

SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR LOGARITHMIC INVERSE COEFFICIENTS OF CONVEX AND STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

VASUDEVARAO ALLU  and AMAL SHAJI

(Received 3 February 2024; accepted 6 March 2024)

Abstract

We obtain sharp bounds for the second Hankel determinant of logarithmic inverse coefficients for starlike and convex functions.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 30C45; secondary 30C50, 30C55.

Keywords and phrases: univalent function, logarithmic coefficient, Hankel determinant, starlike and convex function, Schwarz function.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Here \mathcal{H} is a locally convex topological vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence over compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are univalent (that is, one-to-one) in \mathbb{D} . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then it has the series representation

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.1)$$

For $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{q,n}(f)$ of the Taylor coefficients of the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) is

$$H_{q,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The research of the first author is supported by SERB-CRG, Govt. of India, and the research of the second author is supported by UGC-JRF.

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Hankel determinants of various orders have been studied in many contexts (see for instance [5]). The Fekete–Szegő functional is the second Hankel determinant $H_{2,1}(f)$. Fekete–Szegő obtained estimates for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ with μ real (see [10, Theorem 3.8]).

Let g be the inverse function of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ defined in a neighbourhood of the origin with the Taylor series expansion

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where we may choose $|w| < 1/4$ from Koebe's 1/4-theorem. Using variational methods, Löwner [16] obtained the sharp estimate

$$|A_n| \leq K_n \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $K_n = (2n)! / (n!(n+1)!)$ and $K(w) = w + K_2 w^2 + K_3 w^3 + \dots$ is the inverse of the Koebe function. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be a function in class \mathcal{S} . Since $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$, it follows from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= -a_2, \\ A_3 &= -a_3 + 2a_2^2, \\ A_4 &= -a_4 + 5a_2 a_3 - 5a_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

The *logarithmic coefficients* γ_n of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ are defined by

$$F_f(z) := \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.3)$$

Few exact upper bounds for γ_n have been established. The significance of this problem in the context of the Bieberbach conjecture was pointed out by Milin [17]. Milin's conjecture that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left(k |\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq 0,$$

led De Branges, by proving this conjecture, to the proof of the Bieberbach conjecture [9]. For the Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$, the logarithmic coefficients are $\gamma_n = 1/n$. Since the Koebe function k plays the role of extremal function for most of the extremal problems in the class \mathcal{S} , it might be expected that $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$ holds for functions in \mathcal{S} . However, this is not true in general, even in order of magnitude. Indeed, there exists a bounded function f in the class \mathcal{S} with logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n \neq O(n^{-0.83})$ (see [10, Theorem 8.4]). By differentiating (1.3) and equating coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2} a_2, \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (a_3 - \frac{1}{2} a_2^2), \\ \gamma_3 &= \frac{1}{2} (a_4 - a_2 a_3 + \frac{1}{3} a_2^3). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, it is easy to see that $|\gamma_1| \leq 1$, because $|a_2| \leq 2$. Using the Fekete–Szegő inequality [10, Theorem 3.8] for functions in \mathcal{S} in (1.3), we obtain the sharp estimate

$$|\gamma_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2e^{-2}) = 0.635 \dots$$

For $n \geq 3$, the problem seems much harder and no significant bound for $|\gamma_n|$ when $f \in \mathcal{S}$ appears to be known. In 2017, Ali and Allu [1] obtained initial logarithmic coefficient bounds for close-to-convex functions. For recent results on several subclasses of close-to-convex functions, see [2, 6, 21].

The notion of logarithmic inverse coefficients, that is, logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of f , was proposed by Ponnusamy *et al.* [20]. The *logarithmic inverse coefficients* Γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of f are defined by the equation

$$F_{f^{-1}}(w) := \log \frac{f^{-1}(w)}{w} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n w^n, \quad |w| < 1/4.$$

In [20], Ponnusamy *et al.* found sharp upper bounds for the logarithmic inverse coefficients for the class \mathcal{S} , namely

$$|\Gamma_n| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \binom{2n}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with equality only for the Koebe function or one of its rotations. Ponnusamy *et al.* [20] also obtained sharp bounds for the initial logarithmic inverse coefficients for some of the important geometric subclasses of \mathcal{S} .

Recently, Kowalczyk and Lecko [12] proposed the study of the Hankel determinant whose entries are logarithmic coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{S}$, given by

$$H_{q,n}(F_f/2) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_n & \gamma_{n+1} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q-1} \\ \gamma_{n+1} & \gamma_{n+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n+q-1} & \gamma_{n+q} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Kowalczyk and Lecko [12] obtained a sharp bound for the second Hankel determinant $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ for starlike and convex functions. Sharp bounds for $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ for various subclasses of \mathcal{S} are considered in [3, 4, 11, 13, 18]).

In this paper, we consider the second Hankel determinant for logarithmic inverse coefficients. From (1.4), for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1.1), the second Hankel determinant of $F_{f^{-1}}/2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) &= \Gamma_1 \Gamma_3 - \Gamma_2^2 = \frac{1}{4}(A_2 A_4 - A_3^2 + \frac{1}{4} A_4^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{48}(13a_2^4 - 12a_2^2 a_3 - 12a_3^2 + 12a_2 a_4). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

We note that $|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)|$ is invariant under rotation, since for $f_\theta(z) := e^{-i\theta} f(e^{i\theta} z)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f_\theta^{-1}}/2) = \frac{e^{4i\theta}}{48}(13a_2^4 - 12a_2^2 a_3 - 12a_3^2 + 12a_2 a_4) = e^{4i\theta} H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2).$$

The main aim of this paper is to find a sharp upper bound for $|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)|$ when f belongs to the class of convex or starlike functions. A domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be starlike with respect to a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if the line segment joining z_0 to any point in Ω lies entirely in Ω . If z_0 is the origin, then we say that Ω is a starlike domain. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain. We denote by \mathcal{S}^* the class of starlike functions f in \mathcal{S} . It is well known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in \mathcal{S}^* if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.6)$$

Further, a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is called convex if the line segment joining any two points of Ω lies entirely in Ω . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex domain. We denote by \mathcal{C} the class of convex functions in \mathcal{S} . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in \mathcal{C} if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.7)$$

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we present the key lemmas which will be used to prove the main results of this paper. Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of all analytic functions p having positive real part in \mathbb{D} , with the form

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \cdots. \quad (2.1)$$

A member of \mathcal{P} is called a Carathéodory function. It is known that $|c_n| \leq 2, n \geq 1$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. By using (1.6) and (1.7), functions in the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} can be represented in terms of functions in the Carathéodory class \mathcal{P} .

Parametric representations of the coefficients are often useful. In Lemma 2.1, (2.2) is due to Carathéodory [10]. Equation (2.3) can be found in [19]. In 1982, Libera and Zlotkiewicz [14, 15] derived (2.4) with the assumption that $c_1 \geq 0$. Later, Cho *et al.* [7] derived (2.4) in the general case and gave the explicit form of the extremal function.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then*

$$c_1 = 2p_1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$c_2 = 2p_1^2 + 2(1 - p_1^2)p_2 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$c_3 = 2p_1^3 + 4(1 - p_1^2)p_1p_2 - 2(1 - p_1^2)p_1p_2^2 + 2(1 - p_1^2)(1 - |p_2|^2)p_3 \quad (2.4)$$

for some $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$.

For $p_1 \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1 as in (2.2), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + p_1z}{1 - p_1z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For $p_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $p_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1 and c_2 as in (2.2) and (2.3), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (p_1 + \overline{p_1}p_2)z + p_2z^2}{1 - (p_1 - \overline{p_1}p_2)z - p_2z^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

For $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $p_3 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1, c_2 and c_3 as in (2.2)–(2.4), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (\overline{p_2}p_3 + \overline{p_1}p_2 + p_1)z + (\overline{p_1}p_3 + p_1\overline{p_2}p_3 + p_2)z^2 + p_3z^3}{1 + (\overline{p_2}p_3 + \overline{p_1}p_2 - p_1)z + (\overline{p_1}p_3 - p_1\overline{p_2}p_3 - p_2)z^2 - p_3z^3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Next we recall the following well-known result due to Choi *et al.* [8].

LEMMA 2.2. Let A, B, C be real numbers and

$$Y(A, B, C) := \max_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (|A + Bz + Cz^2| + 1 - |z|^2).$$

(i) If $AC \geq 0$, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} |A| + |B| + |C|, & |B| \geq 2(1 - |C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 - |C|)}, & |B| < 2(1 - |C|). \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $AC < 0$, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} 1 - |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 - |C|)}, & -4AC(C^{-2} - 1) \leq B^2 \wedge |B| < 2(1 - |C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 + |C|)}, & B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(C^{-2} - 1)\}, \\ R(A, B, C), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$R(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} |A| + |B| + |C|, & |C|(|B| + 4|A|) \leq |AB|, \\ -|A| + |B| + |C|, & |AB| \leq |C|(|B| - 4|A|), \\ (|A| + |C|)\sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Main results

Now we will prove the first main result of this paper. We obtain the following sharp bound for $H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)$ for functions in the class \mathcal{C} .

THEOREM 3.1. For $f \in \mathcal{C}$ given by (1.1),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{1}{33}. \quad (3.1)$$

The inequality is sharp.

PROOF. Let $f \in C$ be of the form (1.1). Then by (1.7),

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = p(z) \tag{3.2}$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.1). Since the class C is invariant under rotation and the function is also rotationally invariant, we can assume that $c_1 \in [0, 2]$. Comparing the coefficients on both sides of (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2}c_1, \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{6}(c_2 + c_1^2), \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{24}(2c_3 + 3c_1c_2 + c_1^3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1.5),

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{1}{2304}(11c_1^4 - 20c_1^2c_2 - 16c_2^2 + 24c_1c_3).$$

By (2.2)–(2.4), after simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) &= \frac{p_1^4}{48} - \frac{1}{24}(1 - p_1^2)p_1^2p_2 - \frac{1}{72}(1 - p_1^2)(2 + p_1^2)p_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}(1 - p_1^2)(1 - |p_1^2|)p_1p_3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We consider three cases according to the value of p_1 .

Case 1: $p_1 = 1$. By (3.3),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{48}.$$

Case 2: $p_1 = 0$. By (3.3),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{36}|p_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{36}.$$

Case 3: $p_1 \in (0, 1)$. Since $|p_3| \leq 1$, applying the triangle inequality in (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &= \frac{1}{24}p_1(1 - p_1^2) \left(\left| \frac{p_1^3}{2(1 - p_1^2)} - p_1p_2 - \frac{2 + p_1^2}{3p_1}p_2^2 \right| + 1 - |p_2^2| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24}p_1(1 - p_1^2)(|A + Bp_2 + Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2|), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$A := \frac{p_1^3}{2(1 - p_1^2)}, \quad B := -p_1, \quad C := -\frac{2 + p_1^2}{3p_1}.$$

Since $AC < 0$, we can apply Lemma 2.2(ii). The argument now divides into five parts.

3(a). For $p_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1\right) - B^2 = -\frac{p_1^2(14 + p_1^2)}{3(2 + p_1^2)} \leq 0.$$

The inequality $|B| < 2(1 - |C|)$ is equivalent to $p_1(4 - 6p_1 + 5p_1^2) < 0$ which is not true for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(b). It is easy to check that

$$\min \left\{ 4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) \right\} = -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right),$$

and from 3(a),

$$-4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) \leq B^2.$$

Therefore, the inequality $B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(1/C^2 - 1)\}$ does not hold for $0 < p_1 < 1$.

3(c). The inequality $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) - |AB| \leq 0$ is equivalent to $4 + 6p_1^2 - p_1^4 \leq 0$, which is false for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(d). The inequality

$$|AB| - |C|(|B| - 4|A|) = \frac{9p_1^4 + 10p_1^2 - 4}{1 - p_1^2} \leq 0$$

is equivalent to $9p_1^4 + 10p_1^2 - 4 \leq 0$, which is true for

$$0 < p_1 \leq p'_1 = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\sqrt{61} - 5} \approx 0.5588.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (3.4) that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{1}{24} p_1 (1 - p_1^2) (-|A| + |B| + |C|) = \frac{1}{144} (4 + 4p_1^2 - 11p_1^4) = h(p_1),$$

where $h(x) = 4 + 4x^2 - 11x^4$. By a simple calculation, the maximum of the function $h(x)$ for $0 < x \leq p'_1$ occurs at the point $x_0 = \sqrt{2/11}$. We conclude that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq h\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}\right) = \frac{1}{33}.$$

3(e). For $p'_1 < p_1 < 1$, we use the last case of Lemma 2.2 together with (3.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &\leq \frac{1}{24} p_1 (1 - p_1^2) (|C| + |A|) \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}} \\ &= \frac{1}{144} (p_1^4 - 2p_1^2 + 4) \sqrt{\frac{7 - p_1^2}{4 + 2p_1^2}} = k(p_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{144} (x^4 - 2x^2 + 4) \sqrt{\frac{7 - x^2}{4 + 2x^2}}.$$

We want to find the maximum of $k(x)$ over the interval $p'_1 < x < 1$. Observe that

$$k'(x) = \frac{x}{144} \sqrt{\frac{7-x^2}{4+2x^2}} \left(\frac{92-54x^2-15x^4+4x^6}{(-7+x^2)(2+x^2)} \right) = 0$$

if and only if $92 - 54x^2 - 15x^4 + 4x^6 = 0$. However, all the real roots of this equation lie outside the interval $p'_1 < x < 1$ and $k'(x) < 0$ for $p'_1 < x < 1$. So k is decreasing and hence $k(x) \leq k(p'_1)$ for $p'_1 < x < 1$. We conclude that, for $p'_1 < x < 1$,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq k(p'_1) \approx 0.0290035.$$

The desired inequality (3.1) follows from Cases 1–3. By tracking back in the proof, we see that equality in (3.1) holds when

$$p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad p_3 = 1,$$

and

$$|A + Bp_2 + Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2| = -|A| + |B| + |C|, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad B = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad C = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}.$$

Indeed, we can easily verify that one of the solutions of (3.5) is $p_2 = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.2, we conclude that equality holds for the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.7), corresponding to the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.5) with $p_1 = \sqrt{2/11}, p_2 = 1$ and $p_3 = 1$, that is,

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{2/11}z + z^2}{1 - z^2}.$$

This complete the proof. □

Next, we obtain the sharp bound for $H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)$ for functions in the class \mathcal{S}^* .

THEOREM 3.2. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ given by (1.1),*

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{13}{12}. \tag{3.6}$$

The inequality is sharp.

PROOF. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ be of the form (1.1). By (1.6),

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) \tag{3.7}$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.1). By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= c_1, \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(c_2 + c_1^2), \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{6}(2c_3 + 3c_1c_2 + c_1^3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1.5),

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{1}{48}(6c_1^4 - 6c_1^2c_2 - 3c_2^2 + 4c_1c_3).$$

From (2.2)–(2.4), by straightforward computation,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) &= \frac{13}{12}p_1^4 - \frac{5}{2}(1 - p_1^2)p_2 - \frac{1}{12}(1 - p_1^2)(3 + p_1^2)p_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(1 - p_1^2)(1 - |p_1^2|)p_1p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now we consider three cases according to the value of p_1 .

Case 1: $p_1 = 1$. By (3.8),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{13}{12}.$$

Case 2: $p_1 = 0$. By (3.8),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{4}|p_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Case 3: $p_1 \in (0, 1)$. Applying the triangle inequality in (3.8) and using the fact that $|p_3| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &= \frac{1}{3}p_1(1 - p_1^2) \left(\left| \frac{13p_1^3}{4(1 - p_1^2)} - \frac{5}{2}p_1p_2 - \frac{3 + p_1^2}{4p_1}p_2^2 \right| + 1 - |p_2^2| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24}p_1(1 - p_1^2)(|A + Bp_2 + Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A := \frac{13p_1^3}{4(1 - p_1^2)}, \quad B := -\frac{5}{2}p_1, \quad C := -\frac{3 + p_1^2}{4p_1}.$$

Since $AC < 0$, we can apply Lemma 2.2(ii).

3(a). For $p_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$-4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) - B^2 = -\frac{3p_1^2(16 + p_1^2)}{(3 + p_1^2)} \leq 0.$$

The inequality $|B| < 2(1 - |C|)$ is equivalent to $3 - 4p_1 + 2p_1^2 < 0$ which is not true for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(b). It is easy to see that

$$\min \left\{ 4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) \right\} = -4AC \left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right),$$

and from 3(a),

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1\right) \leq B^2.$$

Therefore, the inequality $B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(1/C^2 - 1)\}$ does not hold for $0 < p_1 < 1$.

3(c). The inequality $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) - |AB| \leq 0$ is equivalent to the inequality $44p_1^4 - 68p_1^2 - 16 - p_1^4 \geq 0$, which is false for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(d). The inequality

$$|AB| - |C|(|B| - 4|A|) = \frac{96p_1^4 + 88p_1^2 - 15}{1 - p_1^2} \leq 0$$

is equivalent to $96p_1^4 + 88p_1^2 - 15 \leq 0$, which is true for

$$0 < p_1 \leq p_1'' = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{211} - 11}{6}} \approx 0.38328.$$

From (3.7) and Lemma 2.2,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{1}{3} p_1 (1 - p_1^2) (-|A| + |B| + |C|) = \frac{1}{12} (3 + 8p_1^2 - 24p_1^4) = h(p_1), \quad (3.9)$$

where $h(x) = 3 + 8x^2 - 24x^4$. Since $h'(x) > 0$ in $0 < x \leq p_1''$, we have $h(x) \leq h(p_1'')$ for $0 < x \leq p_1''$. Therefore,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{1}{48} (-58 + 5\sqrt{211}) \approx 0.304775.$$

3(e). Furthermore, for $p_1'' < p_1 < 1$, from (3.8) and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &\leq \frac{1}{24} p_1 (1 - p_1^2) (|C| + |A|) \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (12p_1^4 - 2p_1^2 + 3) \sqrt{\frac{16 - 3p_1^2}{39 + 13p_1^2}} = k(p_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\frac{16 - 3x^2}{39 + 13x^2}} (12x^4 - 2x^2 + 3).$$

As $k'(x) = 0$ has no solution in $(p_1'', 1)$ and $k'(x) > 0$, the maximum occurs at $x = 1$ and we conclude that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq k(1) = \frac{13}{12} \quad \text{for } p_1'' < x < 1.$$

The desired inequality (3.6) follows from Cases 1–3. For the equality, consider the Koebe function

$$k(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}.$$

Clearly, $k \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and it is easy to show that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{k^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{13}{12}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M. F. Ali and V. Allu, 'On logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (2017), 1131–1142.
- [2] M. F. Ali and V. Allu, 'Logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **95** (2017), 228–237.
- [3] V. Allu and V. Arora, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of certain analytic functions', Preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2110.05161](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.05161).
- [4] V. Allu, V. Arora and A. Shaji, 'On the second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for certain univalent functions', *Mediterr. J. Math.* **20** (2023), Article no. 81.
- [5] V. Allu, A. Lecko and D. K. Thomas, 'Hankel, Toeplitz and Hermitian–Toeplitz determinants for Ozaki close-to-convex functions', *Mediterr. J. Math.* **19** (2022), Article no. 22.
- [6] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk, O. Kwon, A. Lecko and Y. Sim, 'On the third logarithmic coefficient in some subclasses of close-to-convex functions', *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. (Esp.)* **114** (2020), Article no. 52.
- [7] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Sharp bounds of some coefficient functionals over the class of functions convex in the direction of the imaginary axis', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2019), 86–96.
- [8] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, 'A general approach to the Fekete–Szegő problem', *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **59** (2007), 707–727.
- [9] L. de Branges, 'A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture', *Acta Math.* **154** (1985), 137–152.
- [10] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259 (Springer, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg–Tokyo, 1983).
- [11] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of convex and starlike functions of order alpha', *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **45** (2022), 727–740.
- [12] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of convex and starlike functions', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), 458–467.
- [13] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'The second Hankel determinant of the logarithmic coefficients of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions', *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **117** (2023), Article no. 91.
- [14] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, 'Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 225–230.
- [15] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, 'Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivatives in \mathcal{P}^* ', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1983), 251–257.
- [16] K. Löwner, 'Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises I', *Math. Ann.* **89** (1923), 103–121.
- [17] I. M. Milin, *Univalent Functions and Orthonormal Systems*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 49 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977).
- [18] M. Mundalia and S. S. Kumar, 'Coefficient problems for certain close-to-convex functions', *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **49** (2023), Article no. 5.
- [19] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions* (Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975).
- [20] S. Ponnusamy, N. L. Sharma and K. J. Wirths, 'Logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of univalent functions', *Results Math.* **73** (2018), Article no. 160.
- [21] S. Ponnusamy, N. L. Sharma and K. J. Wirths, 'Logarithmic coefficients problems in families related to starlike and convex functions', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **109** (2020), 230–249.

VASUDEVARAO ALLU, School of Basic Sciences,
Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar 752050, Odisha, India
e-mail: avrao@iitbbs.ac.in

AMAL SHAJI, School of Basic Sciences,
Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar 752050, Odisha, India
e-mail: amalmulloor@gmail.com