



# The entrainment hypothesis – 80 years old and still going strong

## Claudia Cenedese[†](#page-0-0)

Department of Physical Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

(Received 11 September 2024; accepted 11 September 2024)

The entrainment hypothesis states that the mean inflow velocity across the boundary of a turbulent flow is proportional to a characteristic velocity of the flow. Proposed by G. I. Taylor approximately 80 years ago, it is still a common model of turbulence closure widely used in environmental engineering and geophysical fluid mechanics. Although it is a very simple concept and mathematical model, it has proven to be able to predict the entrainment in a variety of geophysical flows, e.g. convective clouds and plumes from erupting volcanoes in the atmosphere; dense water overflows and turbidity currents in the ocean; magma injection in a magma chamber in the interior of the Earth, to name just a few. In a seminal paper, Turner (*J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 173, 1986, pp. 431–471) presents a variety of laboratory and geophysical flows to illustrate the success of the entrainment hypothesis and discusses why such a simple hypothesis works so well even when the original assumptions are no longer valid.

Key words: plumes/thermals, gravity currents, turbulent mixing

## 1. Introduction

Entrainment occurs mainly by engulfment of ambient fluid by large-scale eddies [\(figure 1](#page-1-0)*a*) and it is ubiquitous in our daily lives. See for example the plumes leaving a chimney stack and getting wider as they rise vertically, the clouds that develop vertically increasing their horizontal size, hot gases from volcanic eruptions which rise into the atmosphere to reach horizontal sizes that are 10–100 times that of the crater [\(figure 1](#page-1-0)*b*). Taylor [\(1946\)](#page-4-0) was the first to introduce the entrainment hypothesis during World War II, when investigating the use of oil drum fires to clear the fog from airplane runways. This hypothesis was then revisited by Batchelor [\(1954\)](#page-3-0) and his two PhD students in Cambridge, focusing on theoretical development and laboratory experiments on entrainment. Their results again attracted G. I. Taylor's interest in entrainment and this collaboration flourished into another seminal paper (Morton, Taylor & Turner [1956\)](#page-4-1).

<span id="page-0-0"></span>† Email address for correspondence: [ccenedese@whoi.edu](mailto:ccenedese@whoi.edu)



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1. (*a*) Illustration showing the successive stages of the interface and engulfing process, with the fluid on one side shaded. Arrows represent the direction of the flow; not to scale. Image from Corcos & Sherman [\(1984\)](#page-4-2). (*b*) Plume of steam, gas and ash at Mount St. Helens on 19 May 1982. Credit, USA Geological Survey (photograph by Lyn Topinka).

By engulfing ambient water, entrainment modifies the density and hence the dynamics of a turbulent flow and a correct prediction of these changes requires an accurate quantification of the entrainment flux. However, the large-scale eddies are too small to be represented in numerical models of geophysical flows. For example, general circulation models (GCM) of the ocean can now resolve dense water overflows (∼100 m in height), but resolving the eddies (∼0.1–1 m) at the interface is still prohibitive. A large amount of literature has investigated different ways to accurately parameterize entrainment in dense overflows in GCM. The entrainment hypothesis can be written as  $W_e = EU$ , where  $W_e$ is the entrainment velocity, *U* is a characteristic velocity scale and *E* is a constant of proportionality usually named the entrainment coefficient. The value of *E* is constant when the boundary of the turbulent flow is primarily parallel to gravity, e.g. plumes. However, when the flow is buoyancy-driven and the boundary is significantly orthogonal to gravity, e.g. gravity currents, to include the buoyancy stabilizing effect the entrainment coefficient depends on the Richardson number  $E(Ri)$ , where  $Ri = g/h \cos \theta / U^2$  represents the relative importance of buoyancy and inertial forces,  $g'$  is the reduced gravity, *h* the flow depth and  $\theta$  the bottom slope. Although different expressions of  $E$  for dense water overflows exist (see Cenedese & Adduce [\(2010\)](#page-4-3) for a few examples), they all include a dependence on *Ri*, similar to the one based on the experiments of Ellison  $\&$  Turner [\(1959\)](#page-4-4) and subsequent analysis by Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5):

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
E = \frac{0.08 - 0.1 Ri}{1 + 5 Ri}.
$$
\n(1.1)

The above parameterization is often used only for  $Ri < 1/4$ , a necessary condition for shear instability (Howard [1961;](#page-4-6) Miles [1961\)](#page-4-7), and  $E = 0$  for larger *Ri*. Although this condition is correct at the scale of the instability, one needs to be careful when using bulk values of *Ri* averaged over larger scales.

### 2. Overview

In his very comprehensive paper, Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) applies the entrainment hypothesis to plumes/jets, thermals, gravity currents and density interfaces, both in homogeneous and stratified ambient fluids. He starts by reviewing the similarity solutions for the equations of mass, momentum and buoyancy fluxes for turbulent jets (sources of momentum) and plumes (sources of buoyancy) in a uniform environment, following Fischer *et al.* [\(1979\)](#page-4-8) and List [\(1982\)](#page-4-9). He shows that, for both, the entrainment flux is a function of the local specific momentum flux and that the entrainment coefficient is larger for plumes. The equation  $W_e = EU$  is integral to the similarity solutions which predict a linear increase of the radius with height, and is not an independent assumption (Batchelor [1954\)](#page-3-0). Given that the main entrainment mechanism is the engulfment of ambient fluid by the large eddies [\(figure 1\)](#page-1-0), the characteristic velocity should be that of the largest scale of motion, i.e. a mean of the maximum velocity. Then the similarity assumption means that the relationship between the large eddies and the mean flow is unchanged regardless of the scale of motion and that the turbulent energy at smaller scales plays a secondary role.

These similarity solutions are not applicable when the ambient fluid is stratified, since the plume will come to rest at the level of neutral buoyancy. However, Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) shows that the applicability of the entrainment hypothesis is more general and, up to a critical height, the plume spreads nearly linearly and the solutions are close to those where the ambient fluid has constant density. The maximum height reached by the plume is  $z_{max} = 3.8B^{1/4}N^{-3/4}$ , given in terms of buoyancy flux, *B*, and stratification, *N*. This expression predicts correctly not only the maximum rise of plumes in the laboratory (Briggs [1969\)](#page-3-1), but also of plumes from erupting volcanoes (Wilson *et al.* [1978\)](#page-4-10), the rise of large convective clouds (Morton [1957;](#page-4-11) Squires & Turner [1962\)](#page-4-12), black-smokers hot water plumes (Campbell, McDougall & Turner [1984\)](#page-3-2) and less dense magma intrusion and in a stratified magma chamber (Campbell, Naldrett & Barnes [1983\)](#page-3-3).

A gravity current on a sloping bottom or roof can be regarded as a plume with a component of gravity in the downslope direction and one normal to the plume interface, hence buoyancy will hinder the entrainment into the current. The entrainment still depends on the large-scale eddies, but *E* is no longer constant and depends on *Ri* (see  $(1.1)$ ). The expression of  $z_{max}$ , the depth at which a gravity current reaches the level of neutral buoyancy in a stratified ambient, is then  $z_{max} \propto E^{-1/3} A^{1/3} N^{-1}$ , where *A* is the buoyancy flux per unit width. The entrainment theory for gravity currents has been applied successfully to a wide variety of geophysical and environmental flows: methane roof layers in mines (Ellison & Turner [1959\)](#page-4-4), spilling breakers on the surface of shoaling water (Longuet-Higgins & Turner [1974\)](#page-4-13), katabatic winds generated by cooling over a slope, powder-snow avalanches (Hopfinger [1983;](#page-4-14) Meiburg, McElwaine & Kneller [2012\)](#page-4-15), turbidity currents (Meiburg *et al.* [2012\)](#page-4-15), pyroclastic flows, gravity currents driven by dust particles suspended in Mars's atmosphere (Simpson [1982\)](#page-4-16).

Regions of mixed fluid, where the density is approximately constant, are often encountered near boundaries in an otherwise stratified fluid, e.g. the thermocline in the ocean and the inversion layer in the atmosphere. The transport of mass and heat across these stable layers is then impeded and the mechanism of entrainment at the interface dictates the depth, for example, of the ocean mixed layer. Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) describes a series of integral models in which the mixing (both mechanical and convective) is assumed to be uniform in the horizontal, and which use modifications of the entrainment hypothesis. For example, the oscillating grid experiments of Turner [\(1973\)](#page-4-17) show that turbulence may mix a stratified fluid with an entrainment coefficient  $E \propto Ri_0^{-3/2}$ , where  $Ri_0$  is estimated

### *C. Cenedese*

at the interface of the mixed region, and the  $-3/2$  power dependence is explained by the eddy recoil mechanism proposed by Linden [\(1973\)](#page-4-18).

The engulfment entrainment mechanism is no longer the only player when the fluid is stratified and in particular when there is an interface. For strong stratifications,  $Ri \gg 1$ , the interfacial perturbations become small and the entrainment occurs either via the eddy recoil mechanism (Linden [1973\)](#page-4-18) or internal wave breaking. In this scenario, wisps of fluid are injected into the turbulent layer to be mixed by turbulence first and diffusion later. Another classical measure of mixing is the flux Richardson number  $Ri_f$ , the ratio of the density flux to turbulence production (Turner [1973\)](#page-4-17), which increases from zero as *Ri* increases, presents a maximum value around 0.2, and then decreases for larger values of *Ri* (Linden [1979,](#page-4-19) [1980\)](#page-4-20). This dependence is general for a wide range of mechanical and convective mixing processes.

#### 3. Impact

The work presented in Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) had, and continues to have, a profound impact on many disciplines, from engineering where the entrainment in plumes is fundamental for offshore outfall diffusers, to oceanography where the accurate representation of the density evolution in dense currents is fundamental to representing the global overturning circulation. Current GCM use entrainment parameterizations based on [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1), and several modifications have been proposed. For example, Cenedese & Adduce [\(2010\)](#page-4-3) proposed a modification that allows for entrainment at any *Ri*. Indeed, the entrainment becomes very small when *Ri* is larger than unity. However, if this small entrainment occurs over a long time, as is the case for some dense currents that travel for several hundreds of kilometres before reaching their neutrally buoyant level or the ocean bottom, it can substantially modify the final properties of these water masses. The dependence of *E* on the flux Richardson number,  $Rif_i$ , or the closely related parameter used in the oceanographic community referred to as the flux coefficient  $\Gamma$ , has been the focus of Wells, Cenedese & Caulfield [\(2010\)](#page-4-21). Another recent application of the work in Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) is the use of the similarity solutions to predict the evolution of subglacial discharge plumes that rise along the glacier/ocean interface (Mankoff *et al.* [2016\)](#page-4-22) and the effect the entrainment has on the submarine melt rates (Hewitt [2020;](#page-4-23) Jenkins [2011\)](#page-4-24).

In summary, the fundamental entrainment dynamics discussed in Turner [\(1986\)](#page-4-5) constitutes the basis for understanding the role of turbulence in the irreversible transport and mixing of scalars in stratified fluid (Caulfield [2021\)](#page-4-25), still a grand challenge in geophysical and environmental fluid dynamics (Dauxois *et al.* [2021\)](#page-4-26).

Acknowledgements. C.C. thanks the editor J. Neufeld for feedback.

Declaration of interests. The author reports no conflict of interest.

#### [Au](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2959-0468)thor ORCID.

Claudia Cenedese [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2959-0468.](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2959-0468)

#### **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>BATCHELOR, G.K. 1954 Heat convection and buoyancy effects in fluids. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 80 (345), 339–358.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>BRIGGS, G.A. 1969 Plume rise. *Tech. Rep.* TID-25075, NTIS. USAEC Critical Review Series.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>CAMPBELL, I.H., MCDOUGALL, T.J. & TURNER, J.S. 1984 A note on fluid dynamic processes which can influence the deposition of massive sulfides. *Econ. Geol.* 79 (8), 1905–1913.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>CAMPBELL, I.H., NALDRETT, A.J. & BARNES, S.J. 1983 A model for the origin of the platinum-rich sulfide horizons in the Bushveld and Stillwater complexes. *J. Petrol.* 24 (2), 133–165.

- <span id="page-4-25"></span>CAULFIELD, C.P. 2021 Layering, instabilities, and mixing in turbulent stratified flows. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 53 (1), 113–145.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>CENEDESE, C. & ADDUCE, C. 2010 A new parameterization for entrainment in overflows. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 40 (8), 1835–1850.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>CORCOS, G.M. & SHERMAN, F.S. 1984 The mixing layer: deterministic models of a turbulent flow. Part 1. Introduction and the two-dimensional flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* 139, 29–65.
- <span id="page-4-26"></span>DAUXOIS, T., *et al.* 2021 Confronting grand challenges in environmental fluid mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 6 (2), 020501.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>ELLISON, T.H. & TURNER, J.S. 1959 Turbulent entrainment in stratified flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* 6, 423–448.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>FISCHER, H.B., LIST, E.J, KOH, R.C.Y., IMBERGER, J. & BROOKS, N.H. 1979 *Mixing in Inland and Coastal Waters*. Academic Press.

<span id="page-4-23"></span>HEWITT, I.J. 2020 Subglacial plumes. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 52 (1), 145–169.

- <span id="page-4-14"></span><span id="page-4-6"></span>HOPFINGER, E.J. 1983 Snow avalanche motion and related phenomena. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 15 (1), 47–76. HOWARD, L.N. 1961 Note on a paper by John W. Miles. *J. Fluid Mech.* 10, 509–512.
- <span id="page-4-24"></span>JENKINS, A. 2011 Convection driven melting near the grounding lines of ice shelves and tidewater glaciers. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 41, 2279–2294.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>LINDEN, P.F. 1973 The interaction of a vortex ring with a sharp density interface: a model for turbulent entrainment. *J. Fluid Mech.* 60 (3), 467–480.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>LINDEN, P.F. 1979 Mixing in stratified fluids. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* 13 (1), 3–23.
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>LINDEN, P.F. 1980 Mixing across a density interface produced by grid turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* 100 (4), 691–703.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>LIST, E.J. 1982 Turbulent jets and plumes. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 14, 189–212.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>LONGUET-HIGGINS, M.S. & TURNER, J.S. 1974 An 'entraining plume' model of a spilling breaker. *J. Fluid Mech.* 63 (1), 1–20.
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>MANKOFF, K.D., STRANEO, F., CENEDESE, C., DAS, S.B., RICHARDS, C.G. & SINGH, H. 2016 Structure and dynamics of a subglacial discharge plume in a Greenlandic fjord. *J. Geophys. Res.* 121, 8670–8688.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>MEIBURG, E., MCELWAINE, J. & KNELLER, B. 2012 Turbidity currents and powder snow avalanches. In *Handbook of Environmental Fluid Dynamics* (ed. H. J. Fernando), vol. 1, pp. 575–590. CRC.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>MILES, J.W. 1961 On the stability of heterogeneous shear flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* 10, 496–508.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>MORTON, B.R. 1957 Buoyant plumes in a moist atmosphere. *J. Fluid Mech.* 2 (2), 127–144.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>MORTON, B.R., TAYLOR, G.I. & TURNER, J.S. 1956 Turbulent gravitational convection from maintained and instantaneous sources. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A 234, 1–23.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>SIMPSON, J.E. 1982 Gravity currents in the laboratory, atmosphere, and ocean. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 14, 213–234.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>SQUIRES, P. & TURNER, J.S. 1962 An entraining jet model for cumulo-nimbus updraughts. *Tellus* 14 (4), 422–434.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>TAYLOR, G.I. 1946 *Dynamics of a Mass of Hot Gas Rising in Air*, vol. 919. Technical Information Division, Oak Ridge Operations.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>TURNER, J.S. 1973 *Buoyancy Effects in Fluids*. Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>TURNER, J.S. 1986 Turbulent entrainment: the development of the entrainment assumption, and its application to geophysical flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* 173, 431–471.
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>WELLS, M., CENEDESE, C. & CAULFIELD, C.P. 2010 The relationship between flux coefficient and entrainment ratio in density currents. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 40 (12), 2713–2727.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>WILSON, L., SPARKS, R.S.J., HUANG, T.C. & WATKINS, N.D. 1978 The control of volcanic column heights by eruption energetics and dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res.* 83 (B4), 1829–1836.