GRAPHS WITH SEMITOTAL DOMINATION NUMBER HALF THEIR ORDE[R](#page-0-0)

JIE CHE[N](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8199-9965)^{[®] and SHO[U](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2046-3040)-JUN XU[®]}

(Received 4 May 2024; accepted 14 May 2024)

Abstract

In an isolate-free graph *G*, a subset *S* of vertices is a *semitotal dominating set* of *G* if it is a dominating set of *G* and every vertex in *S* is within distance 2 of another vertex of *S*. The *semitotal domination number* of *G*, denoted by $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a semitotal dominating set in *G*. Goddard, G, denoted by $\gamma_{12}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a semitotal dominating set in G. Goddard, Henning and McPillan ['Semitotal domination in graphs', *Utilitas Math.* **94** (2014), 67–81] characterised the trees and graphs of minimum degree 2 with semitotal domination number half their order. In this paper, we characterise all graphs whose semitotal domination number is half their order.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 05C69. *Keywords and phrases*: domination, semitotal domination.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite simple undirected graphs. A subset *D* of vertices in a graph *G* is a *dominating set* of *G* if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ is adjacent to a vertex in *D*. The minimum cardinality $\gamma(G)$ of a dominating set is called the *dominating number*. Similarly, *D* is a *total dominating set* of *G* if every vertex of *V*(*G*) is adjacent to a vertex in *D* and the minimum cardinality $\gamma_t(G)$ of a total dominating set is called the *total dominating number* of *G*. The study of a total dominating set is meaningful only in an isolate-free graph. Since 1997, domination and its variations have been extensively studied (see, for example, [\[2,](#page-6-0) [5,](#page-6-1) [10\]](#page-6-2)).

Semitotal domination, introduced by Goddard *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-6-3) is a relaxed form of total domination. A subset *D* of vertices in an isolate-free graph *G* is a *semitotal dominating set*, abbreviated semi-TD-set, of *G* if it is a dominating set of *G* and every vertex in *D* is within distance 2 of another vertex of *D*. The *semitotal domination number* of *G*, denoted by $\gamma_{t2}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a semi-TD-set in *G*. We refer to a minimum semi-TD-set of *G* as a $\gamma_{t2}(G)$ -set.

This work was funded in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071194) and the Chongqing Natural Science Foundation Innovation and Development Joint Fund (Municipal Education Commission) (Grant No. CSTB2022NSCQ-LZX0003).

[©] The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

2 J. Chen and S.-J. Xu [2]

Two edges in a graph *G* are *independent* if they are not adjacent in *G*. A *matching* in *G* is a set of pairwise independent edges. The *matching number* of *G* is the maximum cardinality of a matching in *G*.

Since every total dominating set of an isolate-free graph *G* is a semi-TD-set of *G* and every semi-TD-set of an isolate-free graph *G* is a dominating set of *G*, we observe that $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_{12}(G) \leq \gamma_{12}(G)$. However, the semitotal domination number is very different from the domination and total domination number. For example, the total domination number cannot be compared with the matching number, while the semitotal domination number is comparable with the matching number and cannot be greater than the matching number plus one (see $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$). That makes the study of the semitotal domination number interesting.

There has been much work to determine bounds for the semitotal domination number of graphs (see, for example, [\[1,](#page-6-3) [3,](#page-6-6) [4,](#page-6-7) [7–](#page-6-5)[9,](#page-6-8) [11,](#page-7-0) [12\]](#page-7-1)). Goddard *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-6-3) proved that if *G* is a connected graph of order $n \ge 4$, then $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le n/2$, and characterised the trees and graphs of minimum degree 2 achieving this bound trees and graphs of minimum degree 2 achieving this bound.

THEOREM 1.1 [\[1\]](#page-6-3). *If G is a connected graph of order* $n \geq 4$ *, then* $\gamma_{t2}(G) \leq n/2$.

We aim to characterise the infinite families of graphs that achieve equality in the bound in Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) In Section [2,](#page-1-1) we give some basic definitions and some useful results as preliminaries. In Section [3,](#page-2-0) we give our main theorem characterising the graphs whose semitotal domination number is half their order (Theorem [3.1\)](#page-2-0).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some basic definitions and some useful results.

Let *G* be a connected finite simple undirected graph with vertex set $V(G)$, edge set *E*(*G*) and order *n* = |*V*(*G*)|. Let *u*, *v* be two vertices in *V*(*G*). If *uv* ∈ *E*(*G*), then we say *u*, *v* are *adjacent*, *u* is a *neighbour* of *v* and *vice versa*. We denote by $N_G(v)$ the *neighbourhood* of *v* and by $N_G[v]$ the *closed neighbourhood* of *v*. The degree of *v* is $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. Denote by $\delta(G)$ the *minimum degree* of *G*. A *leaf* of *G* is a vertex of degree 1, a *support* vertex of *G* is a vertex adjacent to at least one leaf and a *strong support* vertex of *G* is a support vertex adjacent to at least two leaves. Denote the sets of leaves, support vertices and strong support vertices of *G* by *L*(*G*), *S*(*G*) and *S* (*G*), respectively.

For a subset *S* of *V*(*G*) and a vertex *v* of *V*(*G*), we denote $N_S(v) = N_G(v) \cap S$, *G*[*S*] as the subgraph of *G* induced by *S*, and *G* − *S* as the graph obtained from *G* by deleting the vertices in *S*. Moreover, denote $N_H(v) = N_{V(H)}(v)$, where *H* is a subgraph of *G*. We call a path connecting vertices *u* and *v* a (u, v) *-path*. The *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between *u* and ν is the length of a shortest (u, v) -path in *G*. As usual, a cycle and a path of order *n* are denoted by C_n and P_n , respectively. For a positive integer *r*, [*r*] denotes $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. If there is no confusion, the subscript *G* is omitted.

Seven graphs G_1 – G_7 are shown in Figure [1.](#page-2-1) Let *U* be a connected graph and for each vertex *v* of *U*, add either a P_2 , or a P_4 or a C_4 , and identify *v* with one end of

FIGURE 2. A graph of G .

the path or one vertex of the cycle. Let *G* denote the resulting graph and let G be the family of all such graphs G that are not isomorphic to P_2 . We call the graph U used to construct the graph *G* an *underlying* graph of *G*. A graph of G is illustrated in Figure [2.](#page-2-2) Let G_1 be the subfamily of G for which every vertex in every U has C_4 added.

Goddard *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-6-3) provided a characterisation of the graphs whose minimum degree is at least two and whose semi-total domination number is half their order.

THEOREM 2.1 [\[1\]](#page-6-3). Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ with minimum degree at *least 2. Then* $\gamma_{12}(G) = n/2$ *if and only if G is either* C_6 *or* C_8 *or a spanning subgraph of* K_4 *or a graph of* G_1 *.*

We have the following observation.

OBSERVATION 2.2. Let *G* be a graph of $\{G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\} \cup (\mathcal{G} \setminus \{P_4, P_6\})$ and *v* be a support vertex of *G* but not in *U*, where *U* is an underlying graph of *G* if $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\gamma_{t2}(G - N_G[v]) \leq \gamma_{t2}(G) - 2.$

3. The main theorem

THEOREM 3.1. Let G be a connected graph of order n. Then $\gamma_{r2}(G) = n/2$ *if and only if* G ∈ { $K_{1,3}$, G_1 , G_2 , K_4 , C_6 , C_8 , G_3 , G_4 , G_5 , G_6 , G_7 }∪ G .

PROOF. Suppose that *G* is a connected graph of order *n*. If *G* is one of the graphs listed above, then it is easy to verify that $\gamma_2(G) = n/2$. Thus, it suffices to prove the necessity. Assume $\gamma_{12}(G) = n/2$. We proceed by induction on the order *n*. Since $\gamma_{12}(G)$ is an integer of size at least 2, *n* is an even number of size at least 4. If $n = 4$, then *G* is a spanning subgraph of K_4 , implying that $G \in \{P_4, C_4, K_{1,3}, G_1, G_2, K_4\}$. We note that ${P_A, C_A} \subseteq G$. The desired result follows for *n* = 4 and we may now assume that *n* ≥ 6.

We prove two claims relating to the set of support vertices of *G*.

Claim 1. Let *u* be a vertex of *S*(*G*). Then there exists a $\gamma_{t2}(G)$ -set containing *u*.

PROOF. Let *u* be a vertex of *S*(*G*) and *D* be a $\gamma_{12}(G)$ -set. If $u \in D$, then the claim follows. Thus, we may assume that $u \notin D$. Let u_1 be a leaf in *G* adjacent to *u*. To dominate u_1 , we must have $u_1 \in D$. Since *D* is a semi-TD-set of *G*, we note that $(N(u) \setminus \{u_1\}) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $(D \setminus \{u_1\}) \cup \{u\}$ is a $\gamma_{r2}(G)$ -set, as desired. □

Claim 2. $S'(G) = \emptyset$.

PROOF. Suppose to the contrary that $S'(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let *u* be a vertex of $S'(G)$, and u_1 and u_2 be two leaves adjacent to *u*. Let $G' = G - \{u_1\}$. Then G' is a connected graph of order $n' = n - 1$. Since $n \ge 6$, $n' \ge 5$. By Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) $\gamma_{12}(G') \le n'/2$. Note that $u \in S(G')$ Arguments similar to Claim 1 show that there is a $\chi_{0}(G')$ -set containing *u* ∈ *S*(*G*[']). Arguments similar to Claim [1](#page-3-0) show that there is a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set containing $\gamma_{t2}(G)$ Observe that *D'* is also a semi-TD-set of *G*. Thus $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le |D'| = \gamma_{t2}(G') \le$ *u*, say *D*'. Observe that *D*' is also a semi-TD-set of *G*. Thus, $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le |D'| = \gamma_{t2}(G') \le n^{t/2} \le n/2$ which is a contradiction $n'/2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction.

Recall that $n \ge 6$. If $\delta(G) \ge 2$, then *G* is either C_6 or C_8 or a graph of G_1 by Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-3) It follows that $G \in \{C_6, C_8\} \cup \mathcal{G}$, as desired. Thus, it remains to consider the case when $\delta(G) = 1$. Let v_1 be a vertex of degree 1 and v_2 be the support vertex adjacent to *v*₁. Let *H* be a component of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $G' = G - V(H)$. Then G' is a connected graph of order $n' = n - |V(H)|$. Note that $\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq V(G')$. If $n' = 3$ or $|V(H)| = 1$, then *v*₂ ∈ *S*^{*(G*), which contradicts Claim [2.](#page-3-1) Thus, *n*^{*'*} = 2 or *n*^{*'*} ≥ 4, and $|V(H)|$ ≥ 2. When} $n' = 2$, let $D_1 = \{v_2\}$. When $n' \ge 4$, it follows from Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) that $\gamma_{r2}(G') \le n'/2$.
Analogous arouments as in Claim 1 show that there exists a $\gamma_{r2}(G')$ -set containing ν_2 . Analogous arguments as in Claim [1](#page-3-0) show that there exists a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set containing v_2 ,
say D_t . Hence no matter what *n'* is we have $v_2 \in D_t$ and $|D_t| \le n'/2$ say D_1 . Hence, no matter what *n'* is, we have $v_2 \in D_1$ and $|D_1| \leq n'/2$.

Claim 3. If $|V(H)| \leq 3$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

PROOF. Assume $|V(H)| \leq 3$. Since $n \geq 6$, $n' = n - |V(H)| \geq 3$. Combined with the fact that $n' = 2$ or $n' \ge 4$ and $|V(H)| \ge 2$, we have $n' \ge 4$ and $|V(H)| = 2$ or 3. It follows that *D*₁ is a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set containing *v*₂ and there exists a vertex *w* in *H* such that $N_U[w] = V(H)$. Thus *D*₁ \cup $\{w\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further in *H* such that $N_H[w] = V(H)$. Thus, $D_1 \cup \{w\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \leq |D_1| + 1 \leq n'/2 + 1 \leq (n - |V(H)|)/2 + 1 \leq (n - 2)/2 + 1 \leq n/2$. Since $\gamma_{21}(G) = n/2$ we note that $|D_1| = n'/2$ and $|V(H)| = 2$ Let $H = w_1w_2$. Without loss $\gamma_{t2}(G) = n/2$, we note that $|D_1| = n'/2$ and $|V(H)| = 2$. Let $H = w_1w_2$. Without loss of generality consider $v_2w_1 \in E(G)$. If $v_2w_2 \in E(G)$ then D_1 is a semi-TD-set of G of generality, consider $v_2w_1 \in E(G)$. If $v_2w_2 \in E(G)$, then D_1 is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \leq |D_1| \leq n'/2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\nu_2\nu_2 \notin E(G)$.
By the inductive hypothesis $G' \in \{K_1, G_2, G_3, K_4, C_6, G_2, G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\}$

By the inductive hypothesis, $G' \in \{K_{1,3}, G_1, G_2, K_4, C_6, C_8, G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\} \cup \mathcal{G}$. Observe that $v_2 \in S(G')$. Combined with Claim [2,](#page-3-1) $G' \in \{G_1, G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\} \cup \mathcal{G}$. If $G' \cong G_1$, then $n = 6$ and $\{v_2, w_1\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*, which contradicts $\gamma_{t2}(G) = n/2$. Thus, $G' \in \{G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\} \cup G$. Note that if $G' \in \{P_4, P_6\}$, then *G* ∈ *G*, as desired. Thus, we may assume that *G*^{\prime} ∉ {*P*₄, *P*₆}. If *v*₂ ∉ *V*(*U*), where *U* is an underlying graph of *G*' when *G*' ∈ *G*, then there is a $\gamma_{t2}(G' - N_{G'}[v_2])$ -set, say *D*', with

size at most $\gamma_{12}(G') - 2$ by Observation [2.2.](#page-2-4) Observe that $D' \cup \{v_2, w_1\}$ is a semi-TD-set
of G. Thus $\gamma_{21}(G) \le |D'| + 2 \le \gamma_{21}(G') = n'/2 \le n/2$, which is a contradiction. Hence of *G*. Thus, $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D'| + 2 \le \gamma_{12}(G') = n'/2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $G' \in G$ and $\nu_2 \in V(I)$ for any underlying graph *U* of *G*'. Therefore, $G \in G$ *G* ∈ *G* and v_2 ∈ *V*(*U*) for any underlying graph *U* of *G*'. Therefore, *G* ∈ *G*.

By Claim [3,](#page-3-2) we may assume that $|V(H)| \geq 4$ for any component *H* of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$, for otherwise, the desired result follows. Let D_2 be a $\gamma_{12}(H)$ -set. By Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) $|D_2| \le |V(H)|/2$. Observe that $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Thus, $\gamma_{12}(G) \le$ $|D_1| + |D_2| \le n'/2 + |V(H)|/2 = n/2$. Since $\gamma_{12}(G) = n/2$, we note that $|D_1| = n'/2$ and $|D_2| = |V(H)|/2$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to *H* and *G'* of order $n' > 4$ shows $|D_2| = |V(H)|/2$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to *H* and *G'* of order $n' \geq 4$ shows that they both belong to $\{K_{1,3}, G_1, G_2, K_4, C_6, C_8, G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\} \cup \mathcal{G}$. Let w_1 be a vertex in H adjacent to v_2 . We prove the following claim.

Claim 4. If *H* ∈ {*K*₁₃, *G*₁, *G*₂, *K*₄, *C*₆, *C*₈, *G*₃, *G*₄, *G*₅, *G*₆, *G*₇}, then *G* ∈ {*G*₃, *G*₆, *G*₇}.

PROOF. When $H \in \{K_{1,3}, G_1, G_2, K_4\}$, there exists a vertex *w* in *H* such that $N_H[w] =$ *V*(*H*). Recall that $v_2 \in D_1$. Thus, $D_1 \cup \{w\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \leq$ $|D_1| + 1 \le n'/2 + 1 = (n - 4)/2 + 1 < n/2$, which is a contradiction.
When $H \cong C_c$ let w_2 and w_2 be the two vertices with a dista

When $H \cong C_6$, let w_2 and w_3 be the two vertices with a distance of 2 from w_1 in *H*. Observe that if *D*₁ is a $\gamma_{12}(G')$ -set or $|N_H(v_2)| \ge 2$, then $D_1 \cup \{w_2, w_3\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further $\gamma_{12}(G) < |D_1| + 2 \le n'/2 + 2 = (n - 6)/2 + 2 \le n/2$ which semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le |D_1| + 2 \le n'/2 + 2 = (n - 6)/2 + 2 < n/2$, which
is a contradiction. Hence *D*₁ is not a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set and $|N_{t1}(y_2)| = 1$. It follows that $n' = 2$ is a contradiction. Hence, D_1 is not a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set and $|N_H(v_2)| = 1$. It follows that $n' = 2$
and $G \cong G_2$ and $G \cong G_3$.

When $H \cong C_8$, without loss of generality, we can assume $H = w_1w_2 \cdots w_8w_1$. Then $D_1 \cup \{w_2, w_5, w_7\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Thus, $\gamma_{12}(G) \leq |D_1| + 3 \leq n'/2 + 3 =$
 $(n-8)/2 + 3 < n/2$ which is a contradiction $(n-8)/2 + 3 < n/2$, which is a contradiction.

When $H \in \{G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\}$, we note that $S(H) \neq \emptyset$. If there exists a vertex $u \in$ *S*(*H*) such that $d_G(v_2, u) \leq 2$, then $D_1 \cup D' \cup \{u\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*, where *D'* is a $\gamma_{12}(H - N_H[u])$ -set. By Observation [2.2,](#page-2-4) $|D'| \leq \gamma_{12}(H) - 2$. It follows that $\gamma_{12}(G) \leq$
 $|D_1| + |D'| + 1 \leq n'/2 + \gamma_{22}(H) - 1 = (n - |V(H)|)/2 + |V(H)|/2 - 1 \leq n/2$ which is a $|D_1| + |D'| + 1 \le n'/2 + \gamma_{12}(H) - 1 = (n - |V(H)|)/2 + |V(H)|/2 - 1 < n/2$, which is a contradiction Thus $d_C(v_2, u) > 2$ for any vertex u of $S(H)$ contradiction. Thus, $d_G(v_2, u) \geq 2$ for any vertex *u* of $S(H)$.

If $H \cong G_3$, then $|S(H)| = 1$. Let $S(H) = \{x\}$, x_1 be the vertex of degree 3 in *H*, and x_2, \ldots, x_6 be the five vertices in *H* that are not adjacent to *x*, where $x_i x_{i+1} \in E(H)$ for *i* ∈ [5]. It follows that $N_H[x] \cap N(v_2) = \emptyset$. So {*x*₂, ..., *x*₆} ∩ $N(v_2) \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, consider $\{x_2, x_3, x_4\} \cap N(v_2) \neq \emptyset$. Then $D_1 \cup \{x, x_3, x_6\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D_1| + 3 \le n'/2 + 3 = (n - 8)/2 + 3 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $H \not\cong G_2$ and $H \in \{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$ tion. Thus, $H \not\cong G_3$ and $H \in \{G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7\}$.

Since $d_G(v_2, u) \geq 2$ for any vertex *u* of $S(H)$, it follows that

$$
\left(\bigcup_{u \in S(H)} N_H[u]\right) \cap N(v_2) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad N_H(v_2) \subseteq V(H) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{u \in S(H)} N_H[u]\right).
$$

Next, observe that if D_1 is a $\gamma_{12}(G')$ -set or $|N_H(v_2)| \ge 2$, then there exists a vertex *y* in $V(H) \setminus (| \cdot |_{\text{max}} N_U[u])$ such that $D_1 + |S(H)| + |v|$ is a semi-TD-set of G and $\chi_2(G) \le$ $V(H) \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in S(H)} N_H[u])$ such that $D_1 \cup S(H) \cup \{y\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G* and $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le$
 $D_1 + |S(H)| + 1 \le n'/2 + (|V(H)| - 4)/2 + 1 = (n - |V(H)|)/2 + (|V(H)| - 4)/2 + 1 \le n'$ $|D_1|$ + $|S(H)|$ + 1 ≤ *n'* /2 + (|*V*(*H*)| − 4)/2 + 1 = (*n* − |*V*(*H*)|)/2 + (|*V*(*H*)| − 4)/2 + 1 <

n/2, which is a contradiction. Thus, *D*₁ is not a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set and $|N_H(v_2)| = 1$, implying that $n' = 2$. Hence $H \in \{G_t, G_t\}$ and $G \in \{G_t, G_t\}$ that $n' = 2$. Hence, $H \in \{G_4, G_5, G_6\}$ and $G \in \{G_6, G_7\}$. □

By Claim [4,](#page-4-0) we may assume that $H \in \mathcal{G}$ for any component H of $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$, for otherwise, the desired result follows. It follows that $G' \in \{P_2, G_4, G_5\} \cup \mathcal{G}$. According to the structure of *H*, there exists a $\gamma_{12}(H)$ -set containing w_1 . Without loss of generality, we can assume $w_1 \in D_2$. If $G' \in \{G_4, G_5\}$, then there exists a vertex *x* in $V(G') \setminus (\bigcup_{u \in S(G')} N_{G'}[u])$ such that $D_2 \cup S(G') \cup \{x\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. It follows that $\gamma_{t2}(G) \le |D_2| + |S(G')| + 1 = |V(H)|/2 + 3 = (n-8)/2 + 3 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G' \notin \{G_t, G_s\}$ and $G' \in \{P_2\} \cup G$ is a contradiction. Thus, $G' \notin \{G_4, G_5\}$ and $G' \in \{P_2\} \cup \mathcal{G}$.

Claim 5. If $G' \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists an underlying graph U_1 of G' such that $v_2 \in V(U_1)$.

PROOF. Assume $G' \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that $v_2 \in S(G')$. If $G' \in \{P_4, P_6\}$, then there exists an underlying graph of *G*' such that v_2 is in it, as desired. Thus, we may assume that $G' \notin$ ${P_4, P_6}$. Let U_1 be an underlying graph of *H*. If $v_2 \notin V(U_1)$, then $\gamma_{12}(G' - N_{G'}[v_2]) \le \gamma_{12}(G') - 2$ by Observation 2.2. Let D' be a $\gamma_{21}(G' - N_{G'}[v_1])$ set Then $D' \cup \{v_2\} \cup D_2$ $\gamma_{12}(G') - 2$ by Observation [2.2.](#page-2-4) Let *D'* be a $\gamma_{12}(G' - N_{G'}[v_2])$ -set. Then $D' \cup \{v_2\} \cup D_2$
is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further $\gamma_{21}(G) \le |D'| + 1 + |D_2| \le \gamma_{21}(G') - 2 + 1 + |V(H)|/2 \le$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D'| + 1 + |D_2| \le \gamma_{12}(G') - 2 + 1 + |V(H)|/2 \le n'/2 - 1 + |V(H)|/2 < n'/2$ which is a contradiction. Thus $y_2 \in V(I_1)$ *n'* /2 − 1 + $|V(H)|/2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus, *v*₂ ∈ *V*(*U*₁).

We proceed with the following final claim.

Claim 6. If $|V(H)| = 4$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

PROOF. Assume $|V(H)| = 4$. Since $H \in \mathcal{G}$, we note that $H \in \{P_4, C_4\}$. Let *V*(*H*) = {*x*₁, *x*₂, *x*₃, *x*₄}, where *x_ix_{i+1}* ∈ *E*(*H*) for *i* ∈ [3]. Suppose *v*₂*x*₁ ∈ *E*(*G*). Then $N_H(v_2) = \{x_1\}$, otherwise $D_1 \cup \{x_3\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G* and $\gamma_{t2}(G) \leq |D_1| + 1 \leq$ $n'/2 + 1 = (n - 4)/2 + 1 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. When $G' \cong P_2$, we have $G \in G$ When $G' \in G$ combined with Claim 5 we have $G \in G$ Similarly if *G* ∈ *G*. When *G* ∈ *G*, combined with Claim [5,](#page-5-0) we have *G* ∈ *G*. Similarly, if $v_2x_4 \in E(G)$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus, we may assume that $v_2x_1 \notin E(G)$ and $v_2x_4 \notin E(G)$. It follows that $N_H(v_2) \subseteq \{x_2, x_3\}$. When $H \cong P_4$, combine $G' \in \{P_2\} \cup G$ with Claim [5](#page-5-0) to get *G* ∈ *G*. When *H* \cong *C*₄, analogous arguments as the case of *v*₂*x*₁ ∈ *E*(*G*) show that $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

By Claim [6,](#page-5-1) we may assume that $|V(H)| \ge 6$, for otherwise, the desired result follows. Let U_2 be an underlying graph of *H*. If $N_H(v_2) \subseteq V(U_2)$, then it follows from $G' \in \{P_2\} \cup G$ and Claim [5](#page-5-0) that $G \in G$. Thus, it remains to discuss the case of $N_H(v_2) \nsubseteq V(U_2)$. Without loss of generality, consider $w_1 \notin V(U_2)$.

Suppose that w_1 is on a P_4 -addition. Let x_2 be the support vertex of *H* on this P_4 -addition. Then $w_1 \in N_H[x_2]$. If $H \notin \{P_4, P_6\}$, then $\gamma_{12}(H - N_H[x_2]) \le \gamma_{22}(H) - 2$ by Observation 2.2. Let D' be a $\gamma_{22}(H - N_H[x_2])$ -set. Then $D_1 + D' + \{x_2\}$ $\gamma_{t2}(H) - 2$ by Observation [2.2.](#page-2-4) Let *D'* be a $\gamma_{t2}(H - N_H[x_2])$ -set. Then $D_1 \cup D' \cup \{x_2\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D_1| + |D'| + 1 \le n'/2 + \gamma_{12}(H) - 1 =$
 $(n - |V(H)|)/2 + |V(H)|/2 - 1 \le n'/2$ which is a contradiction. Thus $H \in \{P, P_1\}$ $(n - |V(H)|)/2 + |V(H)|/2 - 1 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $H \in \{P_4, P_6\}$. Recall that $|V(H)| \ge 6$. So $H \cong P_6$.

Without loss of generality, let $H = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_6$. Then $w_1 = x_1$, or x_2 or x_3 . If $\{x_4, x_5, x_6\} \cap N_H(v_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $D_1 \cup \{x_2, x_5\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further, $\gamma_{t2}(G) \leq |D_1| + 2 \leq n'/2 + 2 = (n - 6)/2 + 2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus,
 $\{\gamma_{t,k}, \gamma_{t,k}, \gamma_{t,k}\} \cap N_{tt}(\gamma_2) = \emptyset$. When $\gamma_{t,k}, \not\in F(G)$, we note that $N_{tt}(\gamma_2) \subset \{\gamma_{t,k}, \gamma_{t,k}\}$ ${x_4, x_5, x_6} \cap N_H(v_2) = \emptyset$. When $v_2x_1 \notin E(G)$, we note that $N_H(v_2) \subseteq \{x_2, x_3\}$. Combining $G' \in \{P_2\} \cup G$ and Claim [5](#page-5-0) gives $G \in G$. When $v_2x_1 \in E(G)$, we have *G* \cong *P*₂ and *N_H*(*v*₂) = {*x*₁}, otherwise *D*₁ ∪ {*x*₃, *x*₅} is a semi-TD-set of *G* and $\gamma_{t2}(G) \leq |D_1| + 2 \leq n'/2 + 2 = (n - 6)/2 + 2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Observe that $G \cong P_8 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Next, suppose that w_1 is on a C_4 -addition. Let y be the farthest vertex of *H* from U_2 on this *C*₄-addition. Then $w_1 \in N_H[y]$. If $|V(H)| \ge 8$, then $\gamma_{12}(H - N_H[y]) \le \gamma_{12}(H) - 2$ by the structure of *H*. Let *D'* be a $\gamma_{12}(H - N_H[y])$ -set. Observe that $D_1 \cup D' \cup \{y\}$ is a semi-TD-set of *G*. Further,

$$
\gamma_{t2}(G) \leq |D_1| + |D'| + 1 \leq n'/2 + \gamma_{t2}(H) - 1 = (n - |V(H)|)/2 + |V(H)|/2 - 1 < n/2,
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $|V(H)| = 6$. It follows that *H* is constructed by the underlying graph P_2 with a P_2 -addition and a C_4 -addition. Note that D_1 is not a $\gamma_{12}(G')$ -set and $|N_H(v_2)| = 1$, otherwise $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D_1| + 2 \le (n - 6)/2 + 2 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $G' \cong P_2$ and $G \in \{G_4, G_5\}$ is a contradiction. Hence, $G' \cong P_2$ and $G \in \{G_4, G_5\}$.

Finally, suppose that any vertex of $N_H(v_2)$ is not on the P_4 -additions and *C*₄-additions. Thus, w_1 is on a *P*₂-addition. Recall that $|V(H)| \ge 6$. Observe that if *D*₁ is a $\gamma_{12}(G')$ -set or $|N_H(v_2)| \ge 2$, then we have $\gamma_{12}(G) \le |D_1| + \gamma_{12}(H) - 1 \le$
(*n* - $|V(H)|/2 + \gamma_{22}(H) - 1 \le n/2$ which is a contradiction. Thus *D*₁ is not a $(n - |V(H)|)/2 + \gamma_{12}(H) - 1 < n/2$, which is a contradiction. Thus, D_1 is not a $\gamma_{t2}(G')$ -set and $|N_H(v_2)| = 1$. It follows that $G' \cong P_2$. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

References

- [1] W. Goddard, M. A. Henning and C. A. McPillan, 'Semitotal domination in graphs', *Util. Math.* 94 (2014), 67–81.
- [2] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1998).
- [3] T. W. Haynes and M. A. Henning, 'Trees with unique minimum semitotal dominating sets', *Graphs Combin.* 36 (2020), 689–702.
- [4] M. A. Henning, 'Edge weighting functions on semitotal dominating sets', *Graphs Combin.* 33 (2017), 403–417.
- [5] M. A. Henning, 'Bounds on domination parameters in graphs: a brief survey', *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 42 (2022), 665–708.
- [6] M. A. Henning, L. Kang, E. Shan and A. Yeo, 'On matching and total domination in graphs', *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008), 2313–2318.
- [7] M. A. Henning and A. J. Marcon, 'On matching and semitotal domination in graphs', *Discrete Math.* 324 (2014), 13–18.
- [8] M. A. Henning and A. J. Marcon, 'Semitotal domination in claw-free cubic graphs', *Ann. Comb.* 20(4) (2016), 1–15.
- [9] M. A. Henning and A. Pandey, 'Algorithmic aspects of semitotal domination in graphs', *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 766 (2019), 46–57.
- [10] M. A. Henning and A. Yeo, *Total Domination in Graphs* (Springer, New York, 2013).
- [11] E. Zhu and C. Liu, 'On the semitotal domination number of line graphs', *Discret. Appl. Math.* 254 (2019), 295–298.
- [12] E. Zhu, Z. Shao and J. Xu, 'Semitotal domination in claw-free cubic graphs', *Graphs Combin.* 33 (2017), 1119–1130.

JIE CHEN, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Center for Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, PR China e-mail: ChenJieJie2023@hotmail.com

SHOU-JUN XU, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Center for Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, PR China and School of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou, Fujian 363000, PR China e-mail: shjxu@lzu.edu.cn