

Concise Communication

Comparison of antibiotic prescribing between physicians and advanced practice clinicians

Adam L. Hersh MD, PhD¹ , Daniel J. Shapiro MD², Guillermo V. Sanchez PA-C, MPH³  and Lauri A. Hicks DO³

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, ²Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts and ³Office of Antibiotic Stewardship, Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion, National Center for Emerging Zoonotic and Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

Abstract

We compared antibiotic prescribing rates for respiratory conditions in a national sample of outpatient visits from 2010 to 2018 between physicians and advanced practice clinicians (APCs). APCs prescribed antibiotics more frequently than physicians (58% vs 52%), but there were no differences in selection of guideline recommended first-line agents between specialties.

(Received 14 April 2023; accepted 22 June 2023; electronically published 9 August 2023)

Inappropriate use of antibiotics in outpatient care is common and harmful to patients. Since the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed the Core Elements of Outpatient Antibiotic Stewardship, modest reductions in inappropriate antibiotic prescribing have occurred nationwide.^{1,2} However, substantial variation in prescribing rates exist across geographic regions, clinical settings, and clinician specialties.^{1,2}

Advanced practice clinicians (APCs) include nurse practitioners and physician assistants, and APCs comprise a growing share of the clinician workforce and of antibiotic prescriptions.³ APCs accounted for >30% of antibiotic prescriptions nationwide in 2020, compared to only 14% in 2011.⁴ Prior studies indicated that APCs prescribe antibiotics more frequently than physicians for patients with similar diagnoses. However, little is known about whether differences in antibiotic selection practices for first-line agents exist between clinician groups.^{5–8} We compared national rates of antibiotic prescribing and appropriate antibiotic selection between physicians and APCs for common respiratory conditions.

Methods

In this retrospective study of ambulatory care visits for acute respiratory tract infections (ARTIs), we used data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey from 2010 to 2018, excluding 2017 because data from all settings were not available for that year. These surveys are administered annually by the National Center for Health Statistics and employ a complex probability sampling design to allow for nationally representative estimates of care provided at office and emergency department visits in the

United States. Survey elements include clinician characteristics, patient demographics, diagnoses, and medications prescribed.⁴

The primary outcomes were (1) the proportion of visits in which antibiotics were prescribed for ARTIs and (2) the proportion of visits for acute otitis media (AOM), sinusitis, or pharyngitis in which appropriate first-line antibiotics were selected. We defined ARTIs using *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth and Tenth Revision* (ICD-9 and ICD-10) codes according to a previously published scheme.² Among ARTIs, we further characterized the diagnosis according to whether antibiotics were almost always indicated (tier 1), sometimes indicated (tier 2), or rarely indicated (tier 3). Definitions for antibiotics and appropriate first-line antibiotics were defined as amoxicillin or amoxicillin-clavulanate for AOM and sinusitis and amoxicillin or penicillin for pharyngitis.⁹ Outcomes were compared between visits in which APCs provided care and those in which physicians provided care. APCs were defined as nurse practitioners or physician assistants according to a checkbox on the survey instrument that indicated the types of clinicians present at the encounter.

Proportions were compared between groups using a χ^2 test, and time trends were assessed using logistic regression with year as the predictor variable. To assess differences in case mix among patients seen by APCs versus physicians, we compared the proportion of visits involving patients with a chronic condition, which were defined as obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cerebrovascular disease, cancer, asthma, end-stage renal disease, or diabetes. These diagnoses were established according to check boxes on the survey form. To assess independent associations between clinician type and each of the primary outcomes, we performed multivariable logistic regression models controlling for patient age, sex, race, ethnicity, US Census Region, metropolitan statistical area, insurance status (private, public, and self-pay or other), setting (office vs emergency department),

Corresponding author: Adam L. Hersh; Email: Adam.Hersh@hsc.utah.edu

Cite this article: Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, Sanchez GV, Hicks LA. Comparison of antibiotic prescribing between physicians and advanced practice clinicians. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2024. 45: 117–119, doi: [10.1017/ice.2023.175](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2023.175)

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



diagnosis, clinician specialty, and year. All analyses were performed using Stata version 14 software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) and accounted for all aspects of the complex probability sampling design including primary sampling units, strata, and visit weights.

Results

Based on an unweighted sample of 43,935 visits, there were an estimated 95,331,202 visits per year for ARTIs in ambulatory care settings, 87% of which were to offices. Overall, 11% of these visits included an APC, and 45% were for diagnoses for tier 3 diagnoses. The percentage of visits that included an APC between 2010 and 2018 was stable ($P = .55$). There was no difference in the presence of chronic conditions among visits involving APCs and those involving physicians (33% vs 34%; $P = .70$).

The proportion of visits in which antibiotics were prescribed was higher for APCs (58%) than for physicians (52%; $P = .01$), and this difference was stable across years. The difference in antibiotic prescribing was most pronounced in the subgroups of visits among children (56% vs 49%; $P = .02$), in offices (60% vs 52%; $P = .03$), and for tier 2 diagnoses (72% vs 66%; $P < .01$) (Table 1). In the analysis of antibiotic selection for tier 2 diagnoses, appropriate first-line antibiotics were prescribed in 49% of visits, and there was no difference in selection of first-line antibiotics between APCs and physicians (Table 2).

In our multivariable logistic regression analysis controlling for patient and clinician characteristics, the odds of an antibiotic prescription were higher during visits with APCs than with physicians (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 1.3; 95% CI, 1.1–1.7). Higher odds of prescribing during APC visits extended to tier 2 diagnoses (aOR, 1.2; 95% CI, 1.0–1.6) and tier 3 diagnoses (aOR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0–2.0) when examined independently. There was no association between clinician type and selection of first-line antibiotics for tier 2 diagnoses (aOR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.7–1.2).

Discussion

In this nationally representative sample of outpatient visits involving physicians and APCs for respiratory conditions, the odds of an antibiotic prescription were 30% higher when APCs were present during the visit. There were no differences between physician-only and APC-associated visits in the use of first-line antibiotics for AOM, pharyngitis, and sinusitis.

Higher antibiotic prescribing during APC-associated visits compared to physician-only visits has been shown in previous studies.^{5–8} The reasons for this difference are unclear and likely multifactorial. Although case-mix differences between patients seen by these clinician groups could be a contributing factor, we observed no differences in the prevalence of comorbidities, and the higher prescribing rate remained even when diagnoses were stratified by tiers and adjusted in multivariable modeling. Differences in educational emphasis on antibiotic stewardship in medical training or continuing education opportunities between specialties may play a role. Many physician professional organizations have emphasized stewardship, and stewardship leaders are typically physicians and not APCs.

There was no difference in use of appropriate first-line antibiotics for common conditions, ~50% for each and well below national targets.⁹ This finding suggests that efforts around education and impact of clinical guidelines for antibiotic selection require substantial enhancement for all clinician specialties.

Table 1. Proportion of Respiratory Visits with Antibiotics Prescribed, Stratified by Clinician Type, 2010–2018, Excluding 2017

Subpopulation	Physician %	APC %	Overall %	<i>P</i> Value (χ^2)
All patients	52	58	53	.01
Age group				
Children	49	56	50	.02
Adults	55	60	56	.14
Setting				
Offices	52	60	53	.03
EDs	53	55	53	.11
Diagnosis				
Tier 1	62	66	63	.55
Tier 2	66	72	67	.01
Tier 3	36	41	37	.14

Note. APC, advanced practice clinician; ED, emergency department; tier 1, antibiotics were almost always indicated; tier 2, antibiotics sometimes indicated; tier 3, antibiotics rarely indicated.

Source: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, United States.

Table 2. Proportion of Visits in which First-line Antibiotics Were Prescribed for Tier 2 Diagnoses, Stratified by Clinician Type, 2010–2018, Excluding 2017

Subpopulation	Physician %	APC %	Overall %	<i>P</i> Value (χ^2)
AOM	56	56	56	.83
Sinusitis	44	40	44	.56
Pharyngitis/tonsillitis	47	52	48	.28
Combined	49	49	49	.91

Note. APC, advanced practice clinician; AOM, acute otitis media.

Source: US National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and US National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey.

This study had several limitations. Although we examined subgroups of respiratory encounters by tier and found no difference in comorbidities, case-mix differences between patients seen by physicians versus APCs may remain. We were unable to account for antibiotic allergies. The sampling frame used by these data sets does not encompass all practice settings, such as urgent care and retail clinics; thus, prescribing patterns in these settings may be different between specialties than in physician offices. Additionally, the sampling approach is based on selecting for physician practices, which may exclude settings where APCs practice without physicians present.

Due to increasing contributions of APCs to outpatient care and antibiotic prescribing, antibiotic stewardship interventions should better incorporate APCs alongside all clinician specialties. The CDC is actively engaging with APC professional societies to incorporate stewardship into professional meetings and to tailor education materials for these audiences. Additional opportunities exist within health systems to support APC participation in stewardship program development and leadership.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Competing interests. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

1. King LM, Tsay SV, Hicks LA, Bizune D, Hersh AL, Fleming-Dutra K. Changes in outpatient antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory illnesses, 2011 to 2018. *Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol* 2021;1:1–8.
2. Hersh AL, King LM, Shapiro DJ, Hicks LA, Fleming-Dutra KE. Unnecessary antibiotic prescribing in US ambulatory care settings, 2010–2015. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;72:133–137.
3. Auerbach DI, Buerhaus PI, Staiger DO. Implications of the rapid growth of the nurse practitioner workforce in the US. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2020; 39:273–279.
4. Measuring outpatient antibiotic prescribing. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. <https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/data/outpatient-prescribing/index.html> Accessed September 26, 2022.
5. Sanchez GV, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, Cawley JF, Hicks LA. Outpatient antibiotic prescribing among United States nurse practitioners and physician assistants. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2016;3:ofw168.
6. Schmidt ML, Spencer MD, Davidson LE. Patient, provider, and practice characteristics associated with inappropriate antimicrobial prescribing in ambulatory practices. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018;39:307–315.
7. Frost HM, McLean HQ, Chow BDW. Variability in antibiotic prescribing for upper respiratory illnesses by provider specialty. *J Pediatr* 2018; 203:76–85.
8. Staub MB, Ouedraogo Y, Evans CD, *et al.* Analysis of a high-prescribing state's 2016 outpatient antibiotic prescriptions: implications for outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:135–142.
9. Palms DL, Hicks LA, Bartoces M, *et al.* First-line antibiotic selection in outpatient settings. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019;63:e01060–19.