

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TOPOLOGICAL DIMENSION

BY  
GERD RODÉ

**ABSTRACT.** This paper gives a new characterization of the dimension of a normal Hausdorff space, which joins together the Eilenberg–Otto characterization and the characterization by finite coverings. The link is furnished by the notion of a system of faces of a certain type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$ , where  $N_1, \dots, N_K, K$  are natural numbers. It is shown that a space  $X$  contains a system of faces of type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$  if and only if  $\dim(X) \geq N_1 + \dots + N_K$ . The two limit cases of the theorem, namely  $N_k = 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq K$  on the one hand, and  $K = 1$  on the other hand, give the two known results mentioned above.

Let  $X$  be a normal Hausdorff space. The dimension of  $X$  is the largest natural number  $n$  such that there exists an essential mapping from  $X$  onto the  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta_n$ , with  $n = 0$  or  $n = \infty$  in the limit cases.

Several other properties of  $X$  are known to be equivalent with  $\dim X = n$ , see for example [4]. In this paper, we describe a further characterization of the dimension number, which turns out to be a simultaneous generalization of two well known results, due to P. Alexandroff [1] and due to S. Eilenberg and E. Otto [2].

**DEFINITION.** Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $K, N_1, \dots, N_K$  be natural numbers. A system  $(A_n^k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq K$  and, for each  $k$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N_k$ ) of closed subsets of  $X$  is called a *system of faces of type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$* , if

- (i)  $\bigcap_n A_n^k = \emptyset \quad \forall k$ ,
- (ii) If  $(B_n^k)_{n,k}$  are closed subsets of  $X$  with  $A_n^k \subset B_n^k \quad \forall n, k$  and  $\bigcup_n B_n^k = X \quad \forall k$ , then  $\bigcap_{n,k} B_n^k \neq \emptyset$ .

**EXAMPLES.** (a)  $X = \Delta_2$ ,  $(A_0^1, A_1^1, A_2^1)$  are the three edges. (b)  $X = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$ ,  $(A_0^1, A_1^1)$  and  $(A_0^2, A_1^2)$  are the two pairs of opposite edges. (c)  $X = \Delta_2 \times \Delta_1$ ,  $(A_0^1, A_1^1, A_2^1)$  are the three side faces, and  $(A_0^2, A_1^2)$  are the bottom and the top face.

**THEOREM.** *A normal Hausdorff space  $X$  possesses a system of faces of a given type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$  if and only if the topological dimension of  $X$  is at least  $N_1 + \dots + N_K$ .*

---

Received by the editors December 30, 1980 and, in revised form, June 15, 1981.  
1980 AMS Subject Classification: 54F45

The two special cases mentioned in the introduction are:

(1)  $K = 1$ . This well known characterization of the dimension is usually formulated in terms of open coverings of the space. See [1] and [4].

(2)  $N_k = 1 \forall 1 \leq k \leq K$ . This is essentially a characterization proved in [2] for separable metric spaces and in [3] for normal spaces. See also [4], p. 30.

**Proof.** Let the dimension of  $X$  be at least  $N_1 + \dots + N_K$ . We have to construct a system of faces of type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$ . First, we define such a system in the space

$$\Delta := \Delta_{N_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{N_K} :$$

$$M_n^k = \{p \in \Delta \mid p_n^k = 0\} \quad (1 \leq k \leq K, 0 \leq n \leq N_k),$$

where  $p_n^k$  is the barycentric coordinate of the component  $p^k$  of  $p$  in  $\Delta_{N_k}$ . (We don't need the fact that this is a system of faces in  $\Delta$ , which indeed will follow from the proof using the fact that the identity on  $\Delta$  is essential.)

We choose an essential function

$$\Phi : X \rightarrow \Delta,$$

which exists by definition of the dimension, and since  $\Delta$  is topologically the same as  $\Delta_{N_1 + \dots + N_K}$ . Now we transport the system  $(M_n^k)$  to  $X$ :

$$A_n^k := \Phi^{-1}(M_n^k) \forall n, k,$$

and verify that  $(A_n^k)$  is a system of faces in  $X$ .

Property (i) of the definition is fulfilled, since  $\bigcap_n M_n^k = \emptyset \forall k$ . Let  $(B_n^k)$  be closed subsets of  $X$  with  $A_n^k \subset B_n^k \forall n, k$  and  $\bigcup_n B_n^k = X \forall k$ . In order to verify (ii), we have to show that  $\bigcap_{n,k} B_n^k \neq \emptyset$ . Assume the contrary.

By the "shrinking lemma", choose open sets  $U_n^k$  and  $V_n^k$  in  $X$  such that

$$A_n^k \subset U_n^k \forall n, k, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_n U_n^k = \emptyset \forall k,$$

$$B_n^k \subset V_n^k \forall n, k \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{n,k} V_n^k = \emptyset.$$

Let  $u_n^k : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous such that

$$u_n^k = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad A_n^k,$$

$$u_n^k > 0 \quad \text{on the complement of} \quad U_n^k,$$

$$u_n^k < 1 \quad \text{on} \quad B_n^k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$u_n^k = 1 \quad \text{on the complement of} \quad V_n^k.$$

Then  $s^k := \sum_n u_n^k$  is  $> 0$  on  $X$ , since  $\bigcap_n U_n^k = \emptyset$ . We define

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow \Delta, \quad \varphi(x)_n^k = s^k(x)^{-1} u_n^k(x) \forall x \in X.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi(A_n^k) \subset M_n^k \forall n, k$ , since  $u_n^k = 0$  on  $A_n^k$ . Let  $p \in \Delta$  be the point with the coordinates  $p_n^k = (N_k + 1)^{-1} \forall n, k$ . We show that  $p \notin \varphi(X)$ .

Assume  $x \in X$  with  $\varphi(x) = p$ . Then  $\varphi_n^k(x) = (N_k + 1)^{-1}$  and  $u_n^k(x) = s^k(x)(N_k + 1)^{-1} \forall n, k$ . For each  $k$ , there exists some  $m, 1 \leq m \leq N_k$ , with  $x \in B_m^k$ , since  $\bigcup_n B_n^k = X$ . Then we have  $u_m^k(x) < 1$  and hence  $u_n^k(x) < 1 \forall n$ . This implies  $x \in V_n^k \forall n, k$ , since  $u_n^k$  is 1 on the complement of  $V_n^k$ . But this is not possible, since  $\bigcap_{n,k} V_n^k = \emptyset$ . Hence  $p \notin \varphi(X)$ .

We now use the function  $\varphi$  to show that  $\Phi$  is inessential. Consider

$$Y := \bigcup_{n,k} A_n^k.$$

Then  $Y = \Phi^{-1}$  (boundary  $\Delta$ ). Since  $p \notin \varphi(X)$ , there exists a function

$$\psi : X \rightarrow \text{boundary } \Delta, \quad \psi = \varphi \text{ on } \varphi^{-1}(\text{boundary } \Delta) \supset Y.$$

Note that  $\varphi$  and hence  $\psi$  maps  $A_n^k$  into  $M_n^k \forall n, k$ . Consider now the continuous extensions  $\bar{\psi}, \bar{\Phi} : \beta X \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $\psi$  and  $\Phi$  on the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta X$  of  $X$ . Denoting the closure of a set  $E \subset \beta X$  by  $\bar{E}$ , we have

$$\bar{\psi}(\bar{A}_n^k) \subset M_n^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Phi}(\bar{A}_n^k) \subset M_n^k,$$

since  $M_n^k$  is compact. From the convexity of  $M_n^k$  and from  $\bar{Y} = \bigcup \bar{A}_n^k$  it now follows that the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}' : \bar{Y} &\rightarrow \text{boundary } \Delta, \\ \bar{\Phi}' : \bar{Y} &\rightarrow \text{boundary } \Delta \end{aligned}$$

are homotopic: consider  $(1-t)\bar{\psi}' + t\bar{\Phi}'$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Thus we can apply Borsuk's homotopy extension theorem in order to obtain a function

$$\bar{\Psi} : \beta X \rightarrow \text{boundary } \Delta$$

with  $\bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Phi}$  on  $\bar{Y}$ . In particular, the restriction  $\Psi$  of  $\bar{\Psi}$  on  $X$  fulfills  $\Psi = \Phi$  on  $Y$  and  $\Psi(X) \subset \text{boundary } \Delta$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is inessential, and we have a contradiction.

To prove the converse, assume now that  $X$  possesses a system of faces  $(A_n^k)$  of type  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)$ . We have to show that the dimension of  $X$  is at least  $N_1 + \dots + N_K$ . Thus we have to construct an essential function

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow \Delta_{N_1 + \dots + N_K} = \Delta_{N_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{N_K} =: \Delta.$$

Since  $\bigcap_n A_n^k = \emptyset \forall k$ , there exist continuous functions

$$\varphi_n^k : X \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \varphi_n^k = 0 \text{ on } A_n^k, \quad \sum_n \varphi_n^k = 1.$$

We show that the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $\varphi(x)_n^k = \varphi_n^k(x) \forall x \in X$ , is essential. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a continuous

$$\psi : X \rightarrow \text{boundary } \Delta, \quad \psi = \varphi \text{ on } \varphi^{-1}(\text{boundary } \Delta).$$

For all  $n, k$  define

$$B_n^k = \{x \in X \mid \psi_n^k(x) \leq (N_k + 1)^{-1}\}.$$

Then  $B_n^k \subset X$  is closed,  $A_n^k \subset B_n^k \forall n, k$ , and  $\bigcup_n B_n^k = X \forall k$ , since it is not possible that  $\psi_n^k(x) > (N_k + 1)^{-1} \forall n$  for some  $x \in X: \sum_n \psi_n^k(x) = 1 \forall x \in X$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{n,k} B_n^k &= \{x \in X \mid \psi_n^k(x) \leq (N_k + 1)^{-1} \forall n, k\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \psi_n^k(x) = (N_k + 1)^{-1} \forall n, k\} \\ &= \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\psi(X) \subset \text{boundary } \Delta$ . This is a contradiction to property (ii) of a system of faces, hence  $\varphi$  must be essential, and the dimension of  $X$  is at least  $N_1 + \dots + N_K$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Alexandroff, *Ueber die Dimension der Bikompakten Raeume*. Comptes Rendus (Doklady) Académie des sciences, URSS, **26** (1940), 619–622.
2. S. Eilenberg and E. Otto, *Quelques propriétés caractéristiques de la dimension*. Fund. Math. **3** (1938), 149–153.
3. E. Hemmingsen, *Some theorems in dimension theory for normal Hausdorff spaces*. Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 495–504.
4. J. Nagata, *Modern Dimension Theory*. Interscience, New York 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA BARBARA  
CALIFORNIA 93106

and

H.-LOENS-STR. 27  
6602 SAARBRUECKEN  
WEST GERMANY