methods may have sustainably impacted these SSI rates. Reinforcing bundle adherence as well as identifying additional prevention interventions to incorporate in pre-, intra-, and post-operative periods may improve patient outcomes.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s145-s146 doi:10.1017/ash.2024.320

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation Subject Category: Surveillance

Advancing hospital infection surveillance: Automated detection of hospital-onset bacteremia in a large university hospital

Seven Johannes Sam Aghdassi, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine; Ferenc Darius Rüther, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine; Christine Geffers, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin; Luis Alberto Peña Diaz, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine and Michael Behnke, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine and Michael Behnke, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine

Background: Traditional manual surveillance of healthcare-associated infections often neglects certain hospital areas. This study investigated the potential of automated hospital-onset bacteremia (HOB) detection to enhance surveillance comprehensiveness. Our focus was on assessing baseline HOB levels across a large university hospital and demonstrating the algorithm's ability to discern infection patterns in different hospital areas. Method: Conducted at one of the three sites of Charité university hospital in Berlin, Germany, our study employed an automated HOB detection algorithm, collaboratively developed with the PRAISE (Providing a Roadmap for Automated Infection Surveillance in Europe) network. HOB was defined as a positive blood culture (BC) with a recognized pathogen or two positive BC with common commensals, occurring two days post-admission or later. A retrospective data analysis spanned 2018-2022, with data extraction in January 2024. HOB rates were calculated per 1,000 patient-days and correlated with BC sampling frequency. Trends over time were examined. A comparison was made between intensive care units (ICU) and non-ICU. Result: Data from 58 wards (10 ICU, 48 non-ICU) with 262,058 in-patient admissions and 1,634,793 patientdays were included. Baseline characteristics of the hospital site are summarized in Table 1. Aggregated HOB rates over a 5-year period (Table 2) were substantially higher in ICU compared to non-ICU, applicable to all HOB, HOB with common commensals, and HOB with pathogens. A scatterplot (Figure 1) illustrates a strong correlation between BC sampling frequency and HOB rates in ICU, while Figure 2 displays HOB rates and BC sampling frequency in ICU over time. Notably, HOB rates were higher in the years 2020 and 2021, followed by a decrease in 2022, despite a continually high

Observation unit	No. of wards	No. of admissions	No. of PD	No. of BC	BC rate per 1,000	BC rate per 1,000
					PD (pooled mean)	PD (median (IQR)
All wards	58	262,058	1,634,793	143,367	88	44 (9-134)
All ICU	10	31,572	207,213	65,144	314	261 (223-442)
All Non-ICU	48	255,932	1,427,580	78,223	55	22 (7-80)

Abbreviations: BC - blood culture(s); ICU - intensive care unit(s); IQR - interquartile range; No. - number; PD – patient-day(s)

BC sampling rate. Discussion: The algorithm consistently detected HOB, providing insights into distinctions between ICU and non-ICU. While fewer HOB occurred in non-ICU, continuous monitoring remains crucial, given that the total number of HOB in non-ICU was higher than in ICU, and HOB in non-ICU might currently be overlooked by established surveillance activities. The automated system also demonstrated its effective ness in identifying trends over time. Although speculative, it appears likely that the higher HOB rates in 2020 and 2021 were at least partially attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic and accompanying factors. There was a notable correlation between HOB and BC sampling frequency. However, the fact that HOB incidence in ICU decreased in 2022 despite persistently high BC sampling frequency rates, indicates the influence of other factors on HOB rates.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s146 doi:10.1017/ash.2024.321

Table 2: Rates of hospital-onset bacteremia in intensive care units and non-intensive care units at a university hospital site. Aggregated data from a five-year period (2018-2022)

Type of HOB	Observation unit	No. of PD	No. of HOB	HOB rate per 1,000 PD (pooled mean)	HOB rate per 1,000 PD (median (IQR))
All HOB	All wards	1,634,793	3,513	2.1	1.5 (0.3-2.8)
	All ICU	207,213	1,204	5.8	4.6 (2.8-7.0)
	All Non-ICU	1,427,580	2,309	1.6	1.1 (0.3-2.0)
HOB with pathogen	All wards	1,634,793	2,861	1.8	1.2 (0.3-2.3)
	All ICU	207,213	998	4.8	3.6 (2.6-5.8)
	All Non-ICU	1,427,580	1,863	1.3	0.9 (0.3-1.6)
HOB with common commensal	All wards	1,634,793	765	0.5	0.2 (0.1-0.6)
	All ICU	207,213	240	1.2	1.0 (0.6-1.4)
	All Non-ICU	1,427,580	525	0.4	0.2 (0.0-0.4)

bbreviations: HOB - hospital-onset bacteremia; ICU - intensive care unit(s); IQR - interquartile range; No. - number; PD - patient-day(s



