019) Probiotic supplementation attenuates hippocampus injury and spatial learning and memory impairments in a cerebral hypoperfusion mouse model. *Molecular biology reports* **46**, 4985-4995.

51. Wang Y, Wu Y, Wang Y *et al.* (2017) Antioxidant properties of probiotic bacteria. *Nutrients* **9**, 521.

52. Jones RM, Desai C, Darby TM *et al.* (2015) Lactobacilli modulate epithelial cytoprotection through the Nrf2 pathway. *Cell reports* **12**, 1217-1225.

53. Ulasov AV, Rosenkranz AA, Georgiev GP *et al.* (2022) Nrf2/Keap1/ARE signaling: Towards specific regulation. *Life Sci* **291**, 120111.

54. Maher J, Yamamoto M (2010) The rise of antioxidant signaling—the evolution and hormetic actions of Nrf2. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* **244**, 4-15.

55. Srivastava SK, Yadav UC, Reddy AB *et al.* (2011) Aldose reductase inhibition suppresses oxidative stress-induced inflammatory disorders. *Chemico-biological interactions* **191**, 330-338.

56. Diao Y, Xin Y, Zhou Y *et al.* (2014) Extracellular polysaccharide from Bacillus sp. strain LBP32 prevents LPS-induced inflammation in RAW 264.7 macrophages by inhibiting NF-κB and MAPKs activation and ROS production. *International immunopharmacology* **18**, 12-19.

57. Bermudez-Brito M, Plaza-Díaz J, Muñoz-Quezada S *et al.* (2012) Probiotic mechanisms of action. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism* **61**, 160-174.



Figure 1: Study flow diagram (EN, Enteral nutrition; ICU, Intensive care unit; GI, gastrointestinal bleeding ITT, Intention to treat)



Figure 2: The effect of the intervention on energy and protein intake in two study groups. Values are mean (standard deviation). Data analysis was done using ANCOVA test (adjusted for age, sex, and baseline values)

	Probiotic (n=23)	Placebo (n=23)
Age (years) ^a	33.50 (13.20)	31.3 (15.96)
Gender, n (%) ^b		
Males	16 (70)	14 (61)
Females	7 (30)	9 (39)
Marital status, n (%) ^b		
Single	11 (47.80)	10 (43.5)
Married	12 (52.2)	13 (56.5)
BMI (Kg / m ²) ^a	23.58 (4.35)	23.60 (4.04)
MUAC ^a	27.85 (3.39)	27.30 (3.31)
TSF ^a	17.95 (4.94)	16.10 (5.41)
APACHE II score ^a	22.28 (1.74)	22.79 (1.51)
SOFA Score ^a	13.23 (1.35)	13.66 (1.53)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants.

Abbreviations: BMI: Body mass index, MUAC: Mid-upper arm circumference, TSF: Triceps skinfold thickness. ^a Values are expressed as means (standard deviation) based on independent sample t-test. ^b Values are expressed as numbers (percent) based on chi-square.

	Probiotic (n=23)	Placebo (n=23)	MD	95% CI	Р
Carbohydrate (g)					
Baseline	63.88 (16.85)	62.86 (16.52)	1.01	-8.68, 10.71	0.834 ^b
End	168.00 (24.76)	141.33 (31.32)	24.28	7.97, 40.60	0.004 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			
Total fat (%E)					
Baseline	21.27 (5.33)	21.16 (6.85)	0.11	-3.45, 3.68	0.950 ^b
End	55.69 (6.58)	46.32 (7.43)	8.98	4.79, 13.16	<0.001 ^c
p ^a	<0.001	<0.001			
Fiber (g)					
Baseline	4.03 (1.43)	3.94 (1.05)	0.08	-0.64, 0.81	0.814 ^b
End	10.62 (2.73)	9.11 (2.69)	1.33	-0.14, 2.81	0.076 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			
Vitamin A (RE)					
Baseline	819.14 (241.65)	915.98 (291.79)	-96.84	-252.51, 58.82	0.217 ^b
End	2145.0 (2050.8, 2415.5)	2065.42 (451.29)	26.88 ^d	-	0.401 ^c
p ^a	<0.001	<0.001			
Vitamin C (mg)					
Baseline	34.49 (14.74)	30.84 (20.29, 44.10)	1.01 ^e	0.78, 1.33	0.889 ^b
End	92.15 (32.26)	82.38 (45.07)	7.83	-10.05, 25.71	0.382 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			

Table 2. Comparison of energy and daily actual nutrient intakes among study groups

Vitamin E (mg)					
Baseline	4.10 (1.29)	3.59 (1.11)	0.51	-0.18, 1.21	0.147 ^b
End	10.76 (2.84)	8.08 (2.20)	2.07	0.65, 3.50	0.005 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			
Zinc (mg)					
Baseline	2.93 (0.74)	2.97 (0.85)	-0.04	-0.50, 0.42	0.865 ^b
End	7.72 (0.87)	6.56 (1.03)	1.08	0.52, 1.64	<0.001 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			
Copper (mg)					
Baseline	0.27 (0.07)	0.26 (0.06)	0.00	-0.03, 0.04	0.835 ^b
End	0.71 (0.10)	0.60 (0.12)	0.09	0.02, 0.16	0.009 ^c
P ^a	<0.001	<0.001			
Selenium (µg)					
Baseline	22.12 (5.33)	22.66 (7.00)	-0.53	-4.15, 3.07	0.767 ^b
End	59.93 (8.35)	50.33 (8.40)	9.00	4.00, 14.00	0.001 ^c
P ^a	<0.001	<0.001			

Abbreviations: Mean (standard deviation) is presented for normally distributed data. Median (25th and 75th percentiles) is presented for data not normally distributed. ^a P-value based on paired sample t-test. ^b P-value based on independent sample t-test. ^c P-value based on ANCOVA adjusted for age, sex, and baseline values.

^d Due to negatively skewed data, the data are expressed as a median difference (squared data cannot be transformed to the original scale due to negative values and difficulty of interpretation).

^e Due to positively skewed data, the data are expressed as a ratio of geometric means (95% CI)

	Probiotic (n=23)	Placebo (n=23)	MD	95% CI	Р
ICAM-1 (ng/ml)					
Baseline	3.90 (3.61, 4.12)	3.67 (3.61, 3.83)	1.09	1.00, 1.20 ^d	0.047 ^b
End	3.74 (3.59, 4.12)	3.80 (3.64, 4.22)	0.93	0.84, 1.03 ^d	0.161 ^c
p^{a}	0.074	0.090			
VCAM-1 (ng/mL)					
Baseline	4.11 (4.06, 4.14)	4.09 (4.06, 4.13)	1.02	0.98, 1.06 ^d	0.209 ^b
End	4.10 (4.06, 4.15)	4.10 (4.07, 4.12)	1.01	0.99, 1.03 ^d	0.283 ^c
$p^{ m a}$	0.443	0.942			
PAB (AU)					
Baeline	147.48 (24.36)	154.06 (18.39)	-6.58	-19.13, 5.95	0.296 ^b
End	111.39 (97.91, 130.51)	105.52 (22.75)	1.09	0.93, 1.29 ^d	0.255 ^c
p^{a}	<0.001	<0.001			
MDA (nanomol/ml)					
Baseline	2.23 (0.85)	2.22 (0.88)	0.01	-0.49, 0.51	0.974 ^b
End	2.35 (0.66)	2.33 (0.82)	0.05	-0.37, 0.47	0.814 ^c
$p^{ m a}$	0.617	0.731			
NO (µmol)					
Baseline	1.78 (0.60)	1.78 (0.64)	0.00	-0.35, 0.36	0.978 ^b
End	1.26 (0.54)	1.14 (0.39)	0.16	-0.11, 0.44	0.245 ^c
p^{a}	0.004	0.001			

Table 3. Cell adhesion molecules and oxidative stress/antioxidant parameters of the patients throughout the study

TAC (mmol/L)					
Baseline	1.14 (0.84, 1.25)	1.02 (0.87, 1.25)	1.04	0.88, 1.24 ^d	0.611 ^b
End	1.15 (0.89, 1.51)	0.93 (0.82, 0.130)	1.12	0.93, 1.35 ^d	0.215 ^c
p^{a}	0.357	0.839			
ARE activity (U/L)					
Baseline	41.86 (9.38)	41.38 (7.77)	0.48	-4.52, 5.49	0.845 ^b
End	40.83 (12.07)	36.78 (13.28)	4.12	-3.29, 11.54	0.269 ^c
$p^{ m a}$	0.739	0.112			

Abbreviations: ICAM-1: intercellular adhesion molecule 1, VCAM-1: vascular cell adhesion molecule 1, PAB: prooxidantantioxidant balance, MDA: malondialdehyde, NO: nitric oxide, TAC; total antioxidant capacity, ARE: arylesterase, MD: Mean/Median of difference. Mean (standard deviation) is presented for normally distributed data. Median (25th and 75th percentiles) is presented for data not normally distributed. ^a P-value based on paired sample t-test. ^b P-value based on independent sample t-test. ^c P-value based on ANCOVA adjusted for age, sex and baseline values.

^d Due to skewed data, the data are expressed as a ratio of geometric means (95% CI)